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#### Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be a multiplier from one Besov space $B_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ into another $B_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ where $0<l \leq m$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$. We also show that the space of multipliers acting from the Sobolev space $W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ into a distribution Sobolev space $W_{p}^{-k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for either $k \geq m>0, k>n / p^{\prime}$, or $m \geq k>0, m>n / p$, where $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $p+p^{\prime}=p p^{\prime}$.


## 1 Introduction

By a multiplier acting from one Banach function space $S_{1}$ into another $S_{2}$ we call a function $\gamma$ such that $\gamma u \in S_{2}$ for any $u \in S_{1}$. By $M\left(S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}\right)$ we denote the space of multipliers $\gamma: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ with the norm

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}\right)}=\sup \left\{\|\gamma u\|_{S_{2}}: \quad\|u\|_{S_{1}} \leq 1\right\}
$$

We write $M S$ instead of $M(S \rightarrow S)$.
A theory of pointwise multipliers was developed in our book [MS], where a complete bibliography and description of related results obtained before 1985 can be found. In particular, [MS] contains characterisation of the spaces $M\left(H_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.H_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ with $1<p<\infty$, where $H_{p}^{k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is the Bessel potential space. We also

[^0]described multipliers $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ in Sobolev ( $k$ integer)-Slobodetskii ( $k$ noninteger) spaces with $1 \leq p<\infty$ and both $m$ and $l$ being either integer or noninteger.

We mention known results on multipliers preserving a certain Besov space. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to belong to $M B_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<$ $\infty, 0<l<\infty$, are given in [MS]. Recently a characterization of $M B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty, s>n / p$, was obtained by Sickel and Smirnov [SS]. The spaces $M B_{\infty, 1}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $M B_{\infty, \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ were described by Koch and Sickel [KS].

The main goal of the present paper is to characterize the space $M\left(B_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.B_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ for $m \geq l>0, p \in(1, \infty)$.

A sufficient condition for inclusion into the space $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ of Sobolev multipliers can be found in Sect.1.5 [MS]. Recently Maz'ya and Verbitsky [MV2], [MV3] described the spaces $M\left(W_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $M\left(W_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.W_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$, solving the problem of the form boundedness of the Schrödinger and the relativistic Schrödinger operators (see [MV2] and [MV4] for further results in the same vein). We conclude the present paper by showing that the space $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ provided $k \geq m>0, k>n / p^{\prime}$ or $m \geq k>0, m>n / p$, where where $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $p+p^{\prime}=p p^{\prime}$. This is a straightforward corollary of the above mentioned sufficient condition from Sect. $1.5[\mathrm{MS}]$. However, the result seems to be new even for $n=1$, except for the case $k=m=1$ treated in [MV4].

Let $s=k+\alpha$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $k$ is a nonnegative integer. Further, let

$$
\Delta_{h}^{(2)} u(x)=u(x+2 h)-2 u(x+h)+u(x)
$$

and

$$
\left(C_{p, s} u\right)(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\Delta_{h}^{(2)} \nabla_{k} u(x)\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p \alpha} d h\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $\nabla_{k}$ stands for the gradient of order $k$, i.e. $\nabla_{k} u=\left\{\partial_{x_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}}\right\}, \alpha_{1}+\ldots+$ $\alpha_{n}=k$. The Besov space $B_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is introduced as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ in the norm

$$
\left\|C_{p, s} u ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{p}}+\left\|u ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

Let $\{s\}$ and $[s]$ denote the fractional and integer parts of a positive number $s$ and let

$$
\left(D_{p, s} u\right)(x)=\left(\int\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{[s]} u(x)\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p\{s\}} d h\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $\Delta_{h} v(x)=v(x+h)-v(x)$. The fractional Sobolev space $W_{p}^{s}$ is defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ in the norm

$$
\left\|D_{p, s} u\right\|_{L_{p}}+\|u\|_{L_{p}}
$$

(Here and in the sequel, we omit $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ in the notation of norms, spaces, and in the range of integration.) For $\{s\}>0$ the spaces $B_{p}^{s}$ and $W_{p}^{s}$ have the same elements
and their norms are equivalent since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2-2^{\{s\}}\right) D_{p, s} u \leq C_{p, s} u \leq\left(2+2^{\{s\}}\right) D_{p, s} u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows directly from the identity

$$
2[u(x+h)-u(x)]=-[u(x+2 h)-2 u(x+h)+u(x)]+[u(x+2 h)-u(x)] .
$$

In what follows the equivalence $a \sim b$ means that there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that $c_{1} b \leq a \leq c_{2} b$.

With any Banach space $S$ of functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ one can associate the spaces

$$
S_{\mathrm{loc}}=\left\{u: \eta u \in S \quad \text { for all } \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\right\}
$$

and

$$
S_{\text {unif }}=\left\{u: \sup _{z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\eta_{z} u\right\|_{S}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $\eta_{z}(x)=\eta(x-z), \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}, \eta=1$ on $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. Here and in what follows $\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)$ is the ball $\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:|y-x|<r\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{r}=\mathcal{B}_{r}(0)$. The space $S_{\text {unif }}$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{S_{\text {unif }}}=\sup _{z \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\eta_{z} u\right\|_{S}
$$

The obvious consequence of the definition of the multiplier space $M\left(S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}\right)$ is the imbedding

$$
M\left(S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}\right) \subset S_{2, \text { unif }}
$$

Let $\Lambda^{\mu}$ be the operator defined for any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\Lambda^{\mu}=(-\Delta+1)^{\mu / 2}=F^{-1}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\mu / 2} F,
$$

where $F$ is the Fourier transform in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $F^{-1}$ is the inverse of $F$. By $J_{l}$ we denote the Bessel potential of order $l$, that is the operator $\Lambda^{-l}$. Throughout the paper we assume that $m>0$ and use the notion of the $(p, m)$-capacity cap $_{p, m}(e)$ of a compact set $e \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ which is defined by

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{L_{p}}^{p}: f \in L_{p}, f \geq 0 \text { and } J_{m} f(x) \geq 1 \text { for all } x \in e\right\}
$$

For properties of this capacity see $[\mathrm{M}]$, Ch. 7 and $[\mathrm{AH}]$, Ch. 2 and Sect. 4.4. In particular, it is well known that if $0<r \leq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r}\right) \sim \begin{cases}r^{n-m p} & \text { for } m p<n  \tag{2}\\ \left(\log \frac{2}{r}\right)^{1-p} & \text { for } m p=n \\ 1 & \text { for } m p>n\end{cases}
$$

and if $e$ is a compact set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e) \geq \begin{cases}c\left(\operatorname{mes}_{n} e\right)^{(n-m p) / n} & \text { for } m p<n  \tag{3}\\ \left(\log \frac{2^{n}}{\operatorname{mes}_{n} e}\right)^{1-p} & \text { for } m p=n\end{cases}
$$

The following assertion is the main result of this article.
Theorem 1. Let $0<l \leq m, p \in(1, \infty)$, and let $\gamma \in B_{p, \text { loc }}^{l}$. There holds the equivalence relation

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \sim \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+ \begin{cases}\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}, & m>l  \tag{4}\\ \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}, & m=l\end{cases}
$$

where $e$ is an arbitrary compact set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The finiteness of the right-hand side in (4) is necessary and sufficient for $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$.

The relation (4) remains valid if one adds the condition $\operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1$.
For $m p>n$ the statement of the above theorem simplifies. Namely, the relation (4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \sim\|\gamma\|_{B_{p, \text { unif }}^{l}} \quad \text { for } m \geq l \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $l p>n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}} \sim\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p, \text { unif }}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From results of Kerman and Saywer [KeS] and Maz'ya and Verbitsky [MV1] it follows that the supremum in the right-hand side of (4) is equivalent to each of the suprema

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\{Q\}} \frac{\left\|J_{m} \chi_{Q}\left(C_{p, l} \gamma\right)^{p} ; Q\right\|_{L_{p /(p-1)}}}{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; Q\right\|_{L_{p}}^{p-1}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{Q\}$ is the collection of all cubes, $\chi_{Q}$ is the characteristic function of $Q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{J_{m}\left(J_{m}\left(C_{p, l} \gamma\right)^{p}\right)^{p /(p-1)}(x)}{J_{m}\left(C_{p, l} \gamma\right)^{p}(x)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4), (7), and (8) one can deduce various precise upper and lower estimates for the norm in $M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$ formulated in more conventional terms (compare with [MS], Ch. 3).

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some auxiliary assertions used in the sequel.
Lemma 1. (see [St], Sect. 5.1) There holds the equivalence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{B_{p}^{k}} \sim\left\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{k-\alpha}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in(0, k)$.
By $H_{p}^{k}, k \geq 0, p \in(1, \infty)$, we denote the space of Bessel potentials defined as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ in the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H_{p}^{k}}=\left\|\Lambda^{k} u\right\|_{L_{p}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following relations are well known

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \sim\|\gamma\|_{M\left(H_{p}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \sim \\
\sup _{e} \frac{\|\gamma ; e\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, k}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \sim \sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\|\gamma ; e\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, k}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

(see [MS], Lemma 2.2.2/1, Corollary 3.2.1/1, Remark 3.2.1/1 and [AH], Sect. 4.4).
Using estimates (2) for the capacity of a ball, one obtains the following relations from (11)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \sim\|\gamma\|_{L_{p, \text { unif }}} \text { for } p k>n,  \tag{12}\\
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \geq c \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{k-n / p}\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \quad \text { for } p k<n,  \tag{13}\\
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \geq c \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)}\left(\log \frac{2}{r}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \quad \text { for } p k=n . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $\gamma_{\rho}$ denote a mollifier of a function $\gamma$ which is defined as

$$
\gamma_{\rho}(x)=\rho^{-n} \int K\left(\rho^{-1}(x-\xi)\right) \gamma(\xi) d \xi,
$$

where $K \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right), K \geq 0$, and $\|K\|_{L_{1}}=1$. The inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq \liminf _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}, \\
& \left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq \liminf _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{p} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}
$$

are valid.
Proof. The proof of two-sided estimates is the same as in Lemma 3.2.1/1 [MS]. By Minkowski's inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq \frac{\int K(z)\left(\int_{e}\left(C_{p, l} \gamma(x-\rho z)\right)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} d z}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \\
\leq \frac{\int_{\mathcal{B}_{1}} K(z)\left(\int_{E}\left(C_{p, l} \gamma(\xi)\right)^{p} d \xi\right)^{1 / p} d z}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(E)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq\|K\|_{L_{1}} \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $E=\left\{x-\rho z: x \in e, z \in \mathcal{B}_{1}\right\}$.
Below we use the interpolation properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{m-k}=\left(B_{p}^{m}, H_{p}^{m-l}\right)_{k / l, p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p}^{m-k}=\left(B_{p}^{m}, B_{p}^{m-l}\right)_{k / l, p} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l<k<m$ (see, [Tr], Th. 2.4.2). In particular, (16) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{r}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{\sigma}}^{\theta}\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{\rho}}^{1-\theta} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in(1, \infty), \sigma>\rho>0,0<\theta<1$, and $r=\theta \sigma+(1-\theta) \rho$. It follows from (11) and (16) that $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right) \cap M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)$ implies $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-k}\right)$ for $0<k<l$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-k}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{1-k / l}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{k / l} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<k<l<m$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l-k}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}^{1-k / l}\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}^{k / l} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<k<l$.
In what follows we shall use five following assertions proved in the book [MS].
Lemma 3. (see [MS], Lemma 3.1.2/1) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{M} v(x)=\sup _{t>0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}_{n} \mathcal{B}_{t}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{t}(x)}|v(y)| d y
$$

Also let $J_{r}^{(n+s)}$ denote the Bessel potential in $\mathbf{R}^{n+s}, s \geq 1$. Then, for any nonnegative function $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+s}\right)$

$$
\left(J_{r \theta+s / p}^{(n+s)} f\right)(x, 0) \leq c\left(\left(J_{r+s / p}^{(n+s)} f\right)(x, 0)\right)^{\theta}(\mathcal{M} F(x))^{1-\theta}
$$

where $F(x)=\left\|f(x, \cdot) ; \mathbf{R}^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}}$ and $0<\theta<1$.
Lemma 4. (see [MS], Lemma 3.2.1/3) For any nonnegative function $\varphi \in$ $L_{p \mu, l o c}, p \in(1, \infty)$, and $0<\lambda \leq \mu$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e}\left(\frac{\int_{e} \varphi^{\lambda p}(x) d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, \lambda}(e)}\right)^{1 / \lambda} \leq c \sup _{e}\left(\frac{\int_{e} \varphi^{\mu p}(x) d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, \mu}(e)}\right)^{1 / \mu} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. (see [MS], Lemma 3.1.1./1) For any positive $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ there holds inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{p, \alpha} u\right)(x) \leq\left(J_{\beta} C_{p, \alpha} \Lambda^{\beta} u\right)(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{p, \alpha} u\right)(x) \leq\left(J_{\beta} D_{p, \alpha} \Lambda^{\beta} u\right)(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6. (see Lemma 3.9.1 $[\mathrm{MS}])$. For $\delta \in(0,1)$ and any $k \geq 1$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\iint\left|\Delta_{h} \gamma(x) \Delta_{h} u(x)\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p} d h d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq c \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, \delta} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, k-1+\delta}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{k}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7. (see Lemma 3.1.1/2 [MS]) For any $\alpha, \beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta<1$ there holds

$$
\left\|D_{p, \alpha} D_{p, \beta} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|D_{p, \alpha+\beta} u\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

## 3 Lower estimates of the norm in $M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$

The following is the main result of this section.
Lemma 8. Let $0<l \leq m$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}} \quad \text { for } m=l \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \quad \text { for } m>l \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in B_{p}^{l}$ and let $N$ be a positive integer. Clearly,

$$
\left\|\gamma^{N} u\right\|_{L_{p}}^{1 / N} \leq\left\|\gamma^{N} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}}^{1 / N} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}^{1 / N} .
$$

Passing to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we arrive at (24).
Now suppose $0<l<m$. Let $\gamma_{\rho}$ be the mollification of $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$. By Lemma 2, it suffices to prove (25) for $\gamma_{\rho}$. To simplify the notation we write $\gamma$ in place of $\gamma_{\rho}$.

We consider two cases: $m \geq 2 l$ and $2 l>m>l$. Assume first that $m \geq 2 l$. Let $U \in H_{p}^{m-l+1 / p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right)$ denote an extension of the function $u \in B_{p}^{m-l}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{p}^{m-l+1 / p}} \leq c\left\|u ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is standard that the converse estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}} \leq c\left\|U ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{p}^{m-l-1 / p}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all extensions $U$. Let us represent $U$ as the Bessel potential $J_{m-l+1 / p}^{(n+1)} f$ with density $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right)$. By Lemma 3,

$$
|u(x)| \leq c\left(\left(J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f|\right)(x, 0)\right)^{(m-l) / l}(\mathcal{M} F(x))^{l / m}
$$

where $F(x)=\|f(x, \cdot) ; \mathbf{R}\|_{L_{p}}$. Therefore,

$$
\|\gamma u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|f ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{l / m}\left\||\gamma|^{m /(m-l)}\left(J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f|\right)(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L_{p}}^{(m-l) / m}
$$

The right-hand side does not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left\|f ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{l / m}\left\|\gamma\left(J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f|\right)(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}}^{(m-l) / m} \sup _{e}\left(\frac{\int_{e}|\gamma|^{\frac{p l}{m-l}} d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, l}(e)}\right)^{(m-l) / m p} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\varphi=|\gamma|^{\frac{1}{m-l}}, \lambda=l, \mu=m-l$ in Lemma 4, we find that in the case $m \geq 2 l$ the supremum in (28) is dominated by

$$
c\left(\sup _{e} \frac{\int_{e}|\gamma|^{p} d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l}(e)}\right)^{l / m p} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{l / m}
$$

Hence and by (28)

$$
\|\gamma u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|f ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{l / m}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{(m-l) / m}\left\|J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f|(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{B_{p}^{m}}^{(m-l) / m}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{l / m} .
$$

Using first (27) and then (10) and (26), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f|(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \leq c\left\|J_{m+1 / p}^{(n+1)}|f| ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{p}^{m+1 / p}}=c\left\|f ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
=c\left\|U ; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{p}^{m-l+1 / p}} \leq c\left\|u ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\gamma u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{l / m}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{(m-l) / m}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}}
$$

which implies (25) for $m \geq 2 l$.
Suppose $2 l>m>l$. Let $\mu$ be an arbitrary positive number less than $m-l$. By (18) with $k=l-\mu$,

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+\mu} \rightarrow B_{p}^{\mu}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{(l-\mu) / l}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{\mu / l}
$$

Since $m-l+\mu>2 \mu$, it follows from the first part of the proof that there holds inequality (25) with $m$ and $l$ replaced by $m-l+\mu$ and $\mu$, respectively, i.e.

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+\mu} \rightarrow B_{p}^{\mu}\right)} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{(l-\mu) / l}\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{\mu / l}
$$

and (25) is proved for $2 l>m>l$ as well.
By Lemma 8 and (11), the following assertion holds.
Corollary 1. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right), 0<l<m$. Then

$$
\sup _{e} \frac{\|\gamma ; e\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right.} .
$$

Lemma 8 in combination with (18) and (19) implies
Corollary 2. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right), 0<l \leq m$. Then $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.B_{p}^{l-k}\right), 0<k<l$, and

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-k}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} .
$$

The following assertion contains an estimate for derivatives of a multiplier.
Lemma 9. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right), 0<l \leq m$. Then $D^{\alpha} \gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-|\alpha|}\right)$ for any multi-index $\alpha$ of order $|\alpha| \leq l$. The inequality holds

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-|\alpha|}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} .
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $|\alpha|=1, l \geq 1$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u \nabla \gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}} \leq\|u \gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l}}+\|\gamma \nabla u\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}} \\
\leq\left(\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}+\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-1}\right)}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This and Corollary 2 imply

$$
\|u \nabla \gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemmas 8 and 9 imply the following
Corollary 3. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right), 0<l \leq m$. Then, for any multi index $\alpha$ of order $|\alpha| \leq l, D^{\alpha} \gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m-|\alpha|} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)$. The inequality holds

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+|\alpha|} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} .
$$

## 4 Proof of necessity in Theorem 1

In this section we derive the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}, \quad m>l \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, l}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The core of the proof is the following assertion.
Lemma 10. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$, where $0<l \leq m$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use induction in $l$ and start by showing that (31) is valid for $l \in(0,1]$.
(i) Let $l \in(0,1)$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left(\|\gamma u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}+\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}}\right) \\
\leq c\left(\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}+\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

Consider first the case $m=l$. Clearly, $\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}$ which together with (32) and (24) gives

$$
\left\|u C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}$ and, in view of (11), we obtain (31).
Suppose now that $l<m$. By (21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|J_{m-l} C_{p, l} \Lambda^{m-l} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Owing to Lemma 1, the last norm does not exceed

$$
c\left\|C_{p, l} \Lambda^{m-l} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|\Lambda^{m-l} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq c\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}
$$

which in combination with with (33) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (32), (34) and Lemma 8, we arrive at

$$
\left\|u C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}
$$

which together with (11) gives (31).
(ii) Let $l=1$. In view of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h}^{(2)}(\gamma u)=\gamma \Delta_{h}^{(2)} u+u \Delta_{h}^{(2)} \gamma+\Delta_{2 h} \gamma \Delta_{2 h} u-2 \Delta_{h} \gamma \Delta_{h} u \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\left\|u C_{p, 1} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma u\|_{B_{p}^{1}}+\left\|\gamma C_{p, 1} u\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+4\left(\iint\left|\Delta_{h} \gamma(x) \Delta_{h} u(x)\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p} d h d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}$.
We proceed separately for $m=1$ and $m>1$. Let first $m=1$. Using (23) with $k=1$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$ together with (36) and (24), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u C_{p, 1} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left(\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{1}}+\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, \delta} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, \delta}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{1}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of part (i) of this proof, the last supremum is majorized by $c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{\delta}}$. Hence (37) leads to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, 1} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, 1}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\left(\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{1}}+\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{\delta}}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by Corollary 2 there holds $\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{\delta}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{1}}$, we arrive at (31) for $m=$ $l=1$.

Next we estimate the right-hand side of (36) for $m>1$. By (21), its second term is majorized by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\gamma J_{m-1} C_{p, 1} \Lambda^{m-1} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|J_{m-1} C_{p, 1} \Lambda^{m-1} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-1}} \\
\leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|C_{p, 1} \Lambda^{m-1} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|\Lambda^{m-1} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{1}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{1}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

The last inequality in this chain follows from (9) and (25). We estimate the third term in the right-hand side of (36) using (23) with $k=m>1$ and (31) with $l=\delta<1$. Then this term does not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, \delta} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-1+\delta}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1+\delta} \rightarrow B_{p}^{\delta}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 2

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-1+\delta} \rightarrow B_{p}^{\delta}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{1}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, the third term on the right in (36) is dominated by $c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{1}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}$. This along with (36) and (39) implies

$$
\left\|u C_{p, 1} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{1}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}
$$

and thus (31) holds for $l=1$.
(iii) Suppose that $l$ is a positive integer and that the lemma is proved for $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{k}\right)$, where $k$ is any positive integer not exceeding $l-1$. Applying (35), we find

$$
\left\|u C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}+c \sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}}+c \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+c \sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left(\iint\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{j} \gamma(x)\right|^{p}\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{l-1-j} u\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p} d h d x\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (21) with $\alpha=l-j, \beta=m-l+j$ we have

$$
\left(C_{p, l-j} u\right)(x) \leq\left(J_{m-l+j} C_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{m-l+j} u\right)(x)
$$

Therefore, for $j=1, \ldots, l-1$ and $m \geq l$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|J_{m-l+j} C_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{m-l+j} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l+j}} \\
\leq c\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(H_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|C_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{m-l+j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

According to (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{m-l+j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left\|\Lambda^{m-l+j} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l-j}} \leq c\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(H_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}, \quad j=1, \ldots, l-1, \quad m \geq l \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j=0$ by Lemma 8 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma C_{p, l} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unifying (42)-(45), we find that for all $j=0, \ldots, l-1$ and $1 \leq l \leq m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $j=1, \ldots, l-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l-j} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}-p, m-j(e)]^{1 / p}}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the induction assumption and Corollary 2 it follows that for $m \geq l$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l-j} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-j}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-j} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-j}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (47) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, l-1 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we estimate the last sum in (41). Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ be such that $m+\delta$ is a noninteger. By (23) with $\gamma$ replaced by $\nabla_{j} \gamma, u$ replaced by $\nabla_{l-1-j} u$, and $k=m-l+j+1$ each term of the last sum in (41) does not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, j+\delta} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l+j+\delta}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\left\|\nabla_{l-1-j} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-l+j+1}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the induction assumption and Corollary 2 this implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\iint\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{j} \gamma(x)\right|^{p}\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{l-1-j} u\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p} d h d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j+\delta} \rightarrow B_{p}^{j+\delta}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} . \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining this with (49) and (47), we obtain from (41)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u C_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (31) follows for all integer $l$.
(iv) Now let $l$ be noninteger. Suppose that

$$
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}
$$

for all noninteger $l \in(0, N)$, where $N$ is integer. Let $N<l<N+1$. In view of the equivalence $C_{p, l} \gamma \sim D_{p, l} \gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u D_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}+c \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| D_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}}+c \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| D_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in(0, m-l+j)$ if $m>l$ or $m=l, j>0$ and let $t=0$ if $m=l$ and $j=0$. By (22) with $\alpha=l-j$ and $\beta=t$ one has

$$
\left(D_{p, l-j} u\right)(x) \leq\left(J_{t} D_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{t} u\right)(x) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| D_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|J_{t} D_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{t} u\right\|_{W_{p}^{m-l+j}} \\
\leq c\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|D_{p, l-j} \Lambda^{t} u\right\|_{W_{p}^{m-l+j-t}} \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

By definition of the operator $D_{p, l}$ and the space $W_{p}^{l}$,

$$
\left\|D_{p, l-j} v\right\|_{W_{p}^{m-l+j-t}}=\left\|D_{p, m-l+j-t} D_{p,\{l\}} \nabla_{[l-j]} v\right\|_{L_{p}}+\left\|D_{p, l-j} v\right\|_{L_{p}} .
$$

We use Lemma 7 with $\alpha=m-l+j-t, \beta=\{l\}$ assuming $t$ to be so close to $m-l+j$ that $0<m-t-[l]+j<1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{p, m-l+j-t} D_{p,\{l\}} \nabla_{[l]-j} v\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|D_{p, m-t-[l]-j} \nabla_{[l]-j} v\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|v\|_{W_{p}^{m-t}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may also choose $t$ in such a way that $m-t$ is noninteger so that $W_{p}^{m-t}=B_{p}^{m-t}$. Then (54) and (55) with $v=\Lambda^{t} u$, together with Corollary 3 imply

$$
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right| D_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|\Lambda^{t} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-t}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have for $j=1, \ldots, N$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| D_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|D_{p, l-j} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-j}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\left\|\nabla_{j} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{m-j}} \\
\leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-j} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-j}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

which together with Corollary 2 implies

$$
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| D_{p, l-j} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}
$$

Hence and by (56) it follows from (53) that

$$
\left\|u D_{p, l} \gamma\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} .
$$

The proof is complete.
The following simple corollary contains the required lower estimate of the norm in $M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$ in Theorem 1. It also finishes the proof of necessity in Theorem 1.

Corollary 4. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$, where $0<l \leq m$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right) \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=l$ the second term on the left should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$.
Proof. Since $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\|\gamma \eta\|_{L_{p}} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\|\eta\|_{B_{p}^{m}}
$$

for any $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}(x)\right), \eta=1$ on $\mathcal{B}_{1}(x)$, where $x$ is an arbitrary point of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}
$$

The result follows by combining this with Lemma 10.
The next corollary contains one more lower estimate for the norm in the space $M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$.

Corollary 5. Let $\gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$, where $0<l \leq m, p \in(1, \infty)$. Then, for any $k=0, \ldots,[l]$ there holds the inclusion $C_{p, l-k} \gamma \in M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|C_{p, l-k} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} .
$$

Proof. By Corollaries 4 and 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l-k} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-k}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-k}\right)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to make use of (11).

## 5 Proof of sufficiency in Theorem 1

The aim of this section is to prove the upper estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}$ in (4).
Lemma 11. Let $\gamma \in B_{p, l o c}^{l}, p \in(1, \infty)$. Then for $m>l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq \sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1}\left(\frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\frac{\|\gamma, e\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=l$ the second term should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$.
Proof. It follows from the finiteness of the right-hand side of (60) that $\gamma \in$ $L_{1, \text { unif }}$. Let $\gamma_{\rho}$ denote the mollifyer of $\gamma$ with radius $\rho$. From $\gamma \in L_{1, \text { unif }}$ it follows that all derivatives of $\gamma_{\rho}$ are bounded. Hence $\gamma_{\rho} \in M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$.

For integer $l$ we find by (35) that there holds the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\gamma_{\rho} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq c\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}}+\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left(\iint\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}(x)\right|^{p}\left|\Delta_{h} \nabla_{l-1-j} u\right|^{p}|h|^{-n-p} d h d x\right)^{1 / p}\right) \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

By Corollary 3, for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l+j+\alpha} \rightarrow B_{p}^{j+\alpha}\right)} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (18), for $m>l$ the right-hand side in (62) does not exceed

$$
c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{(l-j-\alpha) / l}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{(j+\alpha) / l}
$$

Combining this with (42) and (43) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=0, \ldots, l-1$, and $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number.
In case $m=l$ inequalities (62) and (19) imply

$$
\left\|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{(l-j) / l}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}^{j / l}
$$

unifying this with (42) and (43) for $m=l$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (47), (48), and (18), (19) that for $j>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m>l$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m=l$.
The third sum in the right-hand side of (61) is estimated by using (51) and (18), (19) and has the same majorant as the right-hand side of (65) for $m>l$ or (66) for $m=l$. Thus, for $m>l$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\gamma_{\rho} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}} & \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-l} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+c \sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $m=l$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma_{\rho} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq\left(\varepsilon\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}+c(\varepsilon)\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}+c \sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, l}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

For noninteger $l$ the following estimate, simpler than (61), holds

$$
\left\|\gamma_{\rho} u\right\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq c\left(\sum_{j=0}^{[l]-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} \gamma_{\rho}\right| C_{p, l-j} u\right\|_{L_{p}}+\sum_{j=0}^{[l]-1}\left\|\left|\nabla_{j} u\right| C_{p, l-j} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)
$$

Combining (56) with Corollary 3 and (18), (19), we arrive at (63) and (64) in the same way as for integer $l$. We also note that (57) and (18) for $m>l$ and (19) for $m=l$ imply (65) and (66) for noninteger $l$. Reference to (11) and Lemma 2 completes the proof.

The required upper estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}}$ in (4) is obtained in Lemma 11. In order to show that for $m>l$ the second term on the right in (60) can be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}$, we need several auxiliary assertions. Let $\gamma(x, y)$ denote the Poisson integral of a function $\gamma \in L_{1, \text { unif }}$.

Lemma 12. (see Lemma 5.1.2[MS]) Let $l$ be noninteger and let $\gamma \in W_{1, \text { loc }}^{[l]}$. Then

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right|^{p} y^{p-1-p\{l\}} d y\right)^{1 / p} \leq c\left(D_{p, l} \gamma\right)(x)
$$

Lemma 13. (Verbitsky, see Sect. $2.6[\mathrm{MS}]$ ) For any $k=0,1, \ldots$ there holds the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma(x)| \leq c\left(\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial^{k+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{k+1}}\right| y^{k} d y\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two lemmas are similar to those due to Verbitsky as presented in Sect. $2.6[\mathrm{MS}]$.

Lemma 14. Let $\gamma \in W_{1, l o c}^{[l]}, y \in(0,1]$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \leq c y^{\{l\}-m-1} \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-n / p}\left\|D_{p, l} \gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}
$$

Proof. We introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-n / p}\left\|D_{p, l} \gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r \in(0,1]$. By Lemma 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right|^{p} y^{p-1-p\{l\}} d y d t \leq c K^{p} r^{n-m p} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the mean value theorem for harmonic functions we find for $\frac{r}{2}<y<\frac{2 r}{3}$

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \leq c r^{-n-1} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} \int_{r / 4}^{r}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(t, \eta)}{\partial \eta^{[l]+1}}\right| d \eta d t .
$$

By Hölder's inequality the right-hand side is dominated by

$$
c r^{\{l\}-1-n / p}\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} \int_{r / 4}^{r}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(t, \eta)}{\partial \eta^{[l]+1}}\right|^{p} \eta^{p-1-p\{l\}} d \eta d t\right)^{1 / p}
$$

which by (71) does not exceed $c r^{\{l\}-m-1} K$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 15. Let $\gamma \in W_{1, l o c}^{[l]}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ there holds inequality

$$
|\gamma(x)| \leq c\left(\left(\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-n / p}\left\|D_{p, l} \gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)^{l / m}\left(D_{p, l} \gamma(x)\right)^{(m-l) / m}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right)
$$

Proof. We put

$$
v(y)= \begin{cases}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| & \text { for } 0<y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text { for } y>1\end{cases}
$$

Then, for any $R>0$

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| y^{[l]} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y) y^{[l]} d y=\int_{0}^{R} v(y) y^{[l]} d y+\int_{R}^{\infty} v(y) y^{[l]} d y
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we find

$$
\int_{0}^{R} v(y) y^{[l]} d y \leq c R^{l}\left(\int_{0}^{R}(v(y))^{p} y^{p-p\{l\}-1} d y\right)^{1 / p}
$$

By Lemma 14,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \leq c K y^{\{l\}-m-1}
$$

where $K$ is defined by (70). Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y) y^{[l]} d y \leq c\left(R^{l}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}(v(y))^{p} y^{p-p\{l\}-1} d y\right)^{1 / p}+R^{l-m} K\right)
$$

Putting here

$$
R=K^{1 / m}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y)^{p} y^{p-p\{l\}-1} d y\right)^{-1 / p m}
$$

we arrive at

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y) y^{[l]} d y \leq c K^{l / m}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y)^{p} y^{p-p\{l\}-1} d y\right)^{(m-l) / p m}
$$

Combining this with (69) for $k=[l]$ we arrive at

$$
|\gamma(x)| \leq\left(K^{l / m}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} v(y)^{p} y^{p-p\{l\}-1} d y\right)^{(m-l) / p m}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right)
$$

Reference to Lemma 12 completes the proof.
Now, we are in a position to prove the principle result of this section.
Lemma 16. Let $0<l<m, p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq c\left(\sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (20) with $\varphi=\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{\frac{1}{m-l}}, \lambda=m-l, \mu=m-\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a positive number less than $l$ such that both $l-\varepsilon$ and $m-\varepsilon$ are nonintegers, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{e} \frac{\int_{e}\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{p}(x) d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l}(e)} \leq c \sup _{e}\left(\frac{\int_{e}\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{\frac{m-\varepsilon}{m-l} p}(x) d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-\varepsilon}(e)}\right)^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Owing to Lemma 15 with $l$ replaced by $l-\varepsilon$ and $m$ replaced by $m-\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{e}\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon) p}{m-l}} d x \leq c\left(\left(\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)^{\frac{(l-\varepsilon) p}{m-l}} \times\right. \\
\left.\int_{e}\left|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho}\right|^{p} d x+\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon) p}{m-l}} \operatorname{mes}_{n} e\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\int_{e\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon) p}{m-l}}}^{\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-\varepsilon}(x) d x}(e)}{)^{\frac{m-l}{(m-\varepsilon) p}} \leq c\left\{\left(\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)^{\frac{l-\varepsilon}{m-\varepsilon}} \times\right.} \begin{array}{c}
\left.\left(\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-\varepsilon}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}\right)^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}}+\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right\} .
\end{array} . . .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

By Corollary 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-\varepsilon}(e)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-\varepsilon} \rightarrow W_{p}^{l-\varepsilon}\right)} \\
& =c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m-\varepsilon} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l-\varepsilon}\right)} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the left-hand side of (74) has the majorant

$$
c\left(\left(\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)^{\frac{l-\varepsilon}{m-\varepsilon}}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}}+\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right)
$$

which together with (73) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{e}\left(\frac{\int_{e}\left|\gamma_{\rho}\right|^{p}(x) d x}{\operatorname{cap}_{p, m-l}(e)}\right)^{1 / p} \leq c(\delta) \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
&+\delta\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}+c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}} \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is an arbitrary positive number.
Next we show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leq c(\sigma) \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}+\sigma\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an arbitrary positive number. We note that by (1) $D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho}$ can be replaced by $C_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho}$. Let $\omega$ denote a positive number to be chosen later. Further, let $k=l-1$ and $\lambda=1$ for integer $l$ and $k=[l]$ and $\lambda=\{l\}$ for noninteger $l$. We have

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)-2 \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)+\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\leq(\omega r)^{p \varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)-2 \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)+\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p \lambda}} d h \\
\leq(\omega r)^{p \varepsilon}\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}^{p} \tag{77}
\end{gather*}
$$

Besides,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)-2 \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)+\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h \\
\leq c\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h+\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h\right. \\
\left.+(\omega r)^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)}\left\|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}^{p}\right) . \tag{78}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h \\
\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{d h}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x+2 h)}\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(z)\right|^{p} d z \\
\leq c \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-p m+p \varepsilon} \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{p(m-\lambda)-n}\left\|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By (12)-(14) the last supremum is dominated by

$$
c\left\|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-\lambda} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{p}
$$

which by Corollary 3 does not exceed $c\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{p}$.
Clearly, the second term in the right in the right-hand side of (78) is estimated in the same way. Similarly, the third term does not exceed

$$
c \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-p m+p \varepsilon}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{p}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} d y \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2 h)-2 \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)+\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)\right|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} d h \\
\leq c \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-p m+p \varepsilon}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}^{p} \tag{79}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (77) and (79) we obtain

$$
r^{m-\varepsilon-n / p}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq c\left(\omega^{\varepsilon} r^{m-n / p}\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}+\omega^{\varepsilon-\lambda}\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}\right)
$$

Setting $\sigma=c \omega^{\varepsilon-\lambda}$ we arrive at (76).
By (12)-(14) and (76),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in(0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\left\|D_{p, l-\varepsilon} \gamma_{\rho} ; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leq c(\sigma) \sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\sigma\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which together with (75) and Lemma 11 results at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq c\left(\sup _{e} \frac{\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma_{\rho} ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left[\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e)\right]^{1 / p}}+\left\|\gamma_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{1, \text { unif }}}\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating the right-hand side of (80) by Lemma 2 and using the equivalence (see, Proposition 2.1. 5 [MS])

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e) \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{cap}_{p, m}\left(e \cap \mathcal{B}^{(j)}\right)
$$

where $\left\{\mathcal{B}^{(j)}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a covering of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by balls of diameter one with multiplicity depending only on $n$, we complete the proof.

## 6 The case $m p>n$

For $m p>n$ Theorem 1 admits a simpler formulation.
Corollary 6. Let $0<l<m, m p>n$, and $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \sim \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}+\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=l$ the second term on the right should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$.
Proof. The lower estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)}$ follows from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cap}_{p, m}(e) \sim 1 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for $m p>n$ and $e$ with $\operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1$, combined with Corollary 4. The upper estimate results from

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)} \leq\|\gamma\|_{M B_{p}^{l}} \leq c\left(\sup _{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1}\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}\right) \\
\leq c \sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\left\|C_{p, l} \gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|+\left\|\gamma ; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is complete.
Remark 1. One can easily verify that the right-hand side in (81) is equivalent to the norm of $\gamma$ in $B_{p, \text { unif }}^{l}$. Hence $M\left(B_{p}^{m} \rightarrow B_{p}^{l}\right)$ is isomorphic to $B_{p, \text { unif }}^{l}$ for $0<l<m, m p>n, p \in(1, \infty)$.

## 7 The space $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$

Let $W_{p}^{m}$ denote the usual Sobolev space with $p \in(1, \infty)$ and integer $m$, and let $W_{p}^{-k}$ stand for the dual space $\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k}\right)^{\star}, p+p^{\prime}=p p^{\prime}$. In [MS], the following sufficient condition for inclusion into the distribution space $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ can be found. We supply it with the proof for completeness and reader's convenience.

Theorem 2. (see Sect. $1.5[\mathrm{MS}])$ (i) Let $p \in(1, \infty), m \leq k$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} D^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\alpha} \in M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{k-m}\right) \cap M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$.
(ii) Let $p \in(1, \infty), m \geq k$. If

$$
\gamma=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}
$$

with

$$
\gamma_{\alpha} \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{m-k}\right) \cap M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow L_{p^{\prime}}\right)
$$

then $\gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$.
Proof. It suffices to prove only (i) since (ii) follows from (i) by duality.
Let $u \in W_{p}^{m}, m \leq k$. Since

$$
u D^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}=\sum_{\lambda \leq \alpha} c_{\lambda \alpha} D^{\lambda}\left(\gamma_{\alpha} D^{\alpha-\lambda} u\right), \quad c_{\lambda \alpha}=\mathrm{const}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|\gamma u\|_{W_{p}^{-k}} \leq c \sum_{|\lambda| \leq|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|\gamma_{\alpha} D^{\alpha-\lambda} u\right\|_{W_{p}^{|\lambda|-k}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{|\lambda| \leq|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-k+|\lambda|} \rightarrow W_{p}^{|\lambda|-k}\right)}\|u\|_{W_{p}^{m+|\alpha|+k}} . \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the interpolation inequality

$$
\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-k+|\lambda|} \rightarrow W_{p}^{|\lambda|-k}\right)} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)}^{(k-|\lambda|) / k}\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}^{|\lambda| / k}
$$

which follows from the interpolation property of Sobolev spaces (see [Tr], Sect. 2.4) we obtain from from (85)

$$
\|\gamma u\|_{W_{p}^{-k}} \leq c\left(\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)}+\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\right)\|u\|_{W_{p}^{m}}
$$

It remains to note that

$$
\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-k} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)}=\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{k-m}\right)}
$$

The following assertion shows that this theorem provides a complete characterisation of $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ which holds under some conditions involving $k, m, p$, and $n$.

Corollary 7. Let $k$ and $m$ be positive integers and let either $k \geq m>0$ and $k>n / p^{\prime}$ or $m \geq k>0$ and $m>n / p$. Then $\gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k} \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\max \{k, m\}>n / 2$ then $M\left(W_{2}^{m} \rightarrow W_{2}^{-k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{2}^{-\min \{m, k\}}$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k \geq m>0, k>n / p^{\prime}$, because the case $m \geq k>0, m>n / p$ results by duality.

Necessity. It follows from the inclusion $\gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ that $\gamma \in W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k}$. Since $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{-m}\right)$, we have $\gamma \in W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}$ as well.

Sufficiency. It is standard and easily proved (compare with Sect. 1.1.14 [M]) that $\gamma \in W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k} \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}$ if and only if (83) holds with $\gamma_{\alpha} \in L_{p, \text { unif }} \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{k-m}$. Since $M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{k-m}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{k-m}$ for $p^{\prime} k>n$, it follows that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M\left(W_{p^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{k-m}\right)$.

It remains to show that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)$. We choose $q$ and $r$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / q>\max \{0,1 / p-m / n\}>-\varepsilon+1 / q \\
1 / r>\max \left\{0,1 / p^{\prime}-(k-m) / n\right\}>-\varepsilon+1 / r
\end{gathered}
$$

with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Since $1 / p>1-k / n$, we have $1 / p>1 / q+1 / r$. By Hölder's inequality

$$
\left\|\gamma_{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{p, \text { unif }}} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{r, \text { unif }}}\|u\|_{L_{q, \text { unif }}}
$$

and by Sobolev's imbedding theorem

$$
\left\|\gamma_{\alpha} u\right\|_{L_{p, \text { unif }}} \leq c\left\|\gamma_{\alpha}\right\|_{W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{k-m}}\|u\|_{W_{p, \text { unif }}^{m}} .
$$

This means that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)$. The proof is completed by reference to assertion (i) of Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Note that by Sobolev's imbedding theorems $W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m} \subset W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k}$, $k \geq m$, if and only if either $n \leq(k-m) p$ or

$$
n>(k-m) p, \quad \frac{k-m}{n} \geq \frac{2-p}{p} .
$$

Under these conditions, $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}$ if $k p^{\prime}>n$. Analogously, if $m \geq k, m p>n$ and either $n \leq(m-k) p^{\prime}$ or

$$
n>(m-k) p^{\prime}, \quad \frac{m-k}{n} \geq \frac{p-2}{p}
$$

then $M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{-k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k}$.
We finish by stating a direct but important application of Corollary 8 to the theory of differential operators.

Corollary 9. Let $k$ and $m$ be integers and let $\mathcal{L}_{m+k}(D)$ denote a differential operator of order $m+k$ with constant coefficients. If either $k \geq m$ and $k p^{\prime}>n$, or $m \geq k>0$ and $m p>n$ then the operator

$$
W_{p}^{m} \ni u \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D) u+\gamma(x) u \in W_{p}^{-k}
$$

is continuous if and only if

$$
\gamma \in W_{p, \text { unif }}^{-k} \cap W_{p^{\prime}, \text { unif }}^{-m}
$$
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