Characterization of multipliers in pairs of Besov spaces

Vladimir Maz'ya Tatyana Shaposhnikova *

May 8, 2003

Department of Mathematics, University of Linköping SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden

Dedicated to the memory of Erhard Meister

Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be a multiplier from one Besov space $B_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into another $B_p^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ where $0 < l \leq m$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. We also show that the space of multipliers acting from the Sobolev space $W_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into a distribution Sobolev space $W_p^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to $W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for either $k \geq m > 0, k > n/p'$, or $m \geq k > 0, m > n/p$, where $p \in (1, \infty)$ and p + p' = pp'.

1 Introduction

By a multiplier acting from one Banach function space S_1 into another S_2 we call a function γ such that $\gamma u \in S_2$ for any $u \in S_1$. By $M(S_1 \to S_2)$ we denote the space of multipliers $\gamma : S_1 \to S_2$ with the norm

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(S_1 \to S_2)} = \sup\{\|\gamma u\|_{S_2} : \|u\|_{S_1} \le 1\}.$$

We write MS instead of $M(S \to S)$.

A theory of pointwise multipliers was developed in our book [MS], where a complete bibliography and description of related results obtained before 1985 can be found. In particular, [MS] contains characterisation of the spaces $M(H_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow H_p^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ with $1 , where <math>H_p^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the Bessel potential space. We also

 $^{^{\}dagger\dagger}1991$ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D10, 46E35, 46E25, 42B25

 $^{^{\}ddagger\ddagger}$ Key words and phrases. Pointwise multipliers, Besov spaces, distribution Sobolev spaces

^{*}The authors were supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

described multipliers $M(W_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \to W_p^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ in Sobolev (k integer)-Slobodetskii (k noninteger) spaces with $1 \le p < \infty$ and both m and l being either integer or noninteger.

We mention known results on multipliers preserving a certain Besov space. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to belong to $MB_p^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 , <math>0 < l < \infty$, are given in [MS]. Recently a characterization of $MB_{p,q}^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, s > n/p, was obtained by Sickel and Smirnov [SS]. The spaces $MB_{\omega,1}^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $MB_{\omega,\infty}^0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ were described by Koch and Sickel [KS].

The main goal of the present paper is to characterize the space $M(B_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \to B_p^l(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for $m \ge l > 0, p \in (1, \infty)$.

A sufficient condition for inclusion into the space $M(W_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \to W_p^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ of Sobolev multipliers can be found in Sect.1.5 [MS]. Recently Maz'ya and Verbitsky [MV2], [MV3] described the spaces $M(W_2^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \to W_2^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and $M(W_2^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \to W_2^{-1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n))$, solving the problem of the form boundedness of the Schrödinger and the relativistic Schrödinger operators (see [MV2] and [MV4] for further results in the same vein). We conclude the present paper by showing that the space $M(W_p^m(\mathbf{R}^n) \to W_p^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is isomorphic to $W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ provided $k \ge m > 0, \ k > n/p'$ or $m \ge k > 0, \ m > n/p$, where where $p \in (1, \infty)$ and p + p' = pp'. This is a straightforward corollary of the above mentioned sufficient condition from Sect. 1.5 [MS]. However, the result seems to be new even for n = 1, except for the case k = m = 1 treated in [MV4].

Let $s = k + \alpha$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and k is a nonnegative integer. Further, let

$$\Delta_h^{(2)}u(x) = u(x+2h) - 2u(x+h) + u(x)$$

and

$$(C_{p,s}u)(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\Delta_h^{(2)} \nabla_k u(x)|^p |h|^{-n-p\alpha} dh\right)^{1/p},$$

where ∇_k stands for the gradient of order k, i.e. $\nabla_k u = \{\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}\}, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k$. The Besov space $B_p^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is introduced as the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in the norm

$$||C_{p,s}u;\mathbf{R}^n||_{L_p}+||u;\mathbf{R}^n||_{L_p}.$$

Let $\{s\}$ and [s] denote the fractional and integer parts of a positive number s and let

$$(D_{p,s}u)(x) = \left(\int |\Delta_h \nabla_{[s]}u(x)|^p |h|^{-n-p\{s\}} dh\right)^{1/p}$$

where $\Delta_h v(x) = v(x+h) - v(x)$. The fractional Sobolev space W_p^s is defined as the closure of C_0^{∞} in the norm

$$||D_{p,s}u||_{L_p} + ||u||_{L_p}.$$

(Here and in the sequel, we omit \mathbb{R}^n in the notation of norms, spaces, and in the range of integration.) For $\{s\} > 0$ the spaces B_p^s and W_p^s have the same elements

and their norms are equivalent since

$$(2 - 2^{\{s\}})D_{p,s}u \le C_{p,s}u \le (2 + 2^{\{s\}})D_{p,s}u \tag{1}$$

which follows directly from the identity

$$2[u(x+h) - u(x)] = -[u(x+2h) - 2u(x+h) + u(x)] + [u(x+2h) - u(x)].$$

In what follows the equivalence $a \sim b$ means that there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 b \leq a \leq c_2 b$.

With any Banach space S of functions on \mathbb{R}^n one can associate the spaces

$$S_{\text{loc}} = \{ u : \eta u \in S \text{ for all } \eta \in C_0^\infty \}$$

and

$$S_{\text{unif}} = \{ u : \sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} \| \eta_z u \|_S < \infty \},$$

where $\eta_z(x) = \eta(x-z), \eta \in C_0^{\infty}, \eta = 1$ on \mathcal{B}_1 . Here and in what follows $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ is the ball $\{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : |y-x| < r\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_r = \mathcal{B}_r(0)$. The space S_{unif} is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{S_{\mathrm{unif}}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} \|\eta_z u\|_S.$$

The obvious consequence of the definition of the multiplier space $M(S_1 \rightarrow S_2)$ is the imbedding

$$M(S_1 \to S_2) \subset S_{2,\mathrm{unif}}$$

Let Λ^{μ} be the operator defined for any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\Lambda^{\mu} = (-\Delta + 1)^{\mu/2} = F^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\mu/2} F,$$

where F is the Fourier transform in \mathbf{R}^n and F^{-1} is the inverse of F. By J_l we denote the Bessel potential of order l, that is the operator Λ^{-l} . Throughout the paper we assume that m > 0 and use the notion of the (p, m)-capacity $\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)$ of a compact set $e \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ which is defined by

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e) = \inf\{\|f\|_{L_p}^p : f \in L_p, f \ge 0 \text{ and } J_m f(x) \ge 1 \text{ for all } x \in e\}.$$

For properties of this capacity see [M], Ch. 7 and [AH], Ch. 2 and Sect. 4.4. In particular, it is well known that if $0 < r \le 1$, then

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(\mathcal{B}_r) \sim \begin{cases} r^{n-mp} & \text{for } mp < n, \\ \left(\log\frac{2}{r}\right)^{1-p} & \text{for } mp = n, \\ 1 & \text{for } mp > n, \end{cases}$$
(2)

and if e is a compact set in \mathbf{R}^n with diam $(e) \leq 1$, then

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e) \ge \begin{cases} c(\operatorname{mes}_n e)^{(n-mp)/n} & \text{for } mp < n, \\ \left(\log \frac{2^n}{\operatorname{mes}_n e}\right)^{1-p} & \text{for } mp = n. \end{cases}$$
(3)

The following assertion is the main result of this article.

Theorem 1. Let $0 < l \le m, p \in (1, \infty)$, and let $\gamma \in B_{p, \text{loc}}^l$. There holds the equivalence relation

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \sim \sup_e \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \begin{cases} \|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\operatorname{unif}}}, & m > l, \\ \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}, & m = l, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where e is an arbitrary compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . The finiteness of the right-hand side in (4) is necessary and sufficient for $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$.

The relation (4) remains valid if one adds the condition $\operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1$.

For mp > n the statement of the above theorem simplifies. Namely, the relation (4) is equivalent to

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \sim \|\gamma\|_{B_{p,\mathrm{unif}}^l} \quad \text{for } m \ge l,$$
(5)

and for lp > n

$$\|\gamma\|_{MB_{p}^{l}} \sim \|C_{p,l}\gamma\|_{L_{p,\text{unif}}} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}.$$
(6)

From results of Kerman and Saywer [KeS] and Maz'ya and Verbitsky [MV1] it follows that the supremum in the right-hand side of (4) is equivalent to each of the suprema

$$\sup_{\{Q\}} \frac{\|J_m \chi_Q(C_{p,l}\gamma)^p; Q\|_{L_{p/(p-1)}}}{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; Q\|_{L_p}^{p-1}},\tag{7}$$

where $\{Q\}$ is the collection of all cubes, χ_Q is the characteristic function of Q, and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{J_m (J_m (C_{p,l} \gamma)^p)^{p/(p-1)}(x)}{J_m (C_{p,l} \gamma)^p(x)}.$$
(8)

From (4), (7), and (8) one can deduce various precise upper and lower estimates for the norm in $M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$ formulated in more conventional terms (compare with [MS], Ch. 3).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some auxiliary assertions used in the sequel.

Lemma 1. (see [St], Sect. 5.1) There holds the equivalence relation

$$\|u\|_{B_p^k} \sim \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{B_p^{k-\alpha}},\tag{9}$$

where $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, k)$.

By $H_p^k, k \ge 0, p \in (1, \infty)$, we denote the space of Bessel potentials defined as the completion of C_0^{∞} in the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_n^k} = \|\Lambda^k u\|_{L_p}.$$
 (10)

The following relations are well known

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^k \to L_p)} \sim \|\gamma\|_{M(H_p^k \to L_p)} \sim$$

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,k}(e)]^{1/p}} \sim \sup_{e,\operatorname{diam}(e) \le 1} \frac{\|\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,k}(e)]^{1/p}}$$
(11)

(see [MS], Lemma 2.2.2/1, Corollary 3.2.1/1, Remark 3.2.1/1 and [AH], Sect. 4.4).

Using estimates (2) for the capacity of a ball, one obtains the following relations from (11)

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^k \to L_p)} \sim \|\gamma\|_{L_{p,\text{unif}}} \quad \text{for } pk > n,$$
(12)

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{k} \to L_{p})} \ge c \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{k-n/p} \|\gamma; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} \quad \text{for } pk < n,$$
(13)

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{k}\to L_{p})} \ge c \sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^{n}, r\in(0,1)} \left(\log\frac{2}{r}\right)^{(p-1)/p} \|\gamma; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} \quad \text{for } pk = n.$$
(14)

Lemma 2. Let γ_{ρ} denote a mollifier of a function γ which is defined as

$$\gamma_{\rho}(x) = \rho^{-n} \int K(\rho^{-1}(x-\xi))\gamma(\xi)d\xi,$$

where $K \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_1)$, $K \ge 0$, and $\|K\|_{L_1} = 1$. The inequalities

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \le \liminf_{\rho \to 0} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)},$$

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to L_p)} \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to L_p)} \le \liminf_{\rho \to 0} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to L_p)},$$

and

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} \le \sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}}$$

are valid.

Proof. The proof of two-sided estimates is the same as in Lemma 3.2.1/1 [MS]. By Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho};e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} &\leq \frac{\int K(z) \Big(\int_{e} \big(C_{p,l}\gamma(x-\rho z)\big)^{p} dx\Big)^{1/p} dz}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\mathcal{B}_{1}} K(z) \Big(\int_{E} \big(C_{p,l}\gamma(\xi)\big)^{p} d\xi\Big)^{1/p} dz}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(E)]^{1/p}} &\leq \|K\|_{L_{1}} \sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma;e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} \end{split}$$

where $E = \{x - \rho z : x \in e, z \in \mathcal{B}_1\}.$

Below we use the interpolation properties

$$B_p^{m-k} = \left(B_p^m, \ H_p^{m-l}\right)_{k/l,p} \tag{15}$$

and

$$B_p^{m-k} = \left(B_p^m, \ B_p^{m-l}\right)_{k/l,p},\tag{16}$$

where l < k < m (see, [Tr], Th. 2.4.2). In particular, (16) implies

$$\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^r} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^\sigma}^{\theta} \, \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^\rho}^{1-\theta} \,, \tag{17}$$

where $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\sigma > \rho > 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and $r = \theta \sigma + (1 - \theta)\rho$. It follows from (11) and (16) that $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l) \cap M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)$ implies $\gamma \in M(B_p^{m-k} \to B_p^{l-k})$ for 0 < k < l. Moreover,

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-k} \to B_p^{l-k})} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}^{1-k/l} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)}^{k/l}$$
(18)

for 0 < k < l < m and

$$\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^{l-k}} \le c \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^l}^{1-k/l} \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}^{k/l}$$
(19)

for 0 < k < l.

In what follows we shall use five following assertions proved in the book [MS]. Lemma 3 (see [MS] Lemma 3.1.2/1) Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal

Lemma 3. (see [MS], Lemma 3.1.2/1) Let \mathcal{M} be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}v(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}_n \mathcal{B}_t} \int_{\mathcal{B}_t(x)} |v(y)| dy.$$

Also let $J_r^{(n+s)}$ denote the Bessel potential in \mathbf{R}^{n+s} , $s \geq 1$. Then, for any nonnegative function $f \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^{n+s})$

$$(J_{r\theta+s/p}^{(n+s)}f)(x,0) \le c \left((J_{r+s/p}^{(n+s)}f)(x,0) \right)^{\theta} \left(\mathcal{M}F(x) \right)^{1-\theta},$$

where $F(x) = ||f(x, \cdot); \mathbf{R}^{s}||_{L_{p}}$ and $0 < \theta < 1$.

Lemma 4. (see [MS], Lemma 3.2.1/3) For any nonnegative function $\varphi \in L_{p\mu,loc}$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, and $0 < \lambda \leq \mu$, there holds

$$\sup_{e} \left(\frac{\int_{e} \varphi^{\lambda p}(x) dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,\lambda}(e)} \right)^{1/\lambda} \le c \sup_{e} \left(\frac{\int_{e} \varphi^{\mu p}(x) dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,\mu}(e)} \right)^{1/\mu}.$$
 (20)

Lemma 5. (see [MS], Lemma 3.1.1./1) For any positive $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ there holds inequalities

$$(C_{p,\alpha}u)(x) \le \left(J_{\beta}C_{p,\alpha}\Lambda^{\beta}u\right)(x),\tag{21}$$

$$(D_{p,\alpha}u)(x) \le \left(J_{\beta}D_{p,\alpha}\Lambda^{\beta}u\right)(x).$$
(22)

Lemma 6. (see Lemma 3.9.1 [MS]). For $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and any $k \ge 1$ there holds

$$\left(\int \int |\Delta_h \gamma(x) \Delta_h u(x)|^p |h|^{-n-p} dh dx\right)^{1/p} \le c \sup_e \frac{\|C_{p,\delta} \gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,k-1+\delta}(e)]^{1/p}} \|u\|_{B_p^k}.$$
 (23)

Lemma 7. (see Lemma 3.1.1/2 [MS]) For any α , $\beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$ there holds

$$\|D_{p,\alpha}D_{p,\beta}u\|_{L_p} \le c \|D_{p,\alpha+\beta}u\|_{L_p}.$$

3 Lower estimates of the norm in $M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$

The following is the main result of this section.

Lemma 8. Let $0 < l \le m$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then

$$|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} \le \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^l} \qquad \qquad for \ m = l \tag{24}$$

and

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l}\to L_p)} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^l)} \quad for \ m>l.$$

Proof. Let $u \in B_p^l$ and let N be a positive integer. Clearly,

$$\|\gamma^{N}u\|_{L_{p}}^{1/N} \leq \|\gamma^{N}u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}^{1/N} \leq \|\gamma\|_{MB_{p}^{l}} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}^{1/N}.$$

Passing to the limit as $N \to \infty$ we arrive at (24).

Now suppose 0 < l < m. Let γ_{ρ} be the mollification of $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$. By Lemma 2, it suffices to prove (25) for γ_{ρ} . To simplify the notation we write γ in place of γ_{ρ} .

We consider two cases: $m \ge 2l$ and 2l > m > l. Assume first that $m \ge 2l$. Let $U \in H_p^{m-l+1/p}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ denote an extension of the function $u \in B_p^{m-l}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to \mathbf{R}^{n+1} such that

$$\|U; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{H_p^{m-l+1/p}} \le c \|u; \mathbf{R}^n\|_{B_p^{m-l}}.$$
(26)

It is standard that the converse estimate

$$\|u; \mathbf{R}^{n}\|_{B_{p}^{m-l}} \le c \|U; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{H_{p}^{m-l-1/p}}$$
(27)

holds for all extensions U. Let us represent U as the Bessel potential $J_{m-l+1/p}^{(n+1)}f$ with density $f \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$. By Lemma 3,

$$|u(x)| \le c \left((J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|)(x,0) \right)^{(m-l)/l} (\mathcal{M}F(x))^{l/m},$$

where $F(x) = ||f(x, \cdot); \mathbf{R}||_{L_p}$. Therefore,

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L_p} \le c \|f; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{L_p}^{l/m} \| |\gamma|^{m/(m-l)} (J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|)(\cdot, 0)\|_{L_p}^{(m-l)/m}.$$

The right-hand side does not exceed

$$c \|f; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{L_p}^{l/m} \|\gamma \left(J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|\right)(\cdot, 0)\|_{B_p^l}^{(m-l)/m} \sup_e \left(\frac{\int_e |\gamma|^{\frac{pl}{m-l}} dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,l}(e)}\right)^{(m-l)/mp}.$$
 (28)

Setting $\varphi = |\gamma|^{\frac{1}{m-l}}$, $\lambda = l$, $\mu = m - l$ in Lemma 4, we find that in the case $m \ge 2l$ the supremum in (28) is dominated by

$$c\left(\sup_{e} \frac{\int_{e} |\gamma|^{p} dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l}(e)}\right)^{l/mp} \leq c \left\|\gamma\right\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l} \to L_{p})}^{l/m}.$$

Hence and by (28)

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L_p} \le c \|f; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{L_p}^{l/m} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}^{(m-l)/m} \|J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|(\cdot, 0)\|_{B_p^m}^{(m-l)/m} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)}^{l/m}.$$

Using first (27) and then (10) and (26), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|(\cdot,0)\|_{B_p^m} &\leq c \, \|J_{m+1/p}^{(n+1)}|f|; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{H_p^{m+1/p}} = c \|f; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{L_p} \\ &= c \|U; \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\|_{H_p^{m-l+1/p}} \leq c \, \|u; \mathbf{R}^n\|_{B_p^{m-l}}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L_p} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)}^{l/m} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}^{(m-l)/m} \|u\|_{B_p^{m-l}},$$

which implies (25) for $m \ge 2l$.

Suppose 2l > m > l. Let μ be an arbitrary positive number less than m - l. By (18) with $k = l - \mu$,

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+\mu}\to B_p^{\mu})} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l}\to L_p)}^{(l-\mu)/l} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^{l})}^{\mu/l}.$$

Since $m - l + \mu > 2\mu$, it follows from the first part of the proof that there holds inequality (25) with m and l replaced by $m - l + \mu$ and μ , respectively, i.e.

$$\left\|\gamma\right\|_{M(B_p^{m-l}\to L_p)} \le c \left\|\gamma\right\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+\mu}\to B_p^{\mu})}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l}\to L_{p})} \leq c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l}\to L_{p})}^{(l-\mu)/l} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})}^{\mu/l}$$

and (25) is proved for 2l > m > l as well.

By Lemma 8 and (11), the following assertion holds.

Corollary 1. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, 0 < l < m. Then

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}.$$

Lemma 8 in combination with (18) and (19) implies

Corollary 2. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, $0 < l \leq m$. Then $\gamma \in M(B_p^{m-k} \to B_p^{l-k})$, 0 < k < l, and

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-k}\to B_p^{l-k})} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^l)}.$$

The following assertion contains an estimate for derivatives of a multiplier.

Lemma 9. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, $0 < l \le m$. Then $D^{\alpha}\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^{l-|\alpha|})$ for any multi-index α of order $|\alpha| \le l$. The inequality holds

$$\|D^{\alpha}\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l-|\alpha|})} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $|\alpha| = 1, l \ge 1$. Clearly,

$$\|u\nabla\gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}} \leq \|u\gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l}} + \|\gamma\nabla u\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}}$$
$$\leq \left(\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})} + \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-1}\to B_{p}^{l-1})}\right)\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}.$$

This and Corollary 2 imply

$$\|u\nabla\gamma\|_{B_{p}^{l-1}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}$$

which completes the proof.

Lemmas 8 and 9 imply the following

Corollary 3. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, $0 < l \le m$. Then, for any multi index α of order $|\alpha| \le l$, $D^{\alpha}\gamma \in M(B_p^{m-|\alpha|} \to L_p)$. The inequality holds

$$\|D^{\alpha}\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+|\alpha|}\to L_p)} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^l)}.$$

4 Proof of necessity in Theorem 1

In this section we derive the inequalities

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \|\gamma; \mathcal{B}_1(x)\|_{L_p} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}, \quad m > l$$
(29)

and

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma;e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,l}(e)]^{1/p}} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} \le c\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^l}.$$
(30)

The core of the proof is the following assertion.

Lemma 10. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, where $0 < l \le m$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}.$$
(31)

Proof. We use induction in l and start by showing that (31) is valid for $l \in (0, 1]$.

(i) Let $l \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$\|uC_{p,l}\gamma\|_{L_{p}} \leq c (\|\gamma u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} + \|\gamma C_{p,l}u\|_{L_{p}})$$

$$\leq c (\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} + \|\gamma C_{p,l}u\|_{L_{p}}).$$
(32)

Consider first the case m = l. Clearly, $\|\gamma C_{p,l}u\|_{L_p} \leq \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}} \|u\|_{B_p^l}$ which together with (32) and (24) gives

$$||uC_{p,l}\gamma||_{L_p} \le c ||\gamma||_{MB_p^l} ||u||_{B_p^l}.$$

Therefore, $\|C_{p,l}\gamma\|_{M(B_p^l \to L_p)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^l}$ and, in view of (11), we obtain (31). Suppose now that l < m. By (21)

$$\|\gamma C_{p,l}u\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)} \|J_{m-l}C_{p,l}\Lambda^{m-l}u\|_{B_p^{m-l}}.$$
(33)

Owing to Lemma 1, the last norm does not exceed

$$c \|C_{p,l}\Lambda^{m-l}u\|_{L_p} \le c \|\Lambda^{m-l}u\|_{B_p^l} \le c \|u\|_{B_p^m}$$

which in combination with with (33) implies

$$\|\gamma C_{p,l}u\|_{L_p} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}.$$
(34)

Using (32), (34) and Lemma 8, we arrive at

$$||uC_{p,l}\gamma||_{L_p} \le c ||\gamma||_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} ||u||_{B_p^m}.$$

Thus,

$$\|C_{p,l}\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to L_p)} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}$$

which together with (11) gives (31).

(ii) Let l = 1. In view of the identity

$$\Delta_h^{(2)}(\gamma u) = \gamma \Delta_h^{(2)} u + u \Delta_h^{(2)} \gamma + \Delta_{2h} \gamma \Delta_{2h} u - 2\Delta_h \gamma \Delta_h u \tag{35}$$

one has

$$\|uC_{p,1}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma u\|_{B_p^1} + \|\gamma C_{p,1}u\|_{L_p}$$

$$+4\left(\int\int |\Delta_h\gamma(x)\Delta_hu(x)|^p|h|^{-n-p}dhdx\right)^{1/p}\tag{36}$$

for any $u \in C_0^{\infty}$.

We proceed separately for m = 1 and m > 1. Let first m = 1. Using (23) with k = 1 and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ together with (36) and (24), we find

$$\|uC_{p,1}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le c \left(\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^1} + \sup_e \frac{\|C_{p,\delta}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,\delta}(e)]^{1/p}}\right) \|u\|_{B_p^1}.$$
(37)

In view of part (i) of this proof, the last supremum is majorized by $c \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^{\delta}}$. Hence (37) leads to the inequality

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,1}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,1}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \left(\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^1} + \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^\delta}\right).$$
(38)

Since by Corollary 2 there holds $\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^{\delta}} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{MB_p^1}$, we arrive at (31) for m = l = 1.

Next we estimate the right-hand side of (36) for m > 1. By (21), its second term is majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma J_{m-1}C_{p,1}\Lambda^{m-1}u\|_{L_{p}} &\leq c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-1}\to L_{p})}\|J_{m-1}C_{p,1}\Lambda^{m-1}u\|_{B_{p}^{m-1}} \\ &\leq c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-1}\to L_{p})}\|C_{p,1}\Lambda^{m-1}u\|_{L_{p}} \\ &\leq c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-1}\to L_{p})}\|\Lambda^{m-1}u\|_{B_{p}^{1}} \leq c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{1})}\|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}. \end{aligned}$$
(39)

The last inequality in this chain follows from (9) and (25). We estimate the third term in the right-hand side of (36) using (23) with k = m > 1 and (31) with $l = \delta < 1$. Then this term does not exceed

$$c \sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,\delta}\gamma; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-1+\delta}(e)]^{1/p}} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-1+\delta} \to B_{p}^{\delta})} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}.$$
 (40)

Furthermore, by Corollary 2

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-1+\delta}\to B_p^{\delta})} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^1)}$$

Therefore, the third term on the right in (36) is dominated by $c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^1)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}$. This along with (36) and (39) implies

$$\|uC_{p,1}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^1)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}$$

and thus (31) holds for l = 1.

(iii) Suppose that l is a positive integer and that the lemma is proved for $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^k)$, where k is any positive integer not exceeding l-1. Applying (35), we find

$$\|uC_{p,l}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma u\|_{B_p^l} + c\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \| |\nabla_j\gamma|C_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_p} + c\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \| |\nabla_j u|C_{p,l-j}\gamma\|_{L_p}$$

$$+c\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\int \int |\Delta_h \nabla_j \gamma(x)|^p |\Delta_h \nabla_{l-1-j} u|^p |h|^{-n-p} dh dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

$$\tag{41}$$

By (21) with $\alpha = l - j$, $\beta = m - l + j$ we have

$$(C_{p,l-j}u)(x) \le (J_{m-l+j}C_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{m-l+j}u)(x).$$

Therefore, for $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$ and $m \ge l$,

$$\| |\nabla_{j}\gamma|C_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \|\nabla_{j}\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l+j}\to L_{p})} \|J_{m-l+j}C_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{m-l+j}u\|_{B_{p}^{m-l+j}}$$

$$\leq c \|\nabla_{j}\gamma\|_{M(H_{p}^{m-l+j}\to L_{p})} \|C_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{m-l+j}u\|_{L_{p}}.$$
(42)

According to (9),

$$\|C_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{m-l+j}u\|_{L_p} \le \|\Lambda^{m-l+j}u\|_{B_p^{l-j}} \le c \,\|u\|_{B_p^m}.$$
(43)

By Corollary 3,

$$\|\nabla_{j}\gamma\|_{M(H_{p}^{m-l+j}\to L_{p})} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})}, \quad j=1,\ldots,l-1, \ m\ge l.$$
(44)

For j = 0 by Lemma 8 we obtain

$$\|\gamma C_{p,l} u\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}.$$
(45)

Unifying (42)-(45), we find that for all $j = 0, \ldots, l-1$ and $1 \le l \le m$,

$$\| |\nabla_{j}\gamma| C_{p,l-j} u \|_{L_{p}} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}.$$
(46)

For any $j = 1, \ldots, l - 1$ we have

$$\| |\nabla_{j}u| C_{p,l-j}\gamma \|_{L_{p}} \le c \sup_{e} \frac{\| C_{p,l-j}\gamma; e \|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap} - p, m - j(e)]^{1/p}} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}.$$
 (47)

From the induction assumption and Corollary 2 it follows that for $m \ge l$ one has

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l-j}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-j}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-j} \to B_p^{l-j})} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \tag{48}$$

which together with (47) implies

$$\| |\nabla_{j} u| C_{p,l-j} \gamma \|_{L_{p}} \le c \| \gamma \|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})} \| u \|_{B_{p}^{m}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l-1.$$
(49)

Next we estimate the last sum in (41). Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ be such that $m + \delta$ is a noninteger. By (23) with γ replaced by $\nabla_j \gamma$, u replaced by $\nabla_{l-1-j} u$, and k = m - l + j + 1 each term of the last sum in (41) does not exceed

$$c \sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,j+\delta}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l+j+\delta}(e)]^{1/p}} \|\nabla_{l-1-j}u\|_{B_p^{m-l+j+1}}$$
(50)

By the induction assumption and Corollary 2 this implies

$$\left(\int\int |\Delta_h \nabla_j \gamma(x)|^p |\Delta_h \nabla_{l-1-j} u|^p |h|^{-n-p} dh dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+j+\delta} \to B_p^{j+\delta})} \|u\|_{B_p^m} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}.$$
(51)

Combining this with (49) and (47), we obtain from (41)

$$\|uC_{p,l}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|u\|_{B_p^m} \tag{52}$$

and thus (31) follows for all integer l.

(iv) Now let l be noninteger. Suppose that

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}$$

for all noninteger $l \in (0, N)$, where N is integer. Let N < l < N + 1. In view of the equivalence $C_{p,l}\gamma \sim D_{p,l}\gamma$ we have

$$\|uD_{p,l}\gamma\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma u\|_{B_p^l} + c\sum_{j=0}^N \| |\nabla_j\gamma|D_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_p} + c\sum_{j=1}^N \| |\nabla_j u|D_{p,l-j}\gamma\|_{L_p}.$$
 (53)

Let $t \in (0, m - l + j)$ if m > l or m = l, j > 0 and let t = 0 if m = l and j = 0. By (22) with $\alpha = l - j$ and $\beta = t$ one has

$$(D_{p,l-j}u)(x) \le (J_t D_{p,l-j}\Lambda^t u)(x).$$

Hence

$$\| |\nabla_{j}\gamma|D_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_{p}} \leq \|\nabla_{j}\gamma\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-l+j}\to L_{p})} \|J_{t}D_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{t}u\|_{W_{p}^{m-l+j}}$$

$$\leq c \|\nabla_{j}\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l+j}\to L_{p})} \|D_{p,l-j}\Lambda^{t}u\|_{W_{p}^{m-l+j-t}}.$$
(54)

By definition of the operator $D_{p,l}$ and the space W_p^l ,

$$\|D_{p,l-j}v\|_{W_p^{m-l+j-t}} = \|D_{p,m-l+j-t}D_{p,\{l\}}\nabla_{[l-j]}v\|_{L_p} + \|D_{p,l-j}v\|_{L_p}.$$

We use Lemma 7 with $\alpha = m - l + j - t$, $\beta = \{l\}$ assuming t to be so close to m - l + j that 0 < m - t - [l] + j < 1. Then

$$\|D_{p,m-l+j-t}D_{p,\{l\}}\nabla_{[l]-j}v\|_{L_p} \le c\|D_{p,m-t-[l]-j}\nabla_{[l]-j}v\|_{L_p} \le c\|v\|_{W_p^{m-t}}.$$
 (55)

We may also choose t in such a way that m-t is noninteger so that $W_p^{m-t} = B_p^{m-t}$. Then (54) and (55) with $v = \Lambda^t u$, together with Corollary 3 imply

$$\| |\nabla_j \gamma| D_{p,l-j} u \|_{L_p} \le c \| \nabla_j \gamma \|_{M(B_p^{m-l+j} \to L_p)} \| \Lambda^t u \|_{B_p^{m-t}}$$

$$\leq c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}. \tag{56}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have for j = 1, ..., N

$$\| |\nabla_{j}u| D_{p,l-j}\gamma \|_{L_{p}} \leq c \sup_{e} \frac{\| D_{p,l-j}\gamma; e \|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-j}(e)]^{1/p}} \|\nabla_{j}u\|_{B_{p}^{m-j}}$$
$$\leq c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-j} \to B_{p}^{l-j})} \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}$$
(57)

which together with Corollary 2 implies

$$\| |\nabla_j u| D_{p,l-j} \gamma \|_{L_p} \le c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|u\|_{B_p^m}.$$

Hence and by (56) it follows from (53) that

$$||uD_{p,l}\gamma||_{L_p} \le c ||\gamma||_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} ||u||_{B_p^m}.$$

The proof is complete.

The following simple corollary contains the required lower estimate of the norm in $M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$ in Theorem 1. It also finishes the proof of necessity in Theorem 1.

Corollary 4. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, where $0 < l \le m$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then

$$c\left(\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma;e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \|\gamma;\mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\|_{L_{p}}\right) \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}.$$
 (58)

For m = l the second term on the left should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$.

Proof. Since $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$ it follows that

$$\|\gamma\eta\|_{L_p} \le \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \|\eta\|_{B_p^m}$$

for any $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_2(x))$, $\eta = 1$ on $\mathcal{B}_1(x)$, where x is an arbitrary point of \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \|\gamma; \mathcal{B}_1(x)\|_{L_p} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}.$$

The result follows by combining this with Lemma 10.

The next corollary contains one more lower estimate for the norm in the space $M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$.

Corollary 5. Let $\gamma \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$, where $0 < l \le m, p \in (1, \infty)$. Then, for any $k = 0, \ldots, [l]$ there holds the inclusion $C_{p,l-k}\gamma \in M(B_p^{m-k} \to L_p)$ and

$$\|C_{p,l-k}\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-k}\to L_p)} \le c \,\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^l)}.$$

Proof. By Corollaries 4 and 2,

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l-k}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-k}(e)]^{1/p}} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^{m-k} \to B_p^{l-k})} \le c \, \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}.$$
(59)

It remains to make use of (11).

5 Proof of sufficiency in Theorem 1

The aim of this section is to prove the upper estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}$ in (4).

Lemma 11. Let $\gamma \in B_{p,loc}^l$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then for m > l

$$c \|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \le \sup_{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \le 1} \Big(\frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \frac{\|\gamma, e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l}(e)]^{1/p}} \Big).$$
(60)

For m = l the second term should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$.

Proof. It follows from the finiteness of the right-hand side of (60) that $\gamma \in L_{1,\text{unif}}$. Let γ_{ρ} denote the mollifyer of γ with radius ρ . From $\gamma \in L_{1,\text{unif}}$ it follows that all derivatives of γ_{ρ} are bounded. Hence $\gamma_{\rho} \in M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$.

For integer l we find by (35) that there holds the estimate

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq c \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \||\nabla_{j}\gamma_{\rho}|C_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_{p}} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \||\nabla_{j}u|C_{p,l-j}\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{p}} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \Big(\int\int |\Delta_{h}\nabla_{j}\gamma_{\rho}(x)|^{p} |\Delta_{h}\nabla_{l-1-j}u|^{p} |h|^{-n-p} dh dx\Big)^{1/p}\Big).$$
(61)

By Corollary 3, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\nabla_j \gamma_\rho\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+j} \to L_p)} \le c \, \|\gamma_\rho\|_{M(B_p^{m-l+j+\alpha} \to B_p^{j+\alpha})}.$$
(62)

In view of (18), for m > l the right-hand side in (62) does not exceed

$$c \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l} \to L_{p})}^{(l-j-\alpha)/l} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}^{(j+\alpha)/l}$$

Combining this with (42) and (43) we obtain

$$\| |\nabla_j \gamma_\rho| C_{p,l-j} u \|_{L_p} \le \left(\varepsilon \| \gamma_\rho \|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} + c(\varepsilon) \| \gamma_\rho \|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)} \right) \| u \|_{B_p^m}, \quad (63)$$

where $j = 0, \ldots, l - 1$, and ε is an arbitrary positive number.

In case m = l inequalities (62) and (19) imply

$$\|\nabla_j \gamma_\rho\|_{M(B_p^j \to L_p)} \le c \|\gamma_\rho\|_{L_\infty}^{(l-j)/l} \|\gamma_\rho\|_{MB_p^l}^{j/l}$$

unifying this with (42) and (43) for m = l we obtain

$$\| |\nabla_j \gamma_\rho | C_{p,l-j} u \|_{L_p} \le \left(\varepsilon \| \gamma_\rho \|_{MB_p^l} + c(\varepsilon) \| \gamma_\rho \|_{L_\infty} \right) \| u \|_{B_p^l}.$$

$$\tag{64}$$

It follows from (47), (48), and (18), (19) that for j > 0

$$\| |\nabla_j u| C_{p,l-j} \gamma_\rho \|_{L_p} \le \left(\varepsilon \| \gamma_\rho \|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} + c(\varepsilon) \| \gamma_\rho \|_{M(B_p^{m-l} \to L_p)} \right) \| u \|_{B_p^m}, \quad (65)$$

if m>l and

$$\| |\nabla_j u| C_{p,l-j} \gamma_\rho \|_{L_p} \le \left(\varepsilon \|\gamma_\rho\|_{MB_p^l} + c(\varepsilon) \|\gamma_\rho\|_{L_\infty} \right) \|u\|_{B_p^l}$$
(66)

if m = l.

The third sum in the right-hand side of (61) is estimated by using (51) and (18), (19) and has the same majorant as the right-hand side of (65) for m > l or (66) for m = l. Thus, for m > l we find

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq \left(\varepsilon \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})} + c(\varepsilon)\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-l} \to L_{p})} + c \sup_{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}}\right) \|u\|_{B_{p}^{m}}.$$
(67)

Similarly, for m = l,

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq \left(\varepsilon\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{MB_{p}^{l}} + c(\varepsilon)\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{\infty}} + c \sup_{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,l}(e)]^{1/p}}\right) \|u\|_{B_{p}^{l}}.$$
 (68)

For noninteger l the following estimate, simpler than (61), holds

/

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}u\|_{B_{p}^{l}} \leq c \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{[l]-1} \||\nabla_{j}\gamma_{\rho}|C_{p,l-j}u\|_{L_{p}} + \sum_{j=0}^{[l]-1} \||\nabla_{j}u|C_{p,l-j}\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{p}}\Big)$$

Combining (56) with Corollary 3 and (18), (19), we arrive at (63) and (64) in the same way as for integer l. We also note that (57) and (18) for m > l and (19) for m = l imply (65) and (66) for noninteger l. Reference to (11) and Lemma 2 completes the proof.

The required upper estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{MB_p^l}$ in (4) is obtained in Lemma 11. In order to show that for m > l the second term on the right in (60) can be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}}$, we need several auxiliary assertions. Let $\gamma(x, y)$ denote the Poisson integral of a function $\gamma \in L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}$.

Lemma 12. (see Lemma 5.1.2 [MS]) Let l be noninteger and let $\gamma \in W_{1,\text{loc}}^{[l]}$. Then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right|^p y^{p-1-p\{l\}} dy\right)^{1/p} \le c \ (D_{p,l}\gamma)(x).$$

Lemma 13. (Verbitsky, see Sect. 2.6 [MS]) For any k = 0, 1, ... there holds the inequality

$$|\gamma(x)| \le c \Big(\|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}} + \int_0^1 \Big| \frac{\partial^{k+1}\gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{k+1}} \Big| y^k dy \Big).$$
(69)

The following two lemmas are similar to those due to Verbitsky as presented in Sect. 2.6 [MS].

Lemma 14. Let $\gamma \in W_{1,loc}^{[l]}$, $y \in (0,1]$. Then

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \le cy^{\{l\}-m-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-n/p} \|D_{p,l}\gamma; \mathcal{B}_r(x)\|_{L_p}.$$

Proof. We introduce the notation

$$K = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-n/p} \| D_{p,l}\gamma; \mathcal{B}_r(x) \|_{L_p}.$$
 (70)

Let $r \in (0, 1]$. By Lemma 12

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}} \right|^p y^{p-1-p\{l\}} dy \, dt \le c K^p r^{n-mp}. \tag{71}$$

Applying the mean value theorem for harmonic functions we find for $\frac{r}{2} < y < \frac{2r}{3}$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \le c \ r^{-n-1} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \int_{r/4}^r \left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(t,\eta)}{\partial \eta^{[l]+1}}\right| \, d\eta dt.$$

By Hölder's inequality the right-hand side is dominated by

$$c r^{\{l\}-1-n/p} \Big(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} \int_{r/4}^{r} \Big| \frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(t,\eta)}{\partial \eta^{[l]+1}} \Big|^{p} \eta^{p-1-p\{l\}} d\eta dt \Big)^{1/p}$$

which by (71) does not exceed $cr^{\{l\}-m-1}K$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 15. Let $\gamma \in W_{1,loc}^{[l]}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there holds inequality

$$|\gamma(x)| \le c \Big(\Big(\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-n/p} \| D_{p,l}\gamma; \mathcal{B}_r(x) \|_{L_p} \Big)^{l/m} (D_{p,l}\gamma(x))^{(m-l)/m} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}} \Big).$$

Proof. We put

$$v(y) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x, y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}} \right| & \text{for } 0 < y \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } y > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any R > 0

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^{[l]+1} \gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}} \right| y^{[l]} dy = \int_0^\infty v(y) y^{[l]} dy = \int_0^R v(y) y^{[l]} dy + \int_R^\infty v(y) y^{[l]} dy.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\int_0^R v(y) y^{[l]} dy \le c \ R^l \Big(\int_0^R (v(y))^p y^{p-p\{l\}-1} dy \Big)^{1/p}.$$

By Lemma 14,

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{[l]+1}\gamma(x,y)}{\partial y^{[l]+1}}\right| \le cKy^{\{l\}-m-1},$$

where K is defined by (70). Hence

$$\int_0^\infty v(y)y^{[l]}dy \le c \Big(R^l \Big(\int_0^\infty (v(y))^p y^{p-p\{l\}-1} dy \Big)^{1/p} + R^{l-m} K \Big).$$

Putting here

$$R = K^{1/m} \left(\int_0^\infty v(y)^p y^{p-p\{l\}-1} dy \right)^{-1/pm},$$

we arrive at

$$\int_0^\infty v(y) y^{[l]} dy \le c K^{l/m} \Big(\int_0^\infty v(y)^p y^{p-p\{l\}-1} dy \Big)^{(m-l)/pm} dy = 0$$

Combining this with (69) for k = [l] we arrive at

$$|\gamma(x)| \le \left(K^{l/m} \left(\int_0^\infty v(y)^p y^{p-p\{l\}-1} dy \right)^{(m-l)/pm} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\text{unif}}} \right)$$

Reference to Lemma 12 completes the proof.

Now, we are in a position to prove the principle result of this section. Lemma 16. Let $0 < l < m, p \in (1, \infty)$. Then

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)} \le c \Big(\sup_{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \le 1} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_p}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{1,\operatorname{unif}}} \Big).$$
(72)

.

Proof. By (20) with $\varphi = |\gamma_{\rho}|^{\frac{1}{m-l}}$, $\lambda = m - l$, $\mu = m - \varepsilon$, where ε is a positive number less than l such that both $l - \varepsilon$ and $m - \varepsilon$ are nonintegers, we find

$$\sup_{e} \frac{\int_{e} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{p}(x) dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l}(e)} \le c \sup_{e} \left(\frac{\int_{e} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{\frac{m-\varepsilon}{m-l}p}(x) dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-\varepsilon}(e)} \right)^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}}$$
(73)

Owing to Lemma 15 with l replaced by $l - \varepsilon$ and m replaced by $m - \varepsilon$

$$\int_{e} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon)p}{m-l}} dx \leq c \Big(\Big(\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} \Big)^{\frac{(l-\varepsilon)p}{m-l}} \times \int_{e} |D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}|^{p} dx + \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{1},\mathrm{unif}}^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon)p}{m-l}} \mathrm{mes}_{n} e \Big).$$

Hence

.

$$\left(\frac{\int_{e} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{\frac{(m-\varepsilon)p}{m-l}}(x)dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-\varepsilon}(e)}\right)^{\frac{m-l}{(m-\varepsilon)p}} \leq c \left\{ \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}\right)^{\frac{l-\varepsilon}{m-\varepsilon}} \times \left(\sup_{e} \frac{\|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-\varepsilon}(e)]^{1/p}}\right)^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}} + \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}} \right\}.$$
(74)

By Corollary 2

$$\begin{split} \sup_{e} \frac{\|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho};e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-\varepsilon}(e)]^{1/p}} &\leq c \,\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-\varepsilon} \to W_{p}^{l-\varepsilon})} \\ &= c \,\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m-\varepsilon} \to B_{p}^{l-\varepsilon})} \leq c \,\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the left-hand side of (74) has the majorant

$$c\Big(\Big(\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n,r\in(0,1)}r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}}\|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho};\mathcal{B}_r(x)\|_{L_p}\Big)^{\frac{l-\varepsilon}{m-\varepsilon}}\|\gamma_{\rho}\|^{\frac{m-l}{m-\varepsilon}}_{M(B_p^m\to B_p^l)}+\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}}\Big)$$

which together with (73) implies the inequality

$$\sup_{e} \left(\frac{\int_{e} |\gamma_{\rho}|^{p}(x) dx}{\operatorname{cap}_{p,m-l}(e)} \right)^{1/p} \leq c(\delta) \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} + \delta \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})} + c \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{1,\mathrm{unif}}},$$
(75)

where δ is an arbitrary positive number.

Next we show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}$$

$$\leq c(\sigma) \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\frac{n}{p}} \|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} + \sigma \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}$$
(76)

where σ is an arbitrary positive number. We note that by (1) $D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}$ can be replaced by $C_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}$. Let ω denote a positive number to be chosen later. Further, let k = l - 1 and $\lambda = 1$ for integer l and k = [l] and $\lambda = \{l\}$ for noninteger l. We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} dy \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y+2h) - 2\nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y+h) + \nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y)|^p}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh$$

$$\leq (\omega r)^{p\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} dy \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2h) - 2\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h) + \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p\lambda}} dh$$
$$\leq (\omega r)^{p\varepsilon} \|C_{p,l} \gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}^{p}.$$
(77)

Besides,

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y+2h) - 2\nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y+h) + \nabla_k \gamma_\rho(y)|^p}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh$$

$$\leq c \Big(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2h)|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h)|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh + (\omega r)^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} \|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}^{p} \Big).$$

$$(78)$$

Further, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2h)|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x+2h)} |\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(z)|^{p} dz$$

$$\leq c \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-pm+p\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{p(m-\lambda)-n} \|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}^{p}.$$

By (12)-(14) the last supremum is dominated by

$$c \left\| \nabla_k \gamma_\rho \right\|_{M(W_p^{m-\lambda} \to L_p)}^p$$

which by Corollary 3 does not exceed $c \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}^p$. Clearly, the second term in the right in the right-hand side of (78) is estimated in the same way. Similarly, the third term does not exceed

$$c \ \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-pm+p\varepsilon} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)}^p.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r}(x)} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\omega r}} \frac{|\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+2h) - 2\nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y+h) + \nabla_{k} \gamma_{\rho}(y)|^{p}}{|h|^{n+p(\lambda-\varepsilon)}} dh$$
$$\leq c \, \omega^{p(\varepsilon-\lambda)} r^{n-pm+p\varepsilon} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}^{p}.$$
(79)

From (77) and (79) we obtain

$$r^{m-\varepsilon-n/p} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \big(\omega^{\varepsilon} r^{m-n/p} \|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}} + \omega^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}\big).$$

Setting $\sigma = c\omega^{\varepsilon - \lambda}$ we arrive at (76). By (12)–(14) and (76),

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, r \in (0,1)} r^{m-\varepsilon-\frac{n}{p}} \|D_{p,l-\varepsilon}\gamma_{\rho}; \mathcal{B}_{r}(x)\|_{L_{p}}$$
$$\leq c(\sigma) \sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \sigma \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m} \to B_{p}^{l})}$$

which together with (75) and Lemma 11 results at

$$\|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})} \leq c \Big(\sup_{e} \frac{\|C_{p,l}\gamma_{\rho}; e\|_{L_{p}}}{[\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e)]^{1/p}} + \|\gamma_{\rho}\|_{L_{1,\operatorname{unif}}} \Big).$$
(80)

Estimating the right-hand side of (80) by Lemma 2 and using the equivalence (see, Proposition 2.1. 5 [MS])

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e) \sim \sum_{j \ge 1} \operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e \cap \mathcal{B}^{(j)}),$$

where $\{\mathcal{B}^{(j)}\}_{j\geq 0}$ is a covering of \mathbb{R}^n by balls of diameter one with multiplicity depending only on n, we complete the proof.

6 The case mp > n

For mp > n Theorem 1 admits a simpler formulation.

Corollary 6. Let 0 < l < m, mp > n, and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then

$$\|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})} \sim \sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^{n}} \left(\|C_{p,l}\gamma;\mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\|_{L_{p}} + \|\gamma;\mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\|_{L_{p}} \right).$$
(81)

For m = l the second term on the right should be replaced by $\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}$. **Proof.** The lower estimate of $\|\gamma\|_{M(B_n^m \to B_n^l)}$ follows from the relation

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,m}(e) \sim 1 \tag{82}$$

valid for mp > n and e with diam $(e) \le 1$, combined with Corollary 4. The upper estimate results from

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\|_{M(B_{p}^{m}\to B_{p}^{l})} &\leq \|\gamma\|_{MB_{p}^{l}} \leq c \left(\sup_{e, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leq 1} \|C_{p,l}\gamma; e\|_{L_{p}} + \|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}\right) \\ &\leq c \sup_{x \in \mathbf{B}^{n}} \left(\|C_{p,l}\gamma; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\| + \|\gamma; \mathcal{B}_{1}(x)\|_{L_{p}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 1. One can easily verify that the right-hand side in (81) is equivalent to the norm of γ in $B_{p,\text{unif}}^l$. Hence $M(B_p^m \to B_p^l)$ is isomorphic to $B_{p,\text{unif}}^l$ for $0 < l < m, mp > n, p \in (1, \infty)$.

7 The space $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$

Let W_p^m denote the usual Sobolev space with $p \in (1, \infty)$ and integer m, and let W_p^{-k} stand for the dual space $(W_{p'}^k)^*$, p+p'=pp'. In [MS], the following sufficient condition for inclusion into the distribution space $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ can be found. We supply it with the proof for completeness and reader's convenience.

Theorem 2. (see Sect. 1.5 [MS]) (i) Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $m \leq k$. If

$$\gamma = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} D^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} \tag{83}$$

with

$$\gamma_{\alpha} \in M(W_{p'}^k \to W_{p'}^{k-m}) \cap M(W_p^m \to L_p), \tag{84}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} then \ \gamma \in M(W_p^m \rightarrow W_p^{-k}). \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ Let \ p \in (1,\infty), \ m \geq k. \ If \end{array}$

$$\gamma = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} D^{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}$$

with

$$\gamma_{\alpha} \in M(W_p^m \to W_p^{m-k}) \cap M(W_{p'}^k \to L_{p'})$$

then $\gamma \in M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k}).$

Proof. It suffices to prove only (i) since (ii) follows from (i) by duality. Let $u \in W_p^m$, $m \le k$. Since

$$uD^{\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha} = \sum_{\lambda \leq \alpha} c_{\lambda\alpha}D^{\lambda}(\gamma_{\alpha}D^{\alpha-\lambda}u), \quad c_{\lambda\alpha} = \text{const},$$

we have

$$\|\gamma u\|_{W_{p}^{-k}} \leq c \sum_{|\lambda| \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|\gamma_{\alpha} D^{\alpha - \lambda} u\|_{W_{p}^{|\lambda| - k}}$$
$$\leq c \sum_{|\lambda| \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-k+|\lambda|} \to W_{p}^{|\lambda| - k})} \|u\|_{W_{p}^{m+|\alpha|+k}}.$$
(85)

Applying the interpolation inequality

$$\|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-k+|\lambda|} \to W_{p}^{|\lambda|-k})} \leq c \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-k} \to W_{p}^{-k})}^{(k-|\lambda|)/k} \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m} \to L_{p})}^{|\lambda|/k}$$

which follows from the interpolation property of Sobolev spaces (see [Tr], Sect. 2.4) we obtain from from (85)

$$\|\gamma u\|_{W_p^{-k}} \le c \left(\|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_p^{m-k} \to W_p^{-k})} + \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_p^m \to L_p)} \right) \|u\|_{W_p^m}$$

It remains to note that

$$\|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p}^{m-k} \to W_{p}^{-k})} = \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{M(W_{p'}^{k} \to W_{p'}^{k-m})}.$$

The following assertion shows that this theorem provides a complete characterisation of $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ which holds under some conditions involving k, m, p, and n.

Corollary 7. Let k and m be positive integers and let either $k \ge m > 0$ and k > n/p' or $m \ge k > 0$ and m > n/p. Then $\gamma \in M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ if and only if

$$\gamma \in W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k} \cap W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}.$$
(86)

In particular, if $\max\{k,m\}>n/2$ then $M(W_2^m\to W_2^{-k})$ is isomorphic to $W_2^{-\min\{m,k\}}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k \ge m > 0$, k > n/p', because the case $m \ge k > 0$, m > n/p results by duality.

Necessity. It follows from the inclusion $\gamma \in M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ that $\gamma \in W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k}$. Since $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ is isomorphic to $M(W_{p'}^k \to W_{p'}^{-m})$, we have $\gamma \in W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}$ as well.

Sufficiency. It is standard and easily proved (compare with Sect. 1.1.14 [M]) that $\gamma \in W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k} \cap W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}$ if and only if (83) holds with $\gamma_{\alpha} \in L_{p,\text{unif}} \cap W_{p',\text{unif}}^{k-m}$. Since $M(W_{p'}^k \to W_{p'}^{k-m})$ is isomorphic to $W_{p',\text{unif}}^{k-m}$ for p'k > n, it follows that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M(W_{p'}^k \to W_{p'}^{k-m})$.

It remains to show that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M(W_p^m \to L_p)$. We choose q and r to satisfy

$$1/q > \max\{0, 1/p - m/n\} > -\varepsilon + 1/q,$$

$$1/r > \max\{0, 1/p' - (k-m)/n\} > -\varepsilon + 1/r$$

with a sufficiently small ε . Since 1/p > 1 - k/n, we have 1/p > 1/q + 1/r. By Hölder's inequality

$$\|\gamma_{\alpha}u\|_{L_{p,\mathrm{unif}}} \le c \, \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{L_{r,\mathrm{unif}}} \|u\|_{L_{q,\mathrm{unif}}}$$

and by Sobolev's imbedding theorem

$$\|\gamma_{\alpha}u\|_{L_{p,\mathrm{unif}}} \leq c \|\gamma_{\alpha}\|_{W^{k-m}_{p',\mathrm{unif}}} \|u\|_{W^{m}_{p,\mathrm{unif}}}.$$

This means that $\gamma_{\alpha} \in M(W_p^m \to L_p)$. The proof is completed by reference to assertion (i) of Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Note that by Sobolev's imbedding theorems $W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m} \subset W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k}$, $k \ge m$, if and only if either $n \le (k-m)p$ or

$$n > (k-m)p, \quad \frac{k-m}{n} \ge \frac{2-p}{p}.$$

Under these conditions, $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ is isomorphic to $W_{p',\text{unif}}^{-m}$ if kp' > n. Analogously, if $m \ge k$, mp > n and either $n \le (m-k)p'$ or

$$n > (m-k)p', \quad \frac{m-k}{n} \ge \frac{p-2}{p},$$

then $M(W_p^m \to W_p^{-k})$ is isomorphic to $W_{p,\text{unif}}^{-k}$.

We finish by stating a direct but important application of Corollary 8 to the theory of differential operators.

Corollary 9. Let k and m be integers and let $\mathcal{L}_{m+k}(D)$ denote a differential operator of order m + k with constant coefficients. If either $k \ge m$ and kp' > n, or $m \ge k > 0$ and mp > n then the operator

$$W_n^m \ni u \to \mathcal{L}(D)u + \gamma(x)u \in W_n^{-k}$$

is continuous if and only if

$$\gamma \in W^{-k}_{p,\mathrm{unif}} \cap W^{-m}_{p',\mathrm{unif}}$$

References

- [AH] Adams, D. Hedberg, L.I. Function Spaces and Potential Theory, Springer, 1996.
- [KeS] Kerman, R., Saywer, E.T. The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 36 (1986), 207-228.
- [KS] Koch, H., Sickel, W., Pointwise multipliers of Besov spaces of smoothness zero and spaces of continuous functions, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 18 (2002), 587-626.
- [M] Maz'ya, V., Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [MS] Maz'ya, V., Shaposhnikova, T., Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions, Pitman, 1985.
- [MV1] Maz'ya, V., Verbitsky, I., Capacitary inequalities for fractional integrals, with applications to partial differential equations and Sobolev multipliers, Ark. Mat. 33:1 (1995), 81-115.
- [MV2] Maz'ya, V., Verbitsky, I., The Schrödinger operator on the energy space: boundedness and compactness criteria, Acta Mathematica, 188 (2002), 263-302.

- [MV3] Maz'ya, V., Verbitsky, I., The form boundedness criterion for the relativistic Schrödinger operator, to appear.
- [MV4] Maz'ya, V., Verbitsky, I., Boundedness and compactness criteria for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, In: Function Spaces, Interpolation Theory and Related Topics. Proc. Jaak Peetre Conf., Lund, Sweden, August 17-22, 2000. Eds. M.Cwikel, A.Kufner, G.Sparr. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2002, 369-382.
- [SS] Sickel, W., Smirnow, I. Localization properties of Besov spaces and its associated multiplier spaces, Jenaer Schriften Math/Inf 21/99, Jena, 1999.
- [St] Stein, E.M., Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [Tr] Triebel, H., Interpolation Theory. Function Spaces. Differential Operators, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wiss., Berlin, 1978.