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#### Abstract

In the present paper we consider the Dirichlet problem for the second order differential operator $E=\nabla(\mathscr{A} \nabla)$, where $\mathscr{A}$ is a matrix with complex valued $L^{\infty}$ entries. We introduce the concept of dissipativity of $E$ with respect to a given function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Under the assumption that the $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$ is symmetric, we prove that the condition $\left|s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right||\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{\varphi(s)[s \varphi(s)]^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle$ (for almost every $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for any $s>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ ) is necessary and sufficient for the functional dissipativity of $E$.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Historical background

A linear operator $E$ defined on $D(E) \subset L^{p}(\Omega)$ and with range in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ is said to be $L^{p}$-dissipative if

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle E u, u\rangle|u|^{p-2} d x \leqslant 0
$$

[^0]for any $u \in D(E)$. Here $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and the functions $u$ are complex valued.

Let $E$ be the scalar second order partial differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u=\nabla(\mathscr{A} \nabla u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{A}$ is a square matrix whose entries are complex valued $L^{\infty}$-functions.
The question of determining necessary and sufficient conditions for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity $(1<p<\infty)$ of the Dirichlet problem in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for the operator (1) was considered in our paper [6]. It is worthwhile to remark that we do not require ellipticity and we may deal with degenerating matrices.

In particular we have proved that, if $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$ is symmetric, the algebraic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p-2||\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{p-1}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is necessary and sufficient for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of the Dirichlet problem for the operator (1).

We remark that, if $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}$ is symmetric, (2) is equivalent to the condition

$$
\frac{4}{p p^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle-2(1-2 / p)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. More generally, if the matrix $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$ is not symmetric, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{p p^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+2\left\langle\left(p^{-1} \mathbb{I} \mathrm{~m} \mathscr{A}(x)+p^{\prime-1} \mathbb{I} \mathrm{~m} \mathscr{A}^{*}(x)\right) \xi, \eta\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\left(p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)\right)$ is only sufficient for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity.

Condition (2) can be used to obtain the sharp angle of dissipativity of the operator (1). To be more precise, we proved that $z E(z \in \mathbb{C})$ is $L^{p}$-dissipative if and only if $\vartheta_{-} \leqslant \arg z \leqslant \vartheta_{+}$, where $\vartheta_{-}$and $\vartheta_{+}$are explicitly given (see [7]).

If $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}$ is not symmetric or the operator $E$ contains lower order terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u=\nabla(\mathscr{A} \nabla u)+b \nabla u+\nabla(c u)+a u . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

condition (2) is only necessary for $E$ to be $L^{p}$-dissipative. However we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of operator (4) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for the particular case of constant coefficients (see [6]).

If operator (4) has smooth coefficients and it is strongly elliptic, then condition (2) is necessary and sufficient for the $L^{p}$-quasi-dissipativity of $E$, i.e. for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of $E-\omega I$, for a suitable $\omega>0$.

We extended these results to the class of systems of partial differential operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=\partial_{h}\left(\mathscr{A}^{h}(x) \partial_{h} u\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{A}^{h}$ are $m \times m$ matrices whose elements are complex valued $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ functions (see [7]). We found that the operator $A$ is $L^{p}$-dissipative if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{R e}\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{h}(x) \lambda, \lambda\right\rangle-(1-2 / p)^{2} \mathbb{R e}\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{h}(x) \omega, \omega\right\rangle(\mathbb{R e}\langle\lambda, \omega\rangle)^{2} \\
& -(1-2 / p) \mathbb{R e}\left(\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{h}(x) \omega, \lambda\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{h}(x) \lambda, \omega\right\rangle\right) \mathbb{R e}\langle\lambda, \omega\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\lambda, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^{m},|\omega|=1, h=1, \ldots, N$. We have determined also the angle of dissipativity for such operators.

In the particular case of positive real symmetric matrices $\mathscr{A}^{h}$, we proved that $A$ is $L^{p}$-dissipative if and only if

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{1}^{h}(x)+\mu_{m}^{h}(x)\right)^{2} \leqslant \mu_{1}^{h}(x) \mu_{m}^{h}(x)
$$

almost everywhere, $h=1, \ldots, N$, where $\mu_{1}^{h}(x)$ and $\mu_{m}^{h}(x)$ are the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathscr{A}^{h}(x)$ respectively. These results, obtained in [7], were new even for systems of ordinary differential equations.

Peculiar results have been obtained for the system of linear elasticity (see $[7,8]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u=\Delta u+(1-2 \nu)^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div} u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\nu$ being the Poisson ratio, $\nu>1$ or $\nu<1 / 2$ ), which is not of the form (5).
In particular, for the planar elasticity, we proved (see [7]) that operator (7) is $L^{p}$-dissipative if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{2(\nu-1)(2 \nu-1)}{(3-4 \nu)^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [8] we showed that condition (8) is necessary for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of operator (7) in any dimension, even when the Poisson ratio is not constant. At the present it is not known if condition (8) is also sufficient for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of elasticity operator for $N>2$, in particular for $N=3$.

Nevertheless, in the same paper, we gave a more strict explicit condition which is sufficient for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of (7). Indeed we proved that if

$$
(1-2 / p)^{2} \leqslant \begin{cases}\frac{1-2 \nu}{2(1-\nu)} & \text { if } \nu<1 / 2 \\ \frac{2(1-\nu)}{1-2 \nu} & \text { if } \nu>1\end{cases}
$$

then the operator (7) is $L^{p}$-dissipative.
In [8] we gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a weighted $L^{p_{-}}$ negativity of the Dirichlet-Lamé operator, i.e. for the validity of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u+(1-2 \nu)^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div} u\right)|u|^{p-2} u \frac{d x}{|x|^{\alpha}} \leqslant 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the condition that the vector $u$ is rotationally invariant, i.e. $u$ depends only on $\varrho=|x|$ and $u_{\varrho}$ is the only nonzero spherical component of $u$. Namely we showed that (9) holds for any such $u$ belonging to $\left(C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)\right)^{N}$ if and only if

$$
-(p-1)\left(N+p^{\prime}-2\right) \leqslant \alpha \leqslant N+p-2 .
$$

We have considered also the $L^{p}$-positivity of the fractional powers of the Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}(0<\alpha<1)$ for any $p \in(1, \infty)$ (see [9, Section 7.6, pp.230231]. Specifically we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left\langle(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u, u\right\rangle|u|^{p-2} d x \geqslant \frac{2 c_{\alpha}}{p p^{\prime}}\left\||u|^{p / 2}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real valued $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where

$$
c_{\alpha}=-\pi^{-N / 2} 4^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+N / 2) / \Gamma(-\alpha)>0 .
$$

and $\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha, 2}}$ is the semi-norm

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|v(x+t)-v(x)|^{2} \frac{d x d t}{|t|^{N+2 \alpha}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

All these results are collected in the monograph [9] where they are considered in the more general frame of semi-bounded operators.

The $L^{P}$-dissipativity of the matrix operator

$$
E u=\mathscr{B}^{h}(x) \partial_{h} u+\mathscr{D}(x) u,
$$

where $\mathscr{B}^{h}(x)$ and $\mathscr{D}(x)$ are matrices with complex valued locally integrable entries defined in the domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant$ $m, 1 \leqslant h \leqslant N)$, is the subject of paper [10].

We proved that, if $p \neq 2, E$ is $L^{p}$-dissipative if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}^{h}(x)=b_{h}(x) I \text { a.e., } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$b_{h}(x)$ being real valued locally integrable functions, and the inequality

$$
\mathbb{R e}\left\langle\left(p^{-1} \partial_{h} \mathscr{B}^{h}(x)-\mathscr{D}(x)\right) \zeta, \zeta\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

holds for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{m},|\zeta|=1$ and for almost any $x \in \Omega$. If $p=2$ condition (11) is replaced by the more general requirement that the matrices $\mathscr{B}^{h}(x)$ are self-adjoint a.e.. On combining this with the results we have previously obtained, we deduced sufficient conditions for the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of certain systems of partial differential operators of the second order.

Paper [11] concerns the "complex oblique derivative" operator, i.e. the boundary operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \cdot \nabla u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{N}}+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the coefficients $a_{j}$ being complex valued $L^{\infty}$ functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. We gave new necessary and, separately, sufficient conditions for the $L^{p_{-}}$ dissipativity of operator (12). In the case of real coefficients we provided a necessary and sufficient condition. Specifically we proved that, if $a_{j}$ are real valued, the operator $\lambda \cdot \nabla$ is $L^{p}$-dissipative if and only if there exists a real vector $\Gamma \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
-\partial_{j} a_{j} \delta\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{p^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{div} \Gamma-|\Gamma|^{2}\right)
$$

in the sense of distributions.
In the same paper we have considered also a class of integral operators which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{*}[u(x)-u(y)] K(d x, d y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral has to be understood as a principal value in the sense of Cauchy and the kernel $K(d x, d y)$ is a Borel positive measure defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying certain conditions. The class of operators we considered includes the fractional powers of Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$, with $0<\alpha<1$. We establish the $L^{p}$-positivity of operator (13), extending in this way (10).

We mention that Hömberg, Krumbiegel and Rehberg [20] used some of the techniques introduced in [6] to show the $L^{p}$-dissipativity of a certain operator connected to the problem of the existence of an optimal control for the heat equation with dynamic boundary condition.

Beyn and Otten [1, 2] considered the semilinear system

$$
A \Delta v(x)+\langle S x, \nabla v(x)\rangle+f(v(x))=0, \quad x \in R^{N}
$$

where $A$ is a $m \times m$ matrix, $S$ is a $N \times N$ skew-symmetric matrix and $f$ is a sufficiently smooth vector function. Among the assumptions they made, they require the existence of a constant $\gamma_{A}>0$ such that

$$
|z|^{2} \mathbb{R e}\langle w, A w\rangle+(p-2) \operatorname{Re}\langle w, z\rangle \mathbb{R e}\langle z, A w\rangle \geqslant \gamma_{A}|z|^{2}|w|^{2}
$$

for any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. This condition originates from our (6).
The results of [6] allowed Nittka [24] to consider the case of partial differential operators with complex coefficients.

Ostermann and Schratz [25] obtained the stability of a numerical procedure for solving a certain evolution problem. The necessary and sufficient condition (2) show that their result does not require the contractivity of the corresponding semigroup.

Chill, Meinlschmidt and Rehberg [12] used some ideas from [6] in the study of the numerical range of second order elliptic operators with mixed boundary conditions in $L^{p}$.

Coming back to scalar operators (1), let us consider the class of operators such that the form (3) is not merely non-negative, but strictly positive, i.e. there exists $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{4}{p p^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+2\left\langle\left(p^{-1} \mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x)+p^{\prime-1} \mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*}(x)\right) \xi, \eta\right\rangle \\
\geqslant \kappa\left(|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. The class of operators (4) whose principal part satisfies (14) and which could be called $p$-strongly elliptic, was recently considered by several authors.

Carbonaro and Dragičević $[4,5]$ showed the validity of some so called bilinear embeddings related to boundary value problems with different boundary conditions for second order complex coefficient operators satisfying condition (14). In a series of papers $[14,15,16,17]$ Dindoš and Pipher proved several results concerning the $L^{p}$ solvability of the Dirichlet problem for the same class of operators.

Finally we mention that recently Maz'ya and Verbitsky [23] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the accretivity of a second order partial differential operator $E$ containing lower order terms, in the case of Dirichlet data. We observe that the accretivity of $E$ is equivalent to the $L^{2}$ dissipativity of $-E$.

### 1.2 Functional dissipativity

A motivation for the study of $L^{p}$-dissipativity comes from the decrease of the norm of solutions of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}=E u  \tag{15}\\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $u=u(x, t), x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, t>0$ and $u(x, t)=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ in some sense for $t>0$. By formal arguments, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{p}^{p}=\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u(x, t)|^{p} d x=p \mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\partial_{t} u, u\right\rangle|u|^{p-2} d x, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the inequality

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle E u, u\rangle|u|^{p-2} d x \leqslant 0
$$

implies the decrease of the $L^{p}$ norm of the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem (15).

More generally, let $\Phi$ be a Young function (a convex positive function such that $\Phi(0)=0$ and $\Phi(+\infty)=+\infty)$ and consider the Orlicz space of functions $u$ for which there exists $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Phi(\alpha|u|) d x<+\infty
$$

For the general theory of Orlicz spaces we refer to Krasnosel'skiĭ, Rutickiĭ [21] and Rao, Ren [26]. As in (16), if $u(x, y)$ is a solution of the CauchyDirichlet problem (15), we have the decrease of the integrals

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u(x, t)|) d x
$$

if

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle E u, u\rangle|u|^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}(|u|) d x \leqslant 0 .
$$

This implies the decrease of the Luxemburg norm in the related Orlicz space

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 \mid \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u(x, t)| / \lambda) d x \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

The aim of the present paper is to find conditions for the positive function $\varphi$ defined on $(0,+\infty)$ to satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle E u, u\rangle \varphi(|u|) d x \leqslant 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any complex valued $u$ in a certain class, $\Omega$ being a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Here $E$ is the scalar operator (1).

In integrals like (17) the combination $\varphi(|u|) u$ in the integrand is taken to be zero where $u$ vanishes, even if the function $\varphi(s)$ is not defined at $s=0$. If $\varphi(t)=t^{p-2}(p>1)$ we recover the concept of $L^{p}$-dissipativity.

We remark that the relation between the function $\varphi$ in (17) and $\Phi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(t)}{t} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} s \varphi(s) d s \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the convexity of $\Phi$ is equivalent to the increase of $s \varphi(s)$.
If (17) holds for a general $\varphi$, we say that the operator $E$ is functional dissipative or $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, in analogy with the terminology used when $\varphi(t)=t^{p-2}$.

More precisely, in the present paper we consider the partial differential operator (1) and consider the corresponding sesquilinear form

$$
\mathscr{L}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla v\rangle d x .
$$

We look for the conditions under which the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, i.e.

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.
In the present paper we have considered Dirichlet problem but in principle this notion could be extended to other boundary value conditions.

### 1.3 The main result

Let us formulate the main result of the present paper. Under the assumption that the matrix $\operatorname{Im} A$ is symmetric, we prove that the operator (1) is $L^{\Phi_{-}}$ dissipative if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right||\langle\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{\varphi(s)[s \varphi(s)]^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $s>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. The function $\varphi$ is a positive function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $s \varphi(s)$ is strictly increasing. The precise conditions we require on the function $\varphi$ are specified later (see section 3.1). If $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}$ is not symmetric condition (19) is only necessary for $E$ to be $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative.

Condition (19) is equivalent to

$$
\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+2 \Lambda(t)\langle\mathbb{I} \mathrm{m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\Lambda$ is the function defined by the relation

$$
\Lambda(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})=-\frac{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}
$$

Note that if $\varphi(s)=s^{p-2}$, this function is constant and $\Lambda(t)=-(1-2 / p)$, $1-\Lambda^{2}(t)=4 /\left(p p^{\prime}\right)$. As for (3), if $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}$ is not symmetric, the condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+} \\
{[1+\Lambda(t)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle+[1-\Lambda(t)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*}(x) \xi, \eta\right\rangle \geqslant 0} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, is only sufficient for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity.

If the principal part of operator (4) is such that the left-hand side of (20) is not merely non negative but strictly positive, i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+} \\
{[1+\Lambda(t)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle+[1-\Lambda(t)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*}(x) \xi, \eta\right\rangle \geqslant \kappa\left(|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

for a certain $\kappa>0$ and for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we say that the operator $E$ is $\Phi$-strongly elliptic.

### 1.4 Structure of the paper

The present paper is organized as follows.
After the short preliminary Section 2, in Section 3 we specify the class of functions $\varphi$ we are going to consider and introduce some related functions.

Section 4 is devoted to prove a technical lemma concerning real bilinear forms, which will be used later, in the proof of the main result.

In Section 5 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity. Specifically we prove the equivalence between the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity of the operator $E$ and the positiveness of a certain form in ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}(\Omega)$. We remark that a similar result holds also for second order differential operators with lower order terms, in analogy with [6, Lemma 1, p.1070]. This can be proved with the same technique, but for the sake of simplicity here we have preferred to avoid such a more general formulation. The section ends with a lemma concerning $\Phi$-strongly elliptic operators.

The main result concerning condition (19) is proved in Section 6. We give also some examples showing that in some cases only real nonnegative operators are $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, while in other cases the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity is equivalent to the algebraic condition

$$
\lambda_{0}|\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where the constant $\lambda_{0}$ is explicitly determined.

## 2 Preliminaries and notations

Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. As usual, by $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we denote the space of complex valued $C^{\infty}$ functions having compact support in $\Omega$ and by ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}(\Omega)$
the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x
$$

$\nabla u$ being the gradient of the function $u$.
The inner product either in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ or in $\mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and the bar denotes complex conjugation.

In what follows, $\mathscr{A}$ is a $N \times N$ matrix function with complex valued entries $a_{h k} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \mathscr{A}^{t}$ is its transposed matrix and $\mathscr{A}^{*}$ is its adjoint matrix, i.e. $\mathscr{A}^{*}=\overline{\mathscr{A}}^{t}$.

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the sesquilinear form

$$
\mathscr{L}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla v\rangle d x .
$$

We say that the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u) d x \geqslant 0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Here $\varphi$ is a positive function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0,+\infty)$. In the next section we specify the conditions we require on $\varphi$.

In the sequel we shall sometimes use the following notations. Given two functions $F$ and $G$ defined on a set $Y$, writing $|F(y)| \lesssim|G(y)|$ we mean that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that $|F(y)| \leqslant C|G(y)|$ for any $y \in Y$. If $|F(y)| \lesssim|G(y)|$ and $|G(y)| \lesssim|F(y)|$ we shall write $F(y) \simeq G(y)$.

## 3 The function $\varphi$ and related functions

In this Section we introduce the class of function $\varphi$ with respect to which we consider the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity. We also introduce other functions related to $\varphi$ and prove some of their properties.

### 3.1 The functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$

The positive function $\varphi$ is required to satisfy the following conditions

1. $\varphi \in C^{1}((0,+\infty))$;
2. $(s \varphi(s))^{\prime}>0$ for any $s>0$;
3. the range of the strictly increasing function $s \varphi(s)$ is $(0,+\infty)$;
4. there exist two positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and a real number $r>-1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} s^{r} \leqslant(s \varphi(s))^{\prime} \leqslant C_{2} s^{r}, \quad s \in\left(0, s_{0}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain $s_{0}>0$. If $r=0$ we require more restrictive conditions: there exists the finite limit $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varphi(s)=\varphi_{+}(0)>0$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} s \varphi^{\prime}(s)=0$.
5. There exists $s_{1}>s_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \geqslant 0 \text { or } \varphi^{\prime}(s) \leqslant 0 \quad \forall s \geqslant s_{1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition 4 prescribes the behaviour of the function $\varphi$ in a neighborhood of the origin, while 5 concerns the behaviour for large $s$.

The function $\varphi(s)=s^{p-2}(p>1)$ provides an example of such a function. Other examples can be found at the end of the paper.

From condition 4 it follows that, for any $r>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s) \simeq s^{r}, \quad s \in\left(0, s_{0}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $t \psi(t)$ the inverse function of $s \varphi(s)$. The functions

$$
\Phi(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \sigma \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma, \quad \Psi(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \sigma \psi(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

are conjugate Young functions.
Lemma 1 The function $\varphi$ satisfies conditions 1-5 if and only if the function $\psi$ satisfies the same conditions with $-r /(r+1)$ instead of $r$.

Proof. Inequalities (23) and (25) imply

$$
\psi(t) \simeq t^{-r /(1+r)}, \quad(t \psi(t))^{\prime} \simeq t^{-r /(1+r)} \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)
$$

for a certain $t_{0}>0$.

Since $-r /(1+r)>-1$, the function $\psi$ satisfy the conditions $1-4$ with $-r /(1+r)$ instead of $r$. In the particular case $r=0$ this follows from the equality $t \psi(t) \varphi[t \psi(t)]=t(t>0)$, which implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi(t)=\frac{1}{\varphi_{+}(0)}>0, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} t \psi^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left((t \psi(t))^{\prime}-\psi(t)\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+\varphi(s)}-\frac{1}{\varphi_{+}(0)}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $s \varphi(s) \psi[s \varphi(s)]=s$, we find $\psi[s \varphi(s)]=1 / \varphi(s)$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}[s \varphi(s)](s \varphi(s))^{\prime}=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s)}{\varphi^{2}(s)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping in mind condition 2, we have that the function $\psi^{\prime}$ satisfies condition (24) for $t$ greater than $t_{1}=s_{1} \varphi\left(s_{1}\right)$, but with an opposite sign.

The viceversa is now obvious, since $-(-r /(1+r) /(1-r /(1+r))=r$.

### 3.2 Some auxiliary functions

The function $s \sqrt{\varphi(s)}$ is strictly increasing. Let $\zeta(t)$ be its inverse, i.e. $\zeta(t)=(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})^{-1}$. The range of $s \sqrt{\varphi(s)}$ is $(0,+\infty)$ and $\zeta(t)$ belongs to $C^{1}((0,+\infty))$.

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t)=\zeta(t) / t ; \quad \Lambda(t)=t \Theta^{\prime}(t) / \Theta(t) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (25) it follows that there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t) \leqslant K t^{2 /(2+r)}, \quad \Theta(t) \leqslant K t^{-r /(2+r)}, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain $t_{0}>0$.
We have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})=1 / \sqrt{\varphi(s)} ; \quad \Theta^{\prime}(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s)}{\varphi(s)\left[s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)\right]} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that condition 2 implies

$$
s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)>0, \quad s \in(0,+\infty)
$$

We can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)}) & =-\frac{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}  \tag{30}\\
1-\Lambda(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)}) & =2 \frac{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+\varphi(s)}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}>0 \\
1+\Lambda(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)}) & =\frac{2 \varphi(s)}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}>0
\end{align*}
$$

from which it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\Lambda^{2}(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})=\frac{4 \varphi(s)\left(s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+\varphi(s)\right)}{\left(s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)\right)^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<\Lambda(t)<1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t>0$. This, together with (28), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Theta^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \Theta(t) / t \leqslant K t^{-2(1+r) /(2+r)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$.
Finally we give two equalities we shall use later. The first equality in (29) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{2}(t) \varphi[\zeta(t)]=1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t>0$, which leads to

$$
2 \Theta(t) \Theta^{\prime}(t) \varphi[\zeta(t)]+\Theta^{2}(t) \varphi^{\prime}[\zeta(t)] \zeta^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

and then

$$
\Theta(t) \varphi^{\prime}[\zeta(t)] \zeta^{\prime}(t)+\Theta^{\prime}(t) \varphi[\zeta(t)]=-\Theta^{\prime}(t) \varphi[\zeta(t)]=-\Theta^{\prime}(t) / \Theta^{2}(t)
$$

Since $\zeta^{\prime}(t)=t \Theta^{\prime}(t)+\Theta(t)$ we have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t) \varphi^{\prime}[\zeta(t)]\left[t \Theta^{\prime}(t)+\Theta(t)\right]+\Theta^{\prime}(t) \varphi[\zeta(t)]=-\Theta^{\prime}(t) / \Theta^{2}(t) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t>0$.

Lemma 2 Let $\widetilde{\zeta}(t)$ the inverse function of $t \sqrt{\psi(t)}$ and define, as in (27),

$$
\widetilde{\Theta}(t)=\widetilde{\zeta}(t) / t ; \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}(t)=t \widetilde{\Theta}^{\prime}(t) / \widetilde{\Theta}(t) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Theta}(t)=\frac{1}{\Theta(t)}, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}(t)=-\Lambda(t) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t>0$.
Proof. The function $t \psi(t)$ being the inverse of $s \varphi(s)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s) \psi[s \varphi(s)]=1, \forall s>0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and (29) we deduce

$$
\Theta(s \sqrt{\varphi(s)})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi(s)}}=\sqrt{\psi[s \varphi(s)]}=\sqrt{\psi(t)}=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Theta}(t \sqrt{\psi(t)})}
$$

where we have set $t=s \varphi(s)$. On the other hand, keeping in mind (37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \sqrt{\psi(t)}=s \varphi(s) \sqrt{\psi(s \varphi(s))}=s \sqrt{\varphi(s)} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equality in (36) is proved and the second one follows at once.

### 3.3 A Lemma concerning Sobolev spaces

We conclude this Section with the next Lemma which guarantees that the function $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ or $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3 If $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)\left(\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in H^{1}(\Omega)\left(\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, then $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)\left(\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
Proof. Let us suppose $u, \varphi(|u|) u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. The function $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega)$, because we can write $\varphi(|u|)|u|^{2}$ as the product of the two $L^{2}$ functions $\varphi(|u|)|u|$ and $|u|$.

Consider now its gradient. Suppose $r \geqslant 0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(s) \geqslant 0$, for $s \geqslant s_{1}$ (see (24)). We have

$$
\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)=\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} \nabla u+(2 \sqrt{\varphi(|u|)})^{-1} \varphi^{\prime}(|u|) \nabla(|u|) u
$$

on the set $\Omega_{0}=\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) \neq 0\}$.
Let us prove that this gradient belongs to $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$. We can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x= \\
\left(\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}}+\int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}}+\int_{|u|>s_{1}}\right)|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Observing that $\varphi(|u|) \simeq|u|^{r}$ and $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)\right||u|=\left|(\varphi(|u|)|u|)^{\prime}-\varphi(|u|)\right| \lesssim$ $|u|^{r} \lesssim 1$ for $|u|<s_{0}$ (see (23) and (25)), we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \\
\left(\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}} \varphi(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\left.\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}}{\varphi(|u|)}|u|^{2}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim \\
\left(\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\left.\int_{0<|u|<s_{0}}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Concerning the set where $s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \\
\left(\int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}} \varphi(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\left.\int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}}{\varphi(|u|)}|u|^{2}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \\
\left(\max _{s \in\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right]} \varphi(s) \int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\left.\max _{s \in\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right]} \frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(s) s\right)^{2}}{\varphi(s)} \int_{s_{0} \leqslant|u| \leqslant s_{1}}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\lesssim\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe now that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)|^{2}=\left|\varphi^{\prime}(|u|) \nabla(|u|) u+\varphi(|u|) \nabla u\right|^{2}= \\
\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}|u|^{2}|\nabla(|u|)|^{2}+2 \varphi^{\prime}(|u|) \varphi(|u|)\langle\nabla(|u|), \mathbb{R e}(\bar{u} \nabla u)\rangle+\varphi^{2}(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2}= \\
{\left[\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}|u|^{2}+2 \varphi^{\prime}(|u|) \varphi(|u|)|u|\right]|\nabla(|u|)|^{2}+\varphi^{2}(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

on $\Omega_{0}$. Since $\varphi^{\prime}(s) \geqslant 0$ for $s \geqslant s_{1}$, each term in the last line of (41) is non negative in $\Omega_{0}$. This implies that each of these terms is integrable on the set $|u| \geqslant s_{1}$, the gradient of $\varphi(|u|) u$ belonging to $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

By Cauchy inequality we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{|u|>s_{1}} \varphi(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leqslant \\
\left(\int_{|u|>s_{1}} \varphi^{2}(|u|)|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{|u|>s_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}<+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left.\int_{|u|>s_{1}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}}{\varphi(|u|)}|u|^{2}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x \leqslant\left.\frac{1}{M_{0}} \int_{|u|>s_{1}} \varphi^{\prime}(|u|)^{2}|u|^{2}|\nabla| u\right|^{2} d x<+\infty
$$

where $M_{0}>0$ is such that $\varphi(s) \geqslant M_{0}$ for any $s \geqslant s_{1}$.
We have then shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|u|>s_{1}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x<+\infty \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting (39), (40) and (42) we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x<+\infty
$$

Suppose now that $r \geqslant 0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leqslant 0$, for $s \geqslant s_{1}$. Inequalities (39) and (40) are still valid. In order to estimate $\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)$ on the set where $|u|>s_{1}$ we proceed as follows.

Let us define $w=\varphi(|u|) u$. We have $u=\psi(|w|) w$ and then $w$ and $\psi(|w|) w$ belong to $H^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, since $|w|=\varphi(|u|)|u|$ and in view of equality (38), we can write $\sqrt{\psi(|w|)}|w|=\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)}|u|$. Recalling the definition of $w$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\psi(|w|)} w=\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant s_{1} \varphi\left(s_{1}\right)$ (see (26)), we can rewrite formula (41) replacing $\varphi(|u|) u$ by $\psi(|w|) w$ and deduce - as for (42) - that

$$
\int_{|u|>s_{1}}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} d x=\int_{|w|>s_{1} \varphi\left(s_{1}\right)}|\nabla(\sqrt{\psi(|w|)} w)|^{2} d x<+\infty
$$

We have then proved that, if $r \geqslant 0$, the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(2 \sqrt{\varphi(|u|)})^{-1} \varphi^{\prime}(|u|) \nabla(|u|) u+\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} \nabla u\right] \chi_{\Omega_{0}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\chi_{\Omega_{0}}$ is the characteristic function of $\Omega_{0}$ ) belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let us show that (44) is the weak gradient of $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and define

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi(\varepsilon) & \text { if }|t| \leqslant \varepsilon \\
\varphi(|t|) & \text { if }|t|>\varepsilon .
\end{array} \quad h_{\varepsilon}=\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)} u\right.
$$

The function $h_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\nabla h_{\varepsilon}= \begin{cases}\sqrt{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \nabla u & \text { if }|u| \leqslant \varepsilon \\ \nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u) & \text { if }|u|>\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

almost everywhere in $\Omega$.
For any $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and for any $j=1, \ldots, N$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon} \partial_{j} f d x=-\int_{\Omega} f \partial_{j} h_{\varepsilon} d x= \\
-\sqrt{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \int_{|u| \leqslant \varepsilon} f \partial_{j} u d x-\int_{|u|>\varepsilon} f \partial_{j}[\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u] d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{\varepsilon}\right|=\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)}|u| \leqslant \max \{\sqrt{\varphi(\varepsilon)}, \sqrt{\varphi(|u|)}\}|u| \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u \partial_{j} f d x=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\Omega} h_{\varepsilon} \partial_{j} f d x=-\int_{\Omega_{0}} f \partial_{j}[\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u] d x
$$

This means that (44) is the weak gradient of $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ and then $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

If $u$ and $\varphi(|u|) u$ are in $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ we shall first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u \partial_{i} f d x=-\int_{\Omega} f \partial_{i}(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u) \chi_{\Omega_{0}} d x . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)} u \partial_{i} f d x=\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|) u\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{i} f d x= \\
& \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|) u\left[\partial_{i}\left(\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\right)-f \partial_{i}\left(\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $\varphi(|u|) u$ is in $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|) u \partial_{i}\left(\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\right) d x= \\
\int_{\Omega}\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)-\varphi(|u|)\right] u \partial_{i}\left(\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \varphi(|u|) u \partial_{i}\left(\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\right) d x= \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi(\varepsilon)}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon}[\varphi(\varepsilon)-\varphi(|u|)] u \partial_{i} f d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \partial_{i}(\varphi(|u|) u) d x= \\
-\int_{\Omega}\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \partial_{i}(\varphi(|u|) u) d x+o(1)= \\
-\int_{|u|>\varepsilon}[\varphi(|u|)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \partial_{i}(\varphi(|u|) u) d x+o(1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|u|)} u \partial_{i} f d x= \\
-\int_{|u|>\varepsilon} f\left[[\varphi(|u|)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{i}(\varphi(|u|) u)+\varphi(|u|) u \partial_{i}\left([\varphi(|u|)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] d x+o(1)= \\
-\int_{|u|>\varepsilon} f \partial_{i}(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u) d x+o(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

In view of (45), letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we get (46). This means that the function $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ extended by zero outside $\Omega$ belongs to $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Now we may appeal to a result proved by Deny [13] (see also Hedberg [19]) and conclude that $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u \in \stackrel{\dot{H}}{ }{ }^{1}(\Omega)$. The proof is complete for $r \geqslant 0$.

If $-1<r<0$ we write the function $\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$ as in (43). What we have already proved for $r \geqslant 0$ shows that $\sqrt{\psi(|w|)} w \in H^{1}(\Omega)\left(\dot{\circ}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

## 4 A lemma on a bilinear form

This Section is devoted to prove a Lemma which we shall use in the main Theorem and concerns the positivity of the bilinear form $\langle\mathscr{B} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \times C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where $\mathscr{B}=\left\{b_{h j}\right\}$ is a real matrix whose elements depend on $x$ and $|v|$. We note that if $b_{h j}$ do not depend on $|v|$, the result is well known and can be obtained by standard arguments (see, e.g., [18, pp.107-108]).

Lemma 4 Let $\mathscr{B}=\left\{b_{h j}\right\}$ a real matrix whose elements belong to $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$ and $b_{h j}(x, t)$ are continuous with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{B}(x,|v|) \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle d x \geqslant 0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real valued scalar function $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathscr{B}(x, t) \xi, \xi\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Let us assume that the matrix $\mathscr{B}$ does not depend on $x$ and suppose that (48) is false. This means that there exists $t_{0}>0$ and $\omega_{0},\left|\omega_{0}\right|=1$ such that $\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(t_{0}\right) \omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right\rangle<0$.

We can find two positive constants $M, \delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathscr{B}(t) \omega, \omega\rangle \leqslant-M, \quad \forall t, \omega:\left|t-t_{0}\right|<\delta,\left|\omega-\omega_{0}\right|<\delta,|\omega|=1 . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that the origin belongs to $\Omega$. Let now $v(x)=\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)$ (where, as usual, $x=\varrho \omega(\varrho>0,|\omega|=1)$ ), where $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\gamma \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma), \Sigma$ being the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Note that

$$
\nabla v(x)=\dot{\beta}(\varrho) \gamma(\omega) \omega+\beta(\varrho) \varrho^{-1} \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega)
$$

where the dot and $\nabla_{\omega}$ denote the derivative with respect to $\varrho$ and the tangential gradient ${ }^{1}$ on the unit sphere respectively.

[^1]Assuming that the support of $\beta$ is so small that $\operatorname{spt} v \subset \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{B}(|v|) \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle d x=\int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|) \omega, \omega\rangle \dot{\beta}^{2}(\varrho) \gamma^{2}(\omega) d x+ \\
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\left[\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|)+\mathscr{B}^{*}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|)\right] \omega, \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega)\right\rangle \dot{\beta}(\varrho) \beta(\varrho) \varrho^{-1} \gamma(\omega) d x+ \\
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|) \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega), \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega)\right\rangle \beta^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{-2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leqslant \int_{0}^{+\infty} \dot{\beta}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho \int_{|\omega|=1}\langle\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|) \omega, \omega\rangle \gamma^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \dot{\beta}(\varrho) \beta(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho \int_{|\omega|=1}\langle[\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|)+  \tag{50}\\
\left.\left.\mathscr{B}^{*}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|)\right] \omega, \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega)\right\rangle \gamma(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-3} d \varrho \int_{|\omega|=1}\left\langle\mathscr{B}(|\beta(\varrho) \gamma(\omega)|) \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega), \nabla_{\omega} \gamma(\omega)\right\rangle d \sigma_{\omega} .
\end{gather*}
$$

We choose now a particular sequence of test functions. Fix $0<\varrho_{1}<\varrho_{2}<$ $\varrho_{3}<\varrho_{4}<\operatorname{dist}(0, \partial \Omega)$. The sequences $\left\{\beta_{m}(\varrho)\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{m}(\omega)\right\}$ are required to satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{m} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad \operatorname{spt} \beta_{m} \subset\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{4}\right) ; \\
\beta_{m}(\varrho)=\beta_{1}(\varrho), \quad \varrho \in\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{4}\right) \backslash\left(\varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}\right), m=1,2, \ldots ; \\
t_{0}-\delta<\beta_{m}(\varrho)<t_{0}+\delta, \quad \varrho \in\left(\varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}\right), m=1,2, \ldots ; \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho=+\infty ;
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{m} \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma), \operatorname{spt} \gamma_{m} \subset \Sigma_{2 \delta} ; \\
0 \leqslant \gamma_{m} \leqslant 1 ; \quad \gamma_{m}(\omega)=1, \forall \omega \in \Sigma_{\delta} ; \\
\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / \sqrt{\lambda_{m}}\right) ; \\
\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|^{2} d \sigma_{\omega}=\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{m}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{52}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\Sigma_{\delta}$ is the set $\left\{\omega \in \Sigma\left|\left|\omega-\omega_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}\right.$ and

$$
\lambda_{m}=\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho
$$

As a consequence we have also

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right| d \sigma_{\omega} \leqslant \\
\left(\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|^{2} d \sigma_{\omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathcal{O}(1) . \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

Inequality (50) and conditions (51), (52) imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leqslant \int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{m}(\varrho)\right|\right) \omega, \omega\right\rangle d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \mid \Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{m}(\varrho)\right| \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right) \omega, \omega\right\rangle \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{4}\right) \backslash\left(\varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}\right)} \dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{1}(\varrho)\right|\right) \omega, \omega\right\rangle d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{4}\right) \backslash\left(\varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}\right)} \dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-1} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{1}(\varrho)\right| \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right) \omega, \omega\right\rangle \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}(\varrho) \beta_{m}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\left[\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{m}(\varrho)\right| \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right)+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\mathscr{B}^{*}\left(\left|\beta_{m}(\varrho) \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|\right)\right] \omega, \nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right\rangle \gamma_{m}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\int_{\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{4}\right) \backslash\left(\varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}\right)}^{\dot{\beta}_{1}(\varrho) \beta_{1}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\left[\mathscr { B } \left(\left|\beta_{1}(\varrho)\right| \gamma_{m}(\omega)+\right.\right.\right.} \\
\left.\left.\int_{\varrho_{1}}^{\varrho_{4}}\left(\left|\beta_{1}(\varrho) \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|\right)\right] \omega, \nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right\rangle \gamma_{m}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+ \\
\beta_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-3} d \varrho \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(\left|\beta_{m}(\varrho)\right| \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right) \nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega), \nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right\rangle d \sigma_{\omega} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is worth noting that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}(\varrho) \beta_{m}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho \leqslant \\
\left(\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \dot{\beta}_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\varrho_{2}}^{\varrho_{3}} \beta_{m}^{2}(\varrho) \varrho^{N-2} d \varrho\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{\beta_{m}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded, the matrix $\mathscr{B}$ is bounded and recalling condition (49), we deduce that there exists a constant $K$ such
that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqslant-M\left|\Sigma_{\delta}\right| \lambda_{m}+K\left(\lambda_{m} \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+1+\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{m}}+1\right) \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right| d \sigma_{\omega}+\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|^{2} d \sigma_{\omega}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $\lambda_{m}$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leqslant-M\left|\Sigma_{\delta}\right|+K\left(\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}+\lambda_{m}^{-1}\left(1+\int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}} \gamma_{m}^{2}(\omega) d \sigma_{\omega}\right)+\right. \\
\left.\left(\lambda_{m}^{-1 / 2}+\lambda_{m}^{-1}\right) \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right| d \sigma_{\omega}+\lambda_{m}^{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{2 \delta} \backslash \Sigma_{\delta}}\left|\nabla_{\omega} \gamma_{m}(\omega)\right|^{2} d \sigma_{\omega}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and keeping in mind (52) and (53) we obtain

$$
0 \leqslant-M\left|\Sigma_{\delta}\right|
$$

and this is absurd. Inequality (48) is then proved when the matrix $\mathscr{B}$ does not depend on $x$.

In the general case, suppose (47) holds and take

$$
v(x)=w\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) / \varepsilon\right)
$$

where $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is a fixed point, $w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. In this case (47) shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(x,\left|w\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) / \varepsilon\right)\right|\right) \nabla w\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) / \varepsilon\right), \nabla w\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) / \varepsilon\right)\right\rangle d x= \\
\varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon y,|w(y)|\right) \nabla w(y), \nabla w(y)\right\rangle d y
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(x_{0},|w(y)|\right) \nabla w(y), \nabla w(y)\right\rangle d y= \\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{B}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon y,|w(y)|\right) \nabla w(y), \nabla w(y)\right\rangle d y \geqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

for almost any $x_{0} \in \Omega$. The arbitrariness of $w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and what we have obtained for matrices not depending on $x$ give the result.

## 5 The functional dissipativity

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \varphi$ a function satisfying the conditions $1-4$ in Section 3 and $E u=\nabla(\mathscr{A} \nabla u)$.

The next Theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the functional dissipativity of the operator $E$.

Lemma 5 The operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left[\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left\langle\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{*}\right) \nabla\right| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right)\right\rangle+  \tag{54}\\
\left.\quad-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right] d x \geqslant 0, \quad \forall v \in \stackrel{H}{H}^{1}(\Omega),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is given by (27). Here and in the sequel the integrand is extended by zero on the set where $v$ vanishes.
Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose $r \geqslant 0$. Let $u \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in$ $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ and define $v=\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$. In view of Lemma 3 we have that $v$ belongs to $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover $u=|v|^{-1} \zeta(|v|) v=\Theta(|v|) v, \varphi(|u|) \bar{u}=|v|[\zeta(|v|)]^{-1} \bar{v}=$ $[\Theta(|v|)]^{-1} v($ see $(27))$. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle=\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla(\Theta(|v|) v), \nabla\left([\Theta(|v|)]^{-1} v\right)\right\rangle= \\
\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\Theta^{\prime}(|v|) v \nabla|v|+\Theta(|v|) \nabla v,-\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)[\Theta(|v|)]^{-2} v \nabla|v|+[\Theta(|v|)]^{-1} \nabla v\right\rangle=\right. \\
-\left(\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)[\Theta(|v|)]^{-1}|v|\right)^{2}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle+ \\
\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)[\Theta(|v|)]^{-1}(v\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla v\rangle-\bar{v}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla| v| \rangle)+\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle= \\
\quad-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle+ \\
\left.\Lambda(|v|)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle-\left.\langle | v\right|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v, \mathscr{A}^{*} \nabla|v|\right\rangle\right)+\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) \neq 0\}=\{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle d x= \\
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left[\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left\langle\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{*}\right) \nabla\right| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right)\right\rangle+ \\
\left.-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right] d x \geqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

because of (54), and (22) is proved.

If $-1<r<0$, setting $w=\varphi(|u|) u$, i.e. $u=\psi(|w|) w$, we can write condition (22) as

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{*} \nabla w, \nabla(\psi(|w|) w)\right\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $w \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi(|w|) w \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Recalling Lemma 1, what we have already proved for $r \geqslant 0$ shows that this inequality holds if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{*} \nabla v, \nabla v\right\rangle+\widetilde{\Lambda}(|v|)\left\langle\left(\mathscr{A}^{*}-\mathscr{A}\right) \nabla\right| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right)\right\rangle+  \tag{55}\\
\left.-\widetilde{\Lambda}^{2}(|v|)\left\langle\mathscr{A}^{*} \nabla\right| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right] d x \geqslant 0, \quad \forall v \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\widetilde{\Lambda}(|v|)=-\Lambda(|v|)$ (see (36)), conditions (55) coincides with (54) and the sufficiency is proved also for $-1<r<0$.

Necessity. Let $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and define $u_{\varepsilon}=\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) v$, where $g_{\varepsilon}=\sqrt{|v|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}$. The function $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\varphi\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) u_{\varepsilon}$ belong to $C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla\left(\varphi\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle=\right. \\
\varphi\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)\right\rangle= \\
\varphi\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) v \nabla g_{\varepsilon}+\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v\right), \Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) v \nabla g_{\varepsilon}+\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v\right\rangle+ \\
\varphi^{\prime}\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right] \times \\
\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) v \nabla g_{\varepsilon}+\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v\right), \Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) v\left(\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v| \nabla g_{\varepsilon}+\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla|v|\right)\right\rangle= \\
\varphi\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]\left\{\left[\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{2}|v|^{2}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle+\right. \\
\left.\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathscr{A}(\bar{v} \nabla v), \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle\right]+\Theta^{2}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle\right\}+ \\
\varphi^{\prime}\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]\left\{\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{2}|v|^{3}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle+\right. \\
\Theta^{2}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) \Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[|v|^{2}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \nabla\right| v| \rangle+|v|\left\langle\mathscr{A}(\bar{v} \nabla v), \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle\right]+ \\
\left.\Theta^{3}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\langle\mathscr{A}(\bar{v} \nabla v), \nabla| v| \rangle\right\} . \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$the right hand side tends to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+ \\
\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{\prime}(|v|) \Theta(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \bar{v} \nabla v\rangle+ \\
\Theta(|v|)\left\{\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{\prime}(|v|)+\right. \\
\left.\varphi^{\prime}[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta(|v|)\left[\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)|v|+\Theta(|v|)\right]\right\}\langle\mathscr{A}(\bar{v} \nabla v), \nabla| v\rangle+  \tag{57}\\
\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)|v|^{2}\left\{\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{\prime}(|v|)+\right. \\
\left.\varphi^{\prime}[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta(|v|)\left[\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)|v|+\Theta(|v|)\right]\right\}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

on the set $\Omega_{0}=\{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$.
In view of (34) and (35) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{2}(|v|)=1, \varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{\prime}(|v|) \Theta(|v|)=\Theta^{\prime}(|v|) / \Theta(|v|) \\
\varphi[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta^{\prime}(|v|)+\varphi^{\prime}[\Theta(|v|)|v|] \Theta(|v|)\left[\Theta^{\prime}(|v|)|v|+\Theta(|v|)\right]= \\
-\Theta^{\prime}(|v|) / \Theta^{2}(|v|)
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting these equalities in (57) and keeping in mind (56), we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla\left(\varphi\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle=\right. \\
\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right), \nabla\right| v| \rangle\right)+ \\
-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

on $\Omega_{0}$.
By using (25),(28) and (33) one can prove that each term in the last expression of (56) can be majorized by $L^{1}$ functions. Let us consider the first one: $\varphi\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]\left[\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{2}|v|^{2}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle$. Observing also that $\left|\nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right| \leqslant|\nabla v|$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.\left|\varphi\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]\left[\Theta^{\prime}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{2}\right| v\right|^{2}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla g_{\varepsilon}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle\left|\lesssim\left[\Theta\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|\right]^{r} \Theta^{2}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right) g_{\varepsilon}^{-2}\right| v\right|^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} \leqslant \\
\Theta^{2+r}\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)|v|^{r}|\nabla v|^{2} \lesssim g_{\varepsilon}^{-r}|v|^{r}|\nabla v|^{2} . \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover

$$
g_{\varepsilon}^{-r}|v|^{r} \leqslant \begin{cases}C, & \text { if } r>0 \\ C|v|^{r}, & \text { if } r \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

where the constant $C$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$. Since the function $|v|^{r}|\nabla v|^{2} \chi_{\Omega_{0}}$ belong to $L^{1}(\Omega)$ because $r>-1$ (see Langer [22, p.312]), we see that in any
case the last term in (58) can be majorized by an $L^{1}$ function which does not depend on $\varepsilon$. The other terms in (56) can be estimated in a similar way.

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla\left(\varphi\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) u_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle d x=\right. \\
\int_{\Omega}\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right), \nabla\right| v| \rangle\right)+\right. \\
\left.-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right) d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

The left hand side being non negative (see (22)), inequality (54) holds for any $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Let now $v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $H^{1}$ norm. Let us show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v_{n}, \nabla v_{n}\right\rangle+\Lambda\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}\left|,\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right\rangle+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right), \nabla\right| v_{n}| \rangle\right)-\Lambda^{2}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}|, \nabla| v_{n}| \rangle\right) d x= \\
\int_{\Omega}\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right), \nabla\right| v| \rangle\right)+\right. \\
\left.-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right) d x . \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

We may assume that $v_{n} \rightarrow v, \nabla v_{n} \rightarrow \nabla v$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Denote by $\Omega_{0 n}$ and $\Omega_{0}$ the sets $\left\{x \in \Omega \mid v_{n}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ and $\{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$, respectively. As proved in [6, p.1087-1088],

$$
\chi_{\Omega_{0 n}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n} \rightarrow \chi_{\Omega_{0}}|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

Because of the continuity of $\Lambda$ on $(0, \infty)$ and its boundedness (see (32)), we deduce

$$
\chi_{\Omega_{0 n}} \Lambda\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n} \rightarrow \chi_{\Omega_{0}} \Lambda(|v|)|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

The boundedness of $\Lambda$ also leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G} \mid \Lambda\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}\left|,\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right), \nabla\right| v_{n}| \rangle\right)+ \\
&-\left.\Lambda^{2}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}|, \nabla| v_{n}| \rangle\left|d x \lesssim \int_{G}\right| \nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for any measurable set $G \subset \Omega$. This inequality easily implies that the sequence of functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v_{n}, \nabla v_{n}\right\rangle+\Lambda\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\left(\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}\left|,\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right\rangle+\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\mathscr{A}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|^{-1} \overline{v_{n}} \nabla v_{n}\right), \nabla\right| v_{n}| \rangle\right)-\Lambda^{2}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v_{n}|, \nabla| v_{n}| \rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies the conditions of the Vitali convergence Theorem (see, e.g., [3, p.71]).
This establishes (59) and the result follows from (54).

The next Corollaries provide necessary and, separately, sufficient conditions for the functional dissipativity of the operator $E$.

Corollary 1 If the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Proof.
Given a function $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\mathbb{R e}\left(|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right), \quad Y=\mathbb{I m}\left(|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$. As in [6, p.1074], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{R e}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle=\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} X, X\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} Y, Y\rangle+\left\langle\mathbb{I m}\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{t}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \\
& \mathbb{R e}\left\langle\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{*}\right) \nabla\right| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{*}\right) X, Y\right\rangle, \\
& \mathbb{R e}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v\rangle=\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} X, X\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator being $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, (54) holds and we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} X, X\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} Y, Y\rangle+\right.  \tag{62}\\
\left.[1+\Lambda(|v|)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} X, Y\rangle+[1-\Lambda(|v|)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*} X, Y\right\rangle\right\} d x \geqslant 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Set $v(x)=\varrho(x) e^{i \lambda \xi \cdot x}$ where $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a real valued function, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Putting $v$ in (62) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle d x+\lambda^{2} \int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \xi, \xi\rangle d x+ \\
\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left\{[1+\Lambda(|v|)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \xi\rangle+[1-\Lambda(|v|)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*} \nabla \varrho, \xi\right\rangle\right\} \varrho d x \geqslant 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the arbitrariness of $\lambda$ we find

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \xi, \xi\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

This inequality holding for any real valued $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we obtain the result.

## Corollary 2 If

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+} \\
{[1+\Lambda(t)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle+[1-\Lambda(t)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*}(x) \xi, \eta\right\rangle \geqslant 0} \tag{63}
\end{gather*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative.
Proof. Let $v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ and define $X$ and $Y$ as in the proof of Corollary 1. Inequality (63) implies that (62) holds. As we know, this means that (54) is satisfied and the result follows from Lemma 5.

Corollary 3 If the operator $E$ has real coefficients and satisfies condition (60) for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, than it is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative with respect to any $\Phi$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Corollary 2 and (32).

Remark 1 We shall see later a class of operators for which the positiveness of polynomials (63) is also necessary for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity. But there are no functions $\varphi$ for which the condition (63) is necessary. This is shown by the next example.

Example 1 Consider the operator $E$ in two independent variables where the matrix of the coefficients is

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \gamma \\
-i \gamma & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\gamma$ being a real constant. The polynomial in $\xi, \eta$ in condition (63) is given by

$$
\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}+2 \gamma\left(\xi_{2} \eta_{1}-\xi_{1} \eta_{2}\right)
$$

Writing this polynomial in the form

$$
\left(\eta_{1}+\gamma \xi_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta_{2}-\gamma \xi_{1}\right)^{2}+\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)-\gamma^{2}\right]|\xi|^{2}
$$

it is clear that, if $|\gamma|>1$, condition (63) cannot be satisfied for any $\xi, \eta \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. However, the corresponding operator is the Laplacean, which is $L^{\Phi_{-}}$ dissipative for any $\varphi$ (see Corollary 3 ).

The next results concerns $\Phi$-strongly elliptic operators
Lemma 6 Let $E$ be a $\Phi$-strongly elliptic operator. There exists a constant $\kappa$ such that for any complex valued $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R e}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle \geqslant \kappa|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) \neq 0\}$.
Proof. Let us define $v=\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u$. By Lemma 3, the function $v$ belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)$. As in the proof of Lemma 5 , we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle= \\
\mathbb{R e}\left[\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla v, \nabla v\rangle+\Lambda(|v|)\left\langle\left(\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}^{*}\right) \nabla\right| v\left|,|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v\right)\right\rangle+ \\
\left.-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla| v|, \nabla| v| \rangle\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) \neq 0\}=\{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$.
This can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle= \\
{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} X, X\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} Y, Y\rangle+} \\
{[1+\Lambda(|v|)]\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} X, Y\rangle+[1-\Lambda(|v|)]\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{*} X, Y\right\rangle}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are given by (61). Thanks to the $\Phi$-strong ellipticity (see (21)) we get

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle \geqslant \kappa\left(|X|^{2}+|Y|^{2}\right)=\kappa|\nabla v|^{2}
$$

and (64) is proved.
This lemma implies the next Corollary (see Dindoš and Pipher [14, Th. 2.4, pp.263-265] for a similar result in the $L^{p}$ case).

Corollary 4 Let $E$ be a $\Phi$-strongly elliptic operator. There exists a constant $\kappa$ such that for any nonnegative $\chi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and any complex valued $u \in$ $H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(|u|) u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi(|u|) u)\rangle \chi(x) d x \geqslant \kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(\sqrt{\varphi(|u|)} u)|^{2} \chi(x) d x
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from inequality (64).

## 6 A necessary and sufficient condition

The aim of this section is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity of the operator $E$.

Theorem 1 Let the matrix $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}$ be symmetric, i.e. $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{t}=\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$. Then the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right||\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{\varphi(s)[s \varphi(s)]^{\prime}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $s>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Proof. Sufficiency. Let us prove that (65) implies inequality (63), which, for the simmetricity of $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+2 \Lambda(t)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Fix $x \in \Omega$ in such a way (65) holds, $t>0$ and define

$$
\mathscr{S}(\xi, \eta)=\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle+\gamma\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle
$$

where

$$
\gamma=\frac{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)}{\sqrt{\varphi(s)[s \varphi(s)]^{\prime}}}, \quad s=\zeta(t)
$$

( $\zeta$ is the funtcion introduced in section 3.2).
Let

$$
\lambda=\min _{|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}=1} \mathscr{S}(\xi, \eta)
$$

There exist $\left(\xi_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ such that $\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{0}\right|^{2}=1$ and $\lambda=\mathscr{S}\left(\xi_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$. This vector satisfies the algebraic system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{R e}\left(\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{A}^{t}\right) \xi_{0}+\gamma \mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \eta_{0}=2 \lambda \xi_{0} \\
\mathbb{R e}\left(\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{A}^{t}\right) \eta_{0}+\gamma \mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \xi_{0}=2 \lambda \eta_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This implies

$$
\mathbb{R e}\left(\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{A}^{t}\right)\left(\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right)-\gamma \operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A}\left(\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right)=2 \lambda\left(\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right) .
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}\left(\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right), \xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right\rangle-\gamma\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}\left(\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right), \xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right\rangle=2 \lambda\left|\xi_{0}-\eta_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand-side is nonnegative because of (65). If $\lambda<0,(67)$ implies $\xi_{0}=\eta_{0}$. In this case

$$
\lambda=\mathscr{S}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)=2\left\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle+\gamma\left\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\rangle ;
$$

but this is nonnegative because of (65) and we get a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $\mathscr{S}(\xi, \eta) \geqslant 0$, for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

We have also $\mathscr{S}\left(-\sqrt{1-\Lambda^{2}(t)} \xi, \eta\right) \geqslant 0$, i.e.
$\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \eta, \eta\rangle-\gamma \sqrt{1-\Lambda^{2}(t)}\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \eta\rangle \geqslant 0$.
On the other hand, (30) and (31) show that

$$
\gamma \sqrt{1-\Lambda^{2}(t)}=\frac{2 s \varphi^{\prime}(s)}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}=-2 \Lambda(t)
$$

and then (68) coincides with (66).
Corollary 2 shows that the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative.
Necessity. As in the proof of Corollary 1, the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity of $E$ implies

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|v|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} X, X\rangle+\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} Y, Y\rangle+2 \Lambda(|v|)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} X, Y\rangle\right\} d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ (see (62)). Setting $v(x)=\varrho(x) e^{i \sigma(x)}$, where $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are real valued, we get $|v|^{-1} \bar{v} \nabla v=|\varrho|^{-1} \varrho \nabla \varrho+i|\varrho| \nabla \sigma$ on the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid \varrho(x) \neq 0\}$. It follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|\varrho|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle+\varrho^{2}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \sigma, \nabla \sigma\rangle+\right.  \tag{69}\\
2 \Lambda(|\varrho|) \varrho\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \sigma\rangle\} d x \geqslant 0
\end{gather*}
$$

for any real valued $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
We choose $\sigma$ by the equality

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{\mu}{2} \log \left(\varrho^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Inequality (69) takes the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|\varrho|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle+\mu^{2} \frac{\varrho^{4}}{\left(\varrho^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle+\right. \\
\left.2 \mu \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}+\varepsilon} \Lambda(|\varrho|)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle\right\} d x \geqslant 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(|\varrho|)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle+\mu^{2}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle+\right. \\
2 \mu \Lambda(|\varrho|)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho\rangle\} d x \geqslant 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This inequality holding for any real valued $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 4 we get

$$
\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+\mu^{2}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle+2 \mu \Lambda(t)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The arbitrariness of $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ leads to

$$
\Lambda^{2}(t)\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle^{2} \leqslant\left[1-\Lambda^{2}(t)\right]\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle^{2}
$$

Recalling (31) and Corollary 1, we can write

$$
|\Lambda(t)||\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant \sqrt{1-\Lambda^{2}(t)}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle .
$$

Finally, setting $s=\zeta(t)$ and keeping in mind the expressions (30) and (31), the last inequality reads as

$$
\frac{\left|s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}|\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant \frac{2 \sqrt{\varphi(s)\left(s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+\varphi(s)\right)}}{s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+2 \varphi(s)}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

i.e. (65).

Remark 2 The proof of Theorem 1 shows that condition (65) holds if and only if the inequality (66) is satisfied for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $t>0, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. This means that conditions (63) are necessary and sufficient for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity for the operators considered in Theorem 1.

Remark 3 Suppose that the condition $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}=\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{t}$ is not satisfied. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can prove that condition (65) is still necessary for the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity of the operator $E$. However in general it is not sufficient, whatever the function $\varphi$ may be. This is shown by the next example.

Example 2 Let $n=2, \Omega$ a bounded domain and

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \lambda x_{1} \\
-i \lambda x_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A} \xi, \xi\rangle=|\xi|^{2}$ and $\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \xi, \xi\rangle=0$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, condition (65) is satisfied.

If the corresponding operator $E u=\Delta u+i \lambda \partial_{2} u$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Delta u+i \lambda \partial_{2} u, u\right\rangle \varphi(|u|) d x \leqslant 0, \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $u(x)=\varrho(x) e^{i t x_{2}}$, where $\varrho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is real valued and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\langle E u, u\rangle=\varrho\left[\Delta \varrho+2 i t \partial_{2} \varrho-t^{2} \varrho+i \lambda\left(\partial_{2} \varrho+i t \varrho\right)\right]$, condition (70) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \varrho \Delta \varrho \varphi(|\varrho|) d x-\lambda t \int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2} \varphi(|\varrho|) d x-t^{2} \int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2} \varphi(|\varrho|) d x \leqslant 0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $\varphi$ being positive, we can choose $\varrho$ in such a way

$$
\int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2} \varphi(|\varrho|) d x>0
$$

Taking

$$
\lambda^{2}>4 \int_{\Omega} \varrho \Delta \varrho \varphi(|\varrho|) d x\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho^{2} \varphi(|\varrho|) d x\right)^{-1}
$$

inequality (71) is impossible for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $E$ is not $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, although (65) is satisfied.

Corollary 5 Let the matrix $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$ be symmetric, i.e. $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}^{t}=\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\sup _{s>0} \frac{\left|s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{\varphi(s)[s \varphi(s)]^{\prime}}}<+\infty \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}|\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $\lambda_{0}=+\infty$ the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative if and only if $\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \equiv 0$ and condition (60) is satisfied.

Proof. If $\lambda_{0}<+\infty$, the result follows immediately from Theorem 1. If $\lambda_{0}=+\infty$ and the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, inequality (65) implies $\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A} \xi, \xi\rangle=0,\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle \geqslant 0$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Therefore $\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{A} \equiv 0$ and condition (60) is satisfied. The viceversa was proved in Corollary 3.

Remark 4 If we use the function $\Phi$ (see (18)), condition (65) can be written as

$$
\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right||\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for any $s>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. In the same way, formula (72) becomes

$$
\lambda_{0}=\sup _{s>0} \frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}<+\infty .
$$

We end this section by some examples in which we indicate both the functions $\Phi$ and $\varphi$. It is easy to verify that in each example the function $\varphi$ satisfies conditions 1-5 of section 3.1.

Example 3 If $\Phi(s)=s^{p}$, i.e. $\varphi(s)=p s^{p-2}$, which corresponds to $L^{p}$ norm, the function in (72) is constant and $\lambda_{0}=|p-2| /(2 \sqrt{p-1})$. In this way we reobtain Theorem 1 of [6, p.1079].

Example 4 Let us consider $\Phi(s)=s^{p} \log (s+e)(p>1)$, which is the Young function corresponding to the Zygmund space $L^{p} \log L$. This is equivalent to say $\varphi(s)=p s^{p-2} \log (s+e)+s^{p-1}(s+e)^{-1}$. By a direct computation we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}= \\
\frac{\left|p(p-2) \log (s+e)+\frac{(2 p-1) s}{s+e}-\frac{s^{2}}{(s+e)^{2}}\right|}{2 \sqrt{\left(p \log (s+e)+\frac{s}{s+e}\right)\left(p(p-1) \log (s+e)+\frac{2 p s}{s+e}-\frac{s^{2}}{(s+e)^{2}}\right)}} . \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\frac{|p-2|}{2 \sqrt{p-1}}
$$

the function is bounded. Then we have the $L^{\Phi}$-dissipativity of the operator $E$ if, and only if, (73) holds, where $\lambda_{0}$ is the sup of the function (74) in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Example 5 Let us consider the function $\Phi(s)=\exp \left(s^{p}\right)-1$, i.e. $\varphi(s)=$ $p s^{p-2} \exp \left(s^{p}\right)$. In this case

$$
\frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\frac{\left|p s^{p}+p-2\right|}{2 \sqrt{\left(p s^{p}+p-1\right)}}
$$

and $\lambda_{0}=+\infty$. In view of Corollary (5), the operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, i.e.

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla\left[u|u|^{p-2} \exp \left(|u|^{p}\right)\right]\right\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $|u|^{p-2} \exp \left(|u|^{p}\right) u \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, if and only if the operator $E$ has real coefficients and condition (60) is satisfied.

Example 6 Let $\Phi(s)=s-\arctan s$, i.e. $\varphi(s)=s /\left(s^{2}+1\right)$. In this case

$$
\frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\frac{\left|s^{2}-1\right|}{2 \sqrt{2\left(s^{2}+1\right)}}
$$

and $\lambda_{0}=+\infty$. As in the previous example, we have that

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla\left(\frac{|u| u}{|u|^{2}+1}\right)\right\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $|u| u /\left(|u|^{2}+1\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, if and only if the operator $E$ has real coefficients and condition (60) is satisfied.

Example 7 Let $\Phi(s)=s^{4} /\left(s^{2}+1\right)$, i.e. $\varphi(s)=2 s^{2}\left(2+s^{2}\right) /\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{2}$. In this case

$$
\frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\left(s^{2}+1\right)\left(s^{2}+2\right)\left(s^{4}+3 s^{2}+6\right)}}
$$

This function is decreasing and $\lambda_{0}$ is equal to its value at 0 , i.e. $\lambda_{0}=1 / \sqrt{3}$. The operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, i.e.

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla\left(\frac{|u|^{2}\left(2+|u|^{2}\right) u}{\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\right)\right\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $|u|^{2}\left(2+|u|^{2}\right) u /\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{2} \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, if and only if

$$
|\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant \sqrt{3}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Example 8 Let $\Phi(s)=s^{2}\left(s^{2}+2\right) /\left(s^{2}+1\right)-2 \log \left(s^{2}+1\right)$, i.e. $\varphi(s)=$ $2 s^{4} /\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{2}$. In this case

$$
\frac{\left|s \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s)\right|}{2 \sqrt{s \Phi^{\prime}(s) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(s)}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\left(s^{2}+1\right)\left(s^{2}+5\right)}} .
$$

This function is decreasing and $\lambda_{0}$ is equal to its value at 0 , i.e. $\lambda_{0}=2 / \sqrt{5}$. The operator $E$ is $L^{\Phi}$-dissipative, i.e.

$$
\mathbb{R e} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\mathscr{A} \nabla u, \nabla\left(\frac{|u|^{4} u}{\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\right)\right\rangle d x \geqslant 0
$$

for any $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $|u|^{4} u /\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{2} \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, if and only if

$$
2|\langle\mathbb{I m} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle| \leqslant \sqrt{5}\langle\mathbb{R e} \mathscr{A}(x) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

for almost any $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The tangential gradient $\nabla_{\omega}$ of a function $u$ can be defined as

    $$
    \nabla_{\omega} u=\varrho\left(\nabla u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varrho} \omega\right) .
    $$

    By introducing local coordinates on the sphere of radius $\varrho$, one can verify that $\nabla_{\omega}$ is a tangential operator acting on $\omega$ and that it does not depend on $\varrho$.

