

SHARP REAL-PART THEOREMS FOR HIGH ORDER DERIVATIVES

G. Kresin*

Ariel University Center of Samaria
44837 Ariel, Israel
kresin@ariel.ac.il

V. Maz'ya

University of Liverpool
M&O Building, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK
Linköping University
SE-58183 Linköping, Sweden
vlmaz@liv.ac.uk, vladimir.maz'ya@liu.se

UDC 517.9

We obtain a representation for the sharp coefficient in an estimate of the modulus of the n th derivative of an analytic function in the upper half-plane \mathbb{C}_+ . It is assumed that the boundary value of the real part of the function on $\partial\mathbb{C}_+$ belongs to L^p . This representation is specified for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. For $p = \infty$ and for derivatives of odd order, an explicit formula for the sharp coefficient is found. A limit relation for the sharp coefficient in a pointwise estimate for the modulus of the n -th derivative of an analytic function in a disk is found as the point approaches the boundary circle. It is assumed that the boundary value of the real part of the function belongs to L^p . The relation in question contains the sharp constant from the estimate of the modulus of the n -th derivative of an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ . As a corollary, a limit relation for the modulus of the n -th derivative of an analytic function with the bounded real part is obtained in a domain with smooth boundary. Bibliography: 8 titles.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we deal substantially with a class of analytic functions in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ represented by the Schwarz formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Re } f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \quad (1.1)$$

and such that the boundary values on $\partial\mathbb{C}_+$ of the real part of f belong to $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

* To whom the correspondence should be addressed.

We consider the following inequality with sharp coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha)$:

$$|\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\alpha} f^{(n)}(z)\}| \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha) \| \operatorname{Re} f \|_p, \quad (1.2)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ stands for the norm in $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$. Hereinafter, we adopt the notation $\|\operatorname{Re} f\|_p$ for $\|\operatorname{Re} f|_{\partial\mathbb{C}_+}\|_p$. Note that the value $K_{n,\infty}(z; \alpha)$ is obtained by passage to the limit of $K_{n,p}(z; \alpha)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. We find a representation for $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha)$ and, as a consequence, for the sharp coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z)$ in the inequality

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z) \| \operatorname{Re} f \|_p. \quad (1.3)$$

In a number of cases described below, we obtain explicit formulas for the coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z)$.

The concluding section of this paper concerns a limit relation for the sharp coefficient in the estimate of the modulus of the n th order derivative of an analytic function in a disk as the point approaches the boundary. It is assumed that the boundary value of the real part of the analytic function belongs to L^p . As a direct consequence, we obtain a limit relation for the modulus of the n th derivative of an analytic function with the bounded real part in a domain with smooth boundary.

Note that the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) for analytic functions belong to the class of sharp real-part theorems (cf. [1] and the references therein) which go back to Hadamard's real-part theorem [2].

The present article extends the topic of our paper [3], where we found explicit formulas for $\mathcal{K}_{0,p}(z)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and for $\mathcal{K}_{1,p}(z)$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$. Unlike [3], we consider now the case of an arbitrary $n \geq 1$. However, explicit formulas for $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z)$ are derived only for particular values of p .

Now, we describe the results of this paper in more detail. Section 2 concerns a representation for the sharp coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha)$. We show that the sharp coefficient in (1.2) is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha) = \frac{K_{n,p}(\alpha)}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where

$$K_{n,p}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos \left(\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right|^q \cos^{(n+1)q-2} \varphi d\varphi \right\}^{1/q}$$

and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. As a consequence, we obtain a representation for the coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z)$ in (1.3); namely,

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z) = \frac{K_{n,p}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where

$$K_{n,p} = \max_{\alpha} K_{n,p}(\alpha). \quad (1.4)$$

In Section 3, we find the values of sharp constants $K_{n,1}$ and $K_{n,2}$:

$$K_{n,1} = \frac{n!}{\pi}, \quad K_{n,2} = \sqrt{\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n+1}\pi}}.$$

Note that the maximum over α in (1.4) with $p = 1$ and even n is attained at $\alpha = 0$ and with $p = 1$ and odd n at $\alpha = \pi/2$. The coefficient $K_{n,2}(\alpha)$ is independent of α .

In Sections 4 and 5, we study the case $p = \infty$ in (1.3) separately for $n = 2m + 1$ and for $n = 2m$.

Section 4 is devoted to derivatives of odd order. We show that $K_{2m+1,\infty}(\alpha)$ is independent of α and prove the following estimate with the sharp coefficient:

$$|f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{[(2m+1)!!]^2}{(2m+1)(\operatorname{Im} z)^{2m+1}} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_\infty.$$

The sharp pointwise estimate for the modulus of the derivative of odd order for a bounded analytic function in a disk was established by Szász [4]. Sharp estimates for $|f^{(n)}(z)|$ with the $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$ -norm of $|f|$ on the right-hand side for analytic functions in the unit disk \mathbb{D} were obtained by Makintyre and Rogosinski [5].

Section 5 concerns sharp estimates for the modulus of derivatives of even order with $\|\operatorname{Re} f\|_\infty$ on the right-hand side. We rewrite the expression for the derivative in α of the integral appearing in the formula for $K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha)$ and make its further analysis. In particular, we find the sharp constants

$$K_{2,\infty} = K_{2,\infty}(0) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}, \quad K_{4,\infty} = K_{4,\infty}(\pi/2) = \frac{3}{4\pi}(16 + 5\sqrt{5}).$$

Note that a sharp explicit estimate for the second order derivative of a bounded analytic function in a disk was found by Szász [4].

In Section 6, we consider an example of $K_{n,p}(\alpha)$ independent of α for $p \neq 2$ and $p \neq \infty$. Namely, we deal with the case $n = 2, p = 4$.

Section 7 is devoted to the limit relation

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} (R - r)^{n+\frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) = K_{n,p}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z)$ is the sharp coefficient in the inequality

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) \|\operatorname{Re} f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}_R}\|_p.$$

Here, f is an analytic function in the disk $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ with the real part in the Hardy space $h^p(\mathbb{D}_R)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, whose elements are harmonic functions in \mathbb{D}_R represented by the Poisson integral with density in $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}_R)$.

Note that the representation for $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z)$ is obtained in [1], where, in particular, explicit formulas for $\mathcal{H}_{n,1}(z)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{n,2}(z)$ are found. A formula for $\mathcal{H}_{1,\infty}(z)$ is due to D. Khavinson [6].

As a consequence of (1.5), we deduce the limit relation for the modulus of the n th order derivative of an analytic function f with $\|\operatorname{Re} f|_{\partial\Omega}\|_\infty \leq 1$ in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}_+$ with smooth boundary:

$$\lim_{d_z \rightarrow 0} d_z^n |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq K_{n,\infty}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $d_z = \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)$. We assume that each point of $\partial\Omega$ can be touched by an interior circle of sufficiently small radius. A particular case of (1.6) is the limit relation

$$\lim_{d_z \rightarrow 0} d_z^{2m+1} |f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{2[(2m+1)!!]^2}{\pi(2m+1)}$$

containing the sharp constant on the right-hand side which was found in Section 4.

2 Representations for Sharp Coefficients in Estimates for Derivatives of Analytic Functions

In what follows, by $h^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we mean the Hardy space of harmonic functions in the upper half-plane \mathbb{R}_+^2 which are represented by the Poisson integral with a density in $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$. It is well known (cf., for example, [7], Sect. 19.3) that f belongs to the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ of analytic functions in \mathbb{C}_+ if $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, any function $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$, $1 < p < \infty$, admits the representation (1.1) since $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.

The following assertion contains representations for the sharp coefficients in (1.2) and (1.3) for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp coefficient $\mathcal{K}_p(z; \alpha)$ in the inequality*

$$|\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\alpha} f^{(n)}(z)\}| \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha) \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_p \quad (2.1)$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha) = \frac{K_{n,p}(\alpha)}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$K_{n,p}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos\left(\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right|^q \cos^{(n+1)q-2} \varphi d\varphi \right\}^{1/q} \quad (2.3)$$

and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

In particular, the best coefficient in the inequality

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z) \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_p \quad (2.4)$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z) = \frac{K_{n,p}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$K_{n,p} = \max_{\alpha} K_{n,p}(\alpha). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By (1.1), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{n!}{\pi i} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta, \quad (2.7)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. We have

$$\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\alpha} f^{(n)}(z)\} = \frac{n!}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})}}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} \right\} \operatorname{Re} f(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (2.8)$$

Let $z = x + iy$. We write (2.8) as

$$\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\alpha} f^{(n)}(z)\} = \frac{n!}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} [(\zeta - x) + iy]^{n+1}}{[(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2]^{n+1}} \right\} \operatorname{Re} f(\zeta) d\zeta . \quad (2.9)$$

Hence the sharp coefficient $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha)$ in (2.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}(z; \alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} [(\zeta - x) + iy]^{n+1}}{[(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2]^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q d\zeta \right\}^{1/q} . \quad (2.10)$$

We introduce the new integration variable $\varphi \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ by the equalities

$$\sin \varphi = \frac{\zeta - x}{\sqrt{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2}} , \quad \cos \varphi = \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2}} . \quad (2.11)$$

Then

$$\varphi = \arctan \frac{\zeta - x}{y} \quad (2.12)$$

and therefore,

$$d\varphi = \frac{y}{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2} d\zeta . \quad (2.13)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} \left\{ \frac{(\zeta - x) + iy}{\sqrt{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2}} \right\}^{n+1} &= e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} (\sin \varphi + i \cos \varphi)^{n+1} \\ &= e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} \left[\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi \right) + i \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi \right) \right]^{n+1} \\ &= e^{i[\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2}]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{[(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2]^{(n+1)q/2}} = \frac{1}{y^{(n+1)q-1}} \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2}} \right)^{(n+1)q-2} \frac{y}{(\zeta - x)^2 + y^2} ,$$

from (2.11)–(2.13) it follows that (2.10) can be written in the form (2.2) with the constant (2.3). Formulas (2.5) and (2.6) follow from (2.2) and (2.1). \square

3 Cases $p = 1$ and $p = 2$

In this section, we find the values of the sharp constant in (2.4) for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. We start with $p = 1$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp constant $K_{n,1}$ in the inequality*

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{K_{n,1}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+1}} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_1 , \quad (3.1)$$

is given by

$$K_{n,1} = \frac{n!}{\pi}. \quad (3.2)$$

Besides,

$$K_{2m,1} = K_{2m,1}(0), \quad K_{2m+1,1} = K_{2m+1,1}(\pi/2). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The estimate (3.1) follows from Proposition 2.1 with

$$K_{n,1} = \max_{\alpha} K_{n,1}(\alpha) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$K_{n,1}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\varphi} \left| \cos \left[\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right] \right| \cos^{n+1} \varphi. \quad (3.5)$$

Interchanging the order of maxima, we obtain

$$K_{n,1} = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\varphi} \max_{\alpha} \left| \cos \left[\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right] \right| \cos^{n+1} \varphi = \frac{n!}{\pi}, \quad (3.6)$$

which proves (3.2).

If n is even, the maximum in (3.6) is attained at $\alpha = (n+1)\varphi$ and $\varphi = 0$, i.e., the maximum over α in (3.4) is attained at $\alpha = 0$. If n is odd, the maximum in (3.6) is attained at $\alpha = (n+1)\varphi + (\pi/2)$ and $\varphi = 0$, i.e., the maximum over α in (3.4) is attained at $\alpha = \pi/2$. Thus, (3.3) follows. \square

The next assertion concerns the case $p = 2$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp constant $K_{n,2}$ in the inequality*

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{K_{n,2}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_2 \quad (3.7)$$

is given by

$$K_{n,2} = \sqrt{\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n+1}\pi}}. \quad (3.8)$$

The coefficient $K_{n,p}(\alpha)$ in (2.2) is independent of α for $p = 2$.

Proof. The inequality (3.7) with

$$K_{n,2} = \max_{\alpha} K_{n,2}(\alpha), \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$K_{n,2}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos \left(\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right|^2 \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (3.10)$$

follows from (2.4)–(2.6) and (2.3). Consider the function

$$F_n(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^2 \left(\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi, \quad (3.11)$$

which appears in (3.10). Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos(2\alpha - 2(n+1)\varphi + n\pi) \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi + (-1)^n \cos 2\alpha \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos 2(n+1)\varphi \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{\nu-2} x \cos \nu x dx = 0$$

(cf., for example, [8, 3.631(19)]), we find

$$F_n(\alpha) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2n} \varphi d\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2n!} = \frac{\pi(2n)!}{2^{2n+1}(n!)^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.10) and (3.11),

$$K_{n,2}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \sqrt{F_n(\alpha)},$$

which, together with (3.12), gives

$$K_{n,2}(\alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n+1}\pi}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, $K_{n,2}(\alpha)$ is independent of α . Formula (3.8) follows from (3.9) and (3.13). \square

4 Case $p = \infty$, $n = 2m + 1$

In this section, we prove the following assertion.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp constant $K_{2m+1,\infty}$ in the inequality*

$$|f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{K_{2m+1,\infty}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{2m+1}} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_\infty \quad (4.1)$$

is given by

$$K_{2m+1,\infty} = \frac{2 [(2m+1)!!]^2}{\pi (2m+1)}. \quad (4.2)$$

The coefficient $K_{n,p}(\alpha)$ in (2.2) with $n = 2m + 1$ and $p = \infty$ is independent of α .

Proof. The inequality (4.1) follows from (2.4) and (2.5). By (2.3), we have

$$K_{2m+1,\infty}(\alpha) = \frac{(2m+1)!}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\sin[\alpha - 2(m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2m} \varphi \, d\varphi. \quad (4.3)$$

Setting

$$G_m(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\sin[\alpha - 2(m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2m} \varphi \, d\varphi \quad (4.4)$$

and making the change of variable $\psi = \alpha - 2(m+1)\varphi$, we obtain

$$G_m(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \int_{\alpha-(m+1)\pi}^{\alpha+(m+1)\pi} |\sin \psi| \cos^{2m} \frac{\psi - \alpha}{2(m+1)} \, d\psi.$$

Since the integrand is $2(m+1)\pi$ -periodic, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G_m(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \int_{-(m+1)\pi}^{(m+1)\pi} |\sin \psi| \cos^{2m} \frac{\psi - \alpha}{2(m+1)} \, d\psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} |\sin \psi| \cos^{2m} \frac{\psi - \alpha}{2(m+1)} \, d\psi. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $\psi - k\pi = \vartheta$ implies

$$G_m(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m \int_0^\pi |\sin \vartheta| \cos^{2m} \frac{\vartheta + k\pi - \alpha}{2(m+1)} \, d\vartheta. \quad (4.5)$$

We introduce the notation

$$g_m(\theta) = \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m \cos^{2m} \frac{\theta + k\pi}{2(m+1)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since

$$\cos^{2m} x = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 2 \binom{2m}{j} \cos 2(m-j)x + \binom{2m}{m} \right\},$$

we can write (4.6) in the form

$$g_m(\theta) = \frac{2(m+1)}{2^{2m}} \binom{2m}{m} + \frac{1}{2^{2m-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{2m}{j} \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m \cos \frac{(\theta + k\pi)(m-j)}{m+1}. \quad (4.7)$$

We set $s = m - j$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Consider the interior sum. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m \cos \frac{(\theta + k\pi)s}{m+1} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{\frac{i\theta s}{m+1}} \sum_{k=-(m+1)}^m e^{\frac{is\pi}{m+1}k} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{\theta}{m+1} - \pi\right)s} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m+1} e^{\frac{is\pi}{m+1}\ell} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{\theta}{m+1} - \pi\right)s} \frac{1 - e^{2is\pi}}{1 - e^{\frac{is\pi}{m+1}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second term in (4.7) vanishes and hence

$$g_m(\theta) = \frac{m+1}{2^{2m-1}} \binom{2m}{m} = \frac{(m+1)(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m!)^2}.$$

Combining this with (4.6), we write (4.5) as

$$G_m(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \frac{(m+1)(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m!)^2} \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta \, d\vartheta = \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m!)^2}.$$

Now, by (4.3) and (4.4), we find

$$K_{2m+1,\infty}(\alpha) = \frac{(2m+1)!}{\pi} G_m(\alpha) = \frac{(2m+1)!}{\pi} \cdot \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m!)^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{[(2m+1)!!]^2}{2m+1},$$

which proves the independence of $K_{2m+1,\infty}(\alpha)$ of α . This, together with (2.6), leads to (4.2). \square

5 Cases $p = \infty$, $n = 2$ and $n = 4$

By (2.3), we have

$$K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha) = \frac{(2m)!}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\cos[\alpha - (2m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2m-1} \varphi \, d\varphi. \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.1. *The equality*

$$\frac{dK_{2m,\infty}}{d\alpha} = \frac{(2m)!}{\pi(2m+1)^2 2^{2(m-1)}} \int_0^{\pi/2} (|\cos(\alpha - \varphi)| - |\cos(\alpha + \varphi)|) \Lambda_m(\varphi) \, d\varphi \quad (5.2)$$

holds with

$$\Lambda_m(\varphi) = \sum_{\ell=1}^m (-1)^\ell (2\ell-1) \binom{2m-1}{m-\ell} \frac{\sin \frac{(2\ell-1)\varphi}{2m+1}}{\sin \frac{(2\ell-1)\pi}{2(2m+1)}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Setting

$$S_m(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\cos[\alpha - (2m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2m-1} \varphi \, d\varphi, \quad (5.4)$$

we write (5.1) as

$$K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha) = \frac{(2m)!}{\pi} S_m(\alpha). \quad (5.5)$$

Making the change of variable $\psi = \alpha - (2m + 1)\varphi$ in (5.4), we obtain

$$S_m(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \int_{\alpha-(2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\alpha+(2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2}} |\cos \psi| \cos^{2m-1} \frac{\alpha - \psi}{2m+1} d\psi.$$

Hence

$$\frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} = -\frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \int_{\alpha-(2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\alpha+(2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2}} |\cos \psi| \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{\alpha - \psi}{2m+1} \sin \frac{\alpha - \psi}{2m+1} d\psi.$$

Returning to the variable $\varphi = (\alpha - \psi)/(2m + 1)$ and then writing the resulting integral as the sum over $(-\pi/2, 0)$ and $(0, \pi/2)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} &= -\frac{2m-1}{2m+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} |\cos[\alpha - (2m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2(m-1)} \varphi \sin \varphi d\varphi \\ &\quad - \frac{2m-1}{2m+1} \int_{-\pi/2}^0 |\cos[\alpha - (2m+1)\varphi]| \cos^{2(m-1)} \varphi \sin \varphi d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varphi = -\vartheta$ in the second integral, we obtain

$$\frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} = \frac{2m-1}{2m+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \left\{ |\cos[\alpha + (2m+1)\varphi]| - |\cos[\alpha - (2m+1)\varphi]| \right\} \cos^{2(m-1)} \varphi \sin \varphi d\varphi,$$

which after the change of variable $\theta = (2m + 1)\varphi$ becomes

$$\frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} = \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \int_0^{(2m+1)\frac{\pi}{2}} P(\alpha, \theta) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{\theta}{2m+1} \sin \frac{\theta}{2m+1} d\theta, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$P(\alpha, \theta) = |\cos(\alpha + \theta)| - |\cos(\alpha - \theta)|. \quad (5.7)$$

Now, (5.6) can be written as

$$\frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} = \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \int_{\frac{\pi(j-1)}{2}}^{\frac{\pi j}{2}} P(\alpha, \theta) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{\theta}{2m+1} \sin \frac{\theta}{2m+1} d\theta.$$

We split the last sum into two for even and odd j :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} &= \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \sum_{s=1}^m \int_{\frac{\pi(2s-1)}{2}}^{\pi s} P(\alpha, \theta) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{\theta}{2m+1} \sin \frac{\theta}{2m+1} d\theta \\ &+ \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \int_{\pi(s-1)}^{\frac{\pi(2s-1)}{2}} P(\alpha, \theta) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{\theta}{2m+1} \sin \frac{\theta}{2m+1} d\theta . \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum, we set $\theta - s\pi = -\varphi$ under the integral sign and in the second sum we set $\theta - (s-1)\pi = \varphi$. This leads to the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} &= -\frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \sum_{s=1}^m \int_0^{\pi/2} P(\alpha, \varphi) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{s\pi - \varphi}{2m+1} \sin \frac{s\pi - \varphi}{2m+1} d\varphi \\ &+ \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} P(\alpha, \varphi) \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{(s-1)\pi + \varphi}{2m+1} \sin \frac{(s-1)\pi + \varphi}{2m+1} d\varphi , \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{dS_m}{d\alpha} = \frac{2m-1}{(2m+1)^2} \int_0^{\pi/2} (|\cos(\alpha - \varphi)| - |\cos(\alpha + \varphi)|) \Phi_m(\varphi) d\varphi , \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\Phi_m(\varphi) = \sum_{k=-m}^m \cos^{2(m-1)} \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{2m+1} \sin \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{2m+1} . \quad (5.9)$$

Next, we write (5.9) in the form

$$\Phi_m(\varphi) = \frac{2m+1}{2m-1} \frac{dQ_m}{d\varphi} , \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$Q_m(\varphi) = \sum_{k=-m}^m \cos^{2m-1} \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{2m+1} . \quad (5.11)$$

Since

$$\cos^{2m-1} x = \frac{1}{2^{2(m-1)}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{2m-1}{j} \cos(2m-2j-1)x ,$$

(5.11) becomes

$$Q_m(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2^{2(m-1)}} \sum_{k=-m}^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{2m-1}{j} \cos \frac{(k\pi - \varphi)(2m-2j-1)}{2m+1} .$$

Setting $\ell = m - j$ ($\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$) in the interior sum and changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$Q_m(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2^{2(m-1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \binom{2m-1}{m-\ell} \sum_{k=-m}^m \cos \frac{(k\pi - \varphi)(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}. \quad (5.12)$$

We evaluate the interior sum in (5.12). Using the equalities

$$\sum_{k=-m}^m \cos \frac{(k\pi - \varphi)(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{i \frac{(k\pi - \varphi)(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{-i \frac{(2\ell - 1)\varphi}{2m+1}} \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{i \frac{\pi k(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{i \frac{\pi k(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} &= \sum_{j=0}^{2m} e^{i \frac{\pi(j-m)(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} = e^{-i \frac{\pi m(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} e^{i \frac{\pi j(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} \\ &= \frac{1 - e^{i\pi(2\ell - 1)}}{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}}} e^{-i \frac{\pi m(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}} = \frac{2e^{-i \frac{\pi m(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}}}{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\sum_{k=-m}^m \cos \frac{(k\pi - \varphi)(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{-i \frac{(2\ell - 1)(\varphi + m\pi)}{2m+1}}}{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi(2\ell - 1)}{2m+1}}} \right\} = (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{\cos \frac{(2\ell - 1)\varphi}{2m+1}}{\sin \frac{(2\ell - 1)\pi}{2(2m+1)}}.$$

Substituting the last sum into (5.12), we obtain

$$Q_m(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2^{2(m-1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \binom{2m-1}{m-\ell} (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{\cos \frac{(2\ell - 1)\varphi}{2m+1}}{\sin \frac{(2\ell - 1)\pi}{2(2m+1)}},$$

which, together with (5.10), implies

$$\Phi_m(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(2m-1)2^{2(m-1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \binom{2m-1}{m-\ell} (-1)^\ell (2\ell - 1) \frac{\sin \frac{(2\ell - 1)\varphi}{2m+1}}{\sin \frac{(2\ell - 1)\pi}{2(2m+1)}}.$$

Using this in (5.8) and taking into account (5.5), we arrive at (5.2) and (5.3). \square

Before passing to applications of Lemma 5.1 we make two remarks.

The first one concerns the range of α in the evaluation of the maximum

$$K_{2m,\infty} = \max_{\alpha} K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha). \quad (5.13)$$

It follows from (5.1) that $K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha)$ is a π -periodic function. Hence we may assume that $\alpha \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Moreover, we can restrict our consideration to the interval $[0, \pi/2]$ since $K_{2m,\infty}(\alpha)$ is even, which is easy to check.

The second remark relates the sign of $|\cos(\varphi - \alpha)| - |\cos(\varphi + \alpha)|$. We show that

$$|\cos(\varphi - \alpha)| \geq |\cos(\varphi + \alpha)| \quad (5.14)$$

for $\alpha, \varphi \in [0, \pi/2]$. In fact, since

$$|\cos(\varphi - \alpha)| - |\cos(\varphi + \alpha)| = \begin{cases} \cos(\varphi - \alpha) - \cos(\varphi + \alpha) & \text{for } \varphi \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha], \\ \cos(\varphi - \alpha) + \cos(\varphi + \alpha) & \text{for } \varphi \in (\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}], \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$|\cos(\varphi - \alpha)| - |\cos(\varphi + \alpha)| = \begin{cases} 2 \sin \varphi \sin \alpha & \text{for } \varphi \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha], \\ 2 \cos \varphi \cos \alpha & \text{for } \varphi \in (\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}], \end{cases}$$

and hence (5.14) holds for $\alpha, \vartheta \in [0, \pi/2]$. Moreover, the equality sign in (5.14) holds only for $\alpha = 0$ or for $\alpha = \pi/2$ provided that $\varphi \in (0, \pi/2)$.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp constant $K_{2,\infty}$ in the inequality*

$$|f''(z)| \leq \frac{K_{2,\infty}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_\infty \quad (5.15)$$

is given by

$$K_{2,\infty} = K_{2,\infty}(0) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}. \quad (5.16)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1,

$$\frac{dK_{2,\infty}}{d\alpha} = -\frac{4}{9\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (|\cos(\alpha - \varphi)| - |\cos(\alpha + \varphi)|) \sin \frac{\varphi}{3} d\varphi.$$

Combined with (5.14), this implies

$$\frac{dK_{2,\infty}}{d\alpha} < 0$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$. Taking into account (5.1) and (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2,\infty} &= K_{2,\infty}(0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\cos 3\varphi| \cos \varphi d\varphi \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/6} \cos 3\varphi \cos \varphi d\varphi - \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/2} \cos 3\varphi \cos \varphi d\varphi \right\} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\operatorname{Re} f \in h^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, and let z be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}_+ . The sharp constant $K_{4,\infty}$ in the inequality*

$$|f''''(z)| \leq \frac{K_{4,\infty}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^4} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_\infty \quad (5.17)$$

is given by

$$K_{4,\infty} = K_{4,\infty}(\pi/2) = \frac{3}{4\pi} (16 + 5\sqrt{5}). \quad (5.18)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1,

$$\frac{dK_{4,\infty}}{d\alpha} = \frac{24}{100\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (|\cos(\alpha - \varphi)| - |\cos(\alpha + \varphi)|) \Lambda_2(\varphi) d\varphi, \quad (5.19)$$

where

$$\Lambda_2(\varphi) = 3 \left(\frac{\sin \frac{3\varphi}{5}}{\sin \frac{3\pi}{10}} - \frac{\sin \frac{\varphi}{5}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{10}} \right). \quad (5.20)$$

Using the identity $\sin 3x = 3 \sin x - 4 \sin^3 x$ in (5.20), we find

$$\Lambda_2(\varphi) = 12 \frac{\sin \frac{\varphi}{5}}{\sin \frac{3\pi}{10}} \left(\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{10} - \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{5} \right),$$

i.e., $\Lambda_2(\varphi) > 0$ for $\varphi \in (0, \pi/2)$.

Now, by (5.19) and (5.14), we conclude that

$$\frac{dK_{4,\infty}}{d\alpha} > 0$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$. Thus, by (5.1) and (5.13),

$$\begin{aligned} K_{4,\infty} = K_{4,\infty}(\pi/2) &= \frac{24}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\sin 5\varphi| \cos^3 \varphi d\varphi \\ &= \frac{48}{\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/5} \sin 5\varphi \cos^3 \varphi d\varphi - \int_{\pi/5}^{2\pi/5} \sin 5\varphi \cos^3 \varphi d\varphi + \int_{2\pi/5}^{\pi/2} \sin 5\varphi \cos^3 \varphi d\varphi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the integrals on the right-hand side of the last equality, we arrive at (5.18). \square

6 Case $p = 4$ and $n = 2$

In the previous sections, it was shown that $K_{n,p}(\alpha)$ is independent of α for $p = 2$, as well as for $p = \infty$, $n = 2m + 1$. Here, we prove that those are not all values of n and p when $K_{n,p}(\alpha)$ does not depend on α . We set

$$T_{n,p}(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos \left(\alpha - (n+1)\varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right|^q \cos^{(n+1)q-2} \varphi d\varphi, \quad (6.1)$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then, by (2.3) and (6.1),

$$K_{n,p}(\alpha) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \{T_{n,p}(\alpha)\}^{1/q}.$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of (5.8) in Lemma 5.1, we can show that for any $n \geq 1$ and $p \in (1, \infty]$

$$\frac{dK_{n,p}}{d\alpha} = \frac{n![(n-1)p+2]}{\pi p(n+1)^2} \{T_{n,p}(\alpha)\}^{-1/p} \frac{dT_{n,p}}{d\alpha}, \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\frac{dT_{n,p}}{d\alpha} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \left\{ \left| \cos \left(\alpha - \varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right|^q - \left| \cos \left(\alpha + \varphi + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right|^q \right\} \Psi_{n,p}(\varphi) d\varphi. \quad (6.3)$$

Here,

$$\Psi_{n,p}(\varphi) = \sum_{k=[-(n-1)/2]^{[(n+1)/2]} \cos^{(n+1)q-3} \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{n+1} \sin \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{n+1}, \quad (6.4)$$

and $[\]$ stands for the integer part of a number.

Putting $n = 2$ and $p = 4$ (i.e., $q = 4/3$) in (6.4), we obtain

$$\Psi_{2,4}(\varphi) = \sum_{k=-1}^1 \cos \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{3} \sin \frac{k\pi - \varphi}{3} = 0,$$

which, together with (6.2) and (6.3), implies

$$\frac{dK_{2,4}}{d\alpha} = 0.$$

Thus, $K_{2,4}(\alpha)$ is independent of α and hence, by Proposition 2.1, the sharp constant in

$$|f''(z)| \leq \frac{K_{2,4}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{9/4}} \|\operatorname{Re} f\|_4$$

can be represented, for example, as

$$K_{2,4} = K_{2,4}(0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |\cos 3\varphi|^{4/3} \cos^2 \varphi d\varphi \right\}^{3/4}.$$

7 Sharp Limit Relation for Derivatives of Analytic Functions in a Disk

In what follows, by $h^p(\mathbb{D}_R)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we mean the Hardy space of harmonic functions in $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ which are represented by the Poisson integral with a density in $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}_R)$.

We need the following assertion proved in Section 5.2 of the monograph [1].

Proposition 7.1. *Let f be analytic on \mathbb{D}_R with $\operatorname{Re} f \in h_p(\mathbb{D}_R)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Further, let $n \geq 1$, and let \mathcal{P}_m be a polynomial of degree $m \leq n-1$. Then for any fixed point z , $|z| = r < R$, the inequality*

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) \|\operatorname{Re}\{f - \mathcal{P}_m\}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}_R}\|_p \quad (7.1)$$

holds with the sharp factor

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) = \frac{1}{R^{(np+1)/p}} H_{n,p} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right), \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$H_{n,p}(\gamma) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\alpha} \left\{ \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\zeta e^{i\alpha}}{(\zeta - \gamma)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q |d\zeta| \right\}^{1/q} \quad (7.3)$$

and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. In particular,

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) \inf_{\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}\}} \|\operatorname{Re}\{f - \mathcal{P}\}\|_p, \quad (7.4)$$

where $\{\mathfrak{P}_m\}$ is the set of all polynomials of degree at most m .

The next assertion concerns a relation between $\mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z)$ and $K_{n,p}$ which was defined by (2.6) and (2.3).

Theorem 7.1. *The following limit relation holds:*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} (R - r)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) = K_{n,p}. \quad (7.5)$$

Proof. By (7.2), we have

$$(R - r)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) = \frac{(R - r)^{n + \frac{1}{p}}}{R^{n + \frac{1}{p}}} H_{n,p} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{r}{R} \right)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} H_{n,p} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} (R - r)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{H}_{n,p}(z) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} (1 - \gamma)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} H_{n,p}(\gamma). \quad (7.6)$$

It follows from (7.3) that

$$(1 - \gamma)^{n + \frac{1}{p}} H_{n,p}(\gamma) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\alpha} \left\{ (1 - \gamma)^{(n+1)q-1} E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (7.7)$$

where

$$E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) = \int_{|\zeta|=1} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\zeta e^{i\alpha}}{(\zeta - \gamma)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q |d\zeta|. \quad (7.8)$$

The last equality can be written as

$$E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(\varphi+\alpha)}}{(e^{i\varphi} - \gamma)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q d\varphi,$$

which after the change of variable $\varphi = 2\psi$ becomes

$$E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) = 2 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(2\psi+\alpha)}}{(e^{2i\psi} - \gamma)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q d\psi.$$

Therefore,

$$E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) = 2 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i(2\psi+\alpha)}(e^{-2i\psi} - \gamma)^{n+1}}{(1 - 2\gamma \cos 2\psi + \gamma^2)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q d\psi. \quad (7.9)$$

Introduce the new variable t by

$$\psi = \arctan k(\gamma)t, \quad (7.10)$$

with

$$k(\gamma) = \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \gamma}. \quad (7.11)$$

Then

$$d\psi = \frac{k(\gamma)}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2} dt. \quad (7.12)$$

Further, we find

$$1 - 2\gamma \cos 2\psi + \gamma^2 = \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2(1 + t^2)}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2}, \quad (7.13)$$

$$e^{-2i\psi} - \gamma = \frac{1 - k(\gamma)t^2 - \frac{2i}{1+\gamma}t}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2}, \quad (7.14)$$

$$e^{2i\psi} = \frac{1 - k^2(\gamma)t^2 + 2ik(\gamma)t}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2}. \quad (7.15)$$

Substituting (7.12)–(7.15) into (7.9) and making the change of limits according to (7.10), we obtain

$$E_{n,q}(\gamma; \alpha) = \frac{2}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma)^{(n+1)q-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\alpha} \Psi_{n,\gamma}(t) \} \right|^q \frac{dt}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2}, \quad (7.16)$$

where

$$\Psi_{n,\gamma}(t) = \frac{\left(1 - k^2(\gamma)t^2 + 2ik(\gamma)t\right) \left(1 - k(\gamma)t^2 - \frac{2it}{1+\gamma}\right)^{n+1}}{(1 + t^2)^{n+1}(1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2)}. \quad (7.17)$$

It follows from (7.7) and (7.16) that

$$(1 - \gamma)^{n+\frac{1}{p}} H_{n,p}(\gamma) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{1+\gamma} \right)^{1/q} \max_{\alpha} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\alpha} \Psi_{n,\gamma}(t) \} \right|^q \frac{dt}{1 + k^2(\gamma)t^2} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Passing here to the limit as $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ and using (7.11) and (7.17), we get

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} (1 - \gamma)^{n+\frac{1}{p}} H_{n,p}(\gamma) = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\alpha} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\alpha}(1 - it)^{n+1}}{(1 + t^2)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q dt \right\}^{1/q}. \quad (7.18)$$

We introduce a new variable $\vartheta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ by the formula

$$\cos \vartheta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}, \quad \sin \vartheta = -\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}.$$

By the equality $t = -\tan \vartheta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\alpha}(1-it)^{n+1}}{(1+t^2)^{n+1}} \right\} \right|^q dt &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{i\alpha} (\cos \vartheta + i \sin \vartheta)^{n+1} \} \right|^q \cos^{(n+1)q-2} \vartheta d\vartheta \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos(\alpha + (n+1)\vartheta) \right|^q \cos^{(n+1)q-2} \vartheta d\vartheta. \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

Replacing the integral in (7.18) by (7.19) and taking into account (7.6), (2.3), and (2.6), we arrive at (7.5). \square

Combining Theorem 7.1 with Propositions 2.1 and 7.1 with $p = \infty$, as well as with Corollary 4.1 and the maximum principle for harmonic functions, we obtain the following assertion.

Corollary 7.1. *Let Ω be a subdomain of \mathbb{C}_+ for which each point of the boundary can be touched by an interior circle of sufficiently small radius. Let f be a holomorphic function in Ω with a bounded real part and let $\|\operatorname{Re} f|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. The limit relation*

$$\lim_{d_z \rightarrow 0} d_z^n |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq K_{n,\infty}$$

holds with $d_z = \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)$ and

$$K_{n,\infty} = \frac{n!}{\pi} \max_{\alpha} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left| \cos(\alpha + (n+1)\varphi) \right| \cos^{n-1} \varphi d\varphi. \quad (7.20)$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{d_z \rightarrow 0} d_z^{2m+1} |f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{2[(2m+1)!!]^2}{\pi(2m+1)}. \quad (7.21)$$

Remark 7.1. The pointwise estimate

$$|f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{(2m+1)!}{(1-|z|^2)^{2m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}^2 |z|^{2k} \quad (7.22)$$

for an analytic function f in the unit disk with $|f| < 1$ was derived by Szász in [4]. Using a dilation in (7.22), together with the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}^2 = \binom{2m}{m},$$

and the maximum modulus principle for analytic functions, we arrive at the limit inequality (cf. (7.21))

$$\lim_{d_z \rightarrow 0} d_z^{2m+1} |f^{(2m+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{[(2m+1)!!]^2}{2(2m+1)}$$

for functions f with $|f(z)| < 1$ in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}_+$ with a boundary satisfying the condition of tangency by a circle.

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author was supported by the KAMEA program of the Ministry of Absorption, State of Israel, and by the Ariel University Center of Samaria. The second author was partially supported by the Scandinavian Network “Analysis and Applications.”

References

1. G. Kresin and V. Maz'ya, *Sharp Real-Part Theorems. A Unified Approach*. Lect. Notes in Math. **1903**, Springer, Berlin etc. (2007).
2. J. Hadamard, “Sur les fonctions entières de la forme $e^{G(x)}$,” *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 1053–1055 (1892).
3. G. Kresin and V. Maz'ya, “Sharp real-part theorems in the upper half-plane and similar estimates for harmonic functions,” *J. Math. Sci. New York* **179**, No. 1, 144–163 (2011).
4. O. Szász, “Ungleichheitsbeziehungen für die Ableitungen einer Potenzreihe, die eine im Einheitskreise beschränkte Funktion darstellt,” *Math. Z.* **8**, 303–309 (1920).
5. A. J. Makintyre and W. W. Rogosinski, “Extremum problems in the theory of analytic functions,” *Acta Math.* **82**, 275–325 (1950).
6. D. Khavinson, “An extremal problem for harmonic functions in the ball,” *Canad. Math. Bull.* **35**, No. 2, 218–220 (1992).
7. B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1996).
8. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York (1994).

Submitted on September 21, 2011.