## Sharp Pointwise Interpolation Inequalities for Derivatives*

## V. G. Maz'ya and T. O. Shaposhnikova

Received July 5, 2001
Abstract. We prove new pointwise inequalities involving the gradient of a function $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the modulus of continuity $\omega$ of the gradient $\nabla u$, and a certain maximal function $\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u$ and show that these inequalities are sharp. A simple particular case corresponding to $n=1$ and $\omega(r)=r$ is the Landau type inequality

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{8}{3} \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

where the constant $8 / 3$ is best possible and

$$
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{2 r}\left|\int_{x-r}^{x+r} \operatorname{sign}(y-x) u(y) d y\right|
$$

## 1. Introduction

Background. The idea of obtaining information about intermediate derivatives by using properties of a higher derivative and the function itself goes back to Hadamard [5], Kneser [10], and Hardy and Littlewood [6]. It was developed in various directions by Kolmogorov [11], SzőkefalviNagy [22], Gagliardo [4], Nirenberg [20], et al. In the simplest form, this idea is expressed by the Landau inequality [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2\|u\|_{L_{\infty}}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line. Under the additional assumption that $u \geqslant 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$, one can readily verify the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 u(x)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has proved to be useful in various topics of the theory of differential and pseudodifferential operators $[8,9,13,14,21]$. Some versions and extensions of (2) were treated in [15].

Clearly, (2) fails for some smooth function $u$. However, one can ask whether it is possible to replace the $L_{\infty}$-norms in (1) by the values at $x$ of certain operators acting on $u$. There are different ways to give an affirmative answer to this question. In particular, in [16] we arrived at the pointwise inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{\alpha+1} \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(y)-u^{\prime}(x)\right|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\mathscr{M}^{\diamond}$ is the maximal operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{2 r}\left|\int_{x-r}^{x+r} \operatorname{sign}(y-x) u(y) d y\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant in this inequality is best possible. For $\alpha=1$, this implies the sharp estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{8}{3} \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Diverse pointwise interpolation inequalities for derivatives of integer or fractional order were obtained in $[1,7,15-19]$ without best constants. We note that the operator

$$
\left(T_{\alpha} u\right)(x)=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|u(y)-u(x)|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}},
$$

[^0]which occurs in (3), has been extensively studied (e.g., see [2]). In the above-mentioned articles, some applications of these inequalities were pointed out (e.g., the Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimates, the composition operator in fractional Sobolev spaces, pointwise multipliers, etc.).

Notation. Let $\mathscr{M}^{\diamond}$ be the multi-dimensional generalization of (4) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)=\sup _{r>0}\left|f_{B_{r}(x)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} u(y) d y\right|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 1, B_{r}(x)$ is the ball $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x-y|<r\right\}$, and the bar stands for the mean value of the integral. Clearly, $\mathscr{M}^{\triangleright} u(x)$ does not exceed the FeffermanStein sharp maximal function [3]

$$
\mathscr{M}^{\sharp} u(x)=\sup _{r>0} f_{B_{r}(x)}\left|u(y)-f_{B_{r}(x)} u(z) d z\right| d y .
$$

We write $B_{r}$ instead of $B_{r}(0)$ and use the notation $\left|B_{1}\right|=\operatorname{mes}_{n} B_{1}$ and $\left|S^{n-1}\right|=\operatorname{mes}_{n-1} \partial B_{1}$. We introduce the mean values of a vector function $\mathbf{v}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ over the sphere $\partial B_{r}(x)$ and the ball $B_{r}(x)$ as follows:

$$
A^{(1)} \mathbf{v}(x ; r)=f_{\partial B_{r}(x)} \mathbf{v}(y) d s_{y}, \quad A^{(2)} \mathbf{v}(x ; r)=f_{B_{r}(x)} \mathbf{v}(y) d y .
$$

We also set

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\mathbf{v} ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\mathbf{v}(x)-A^{(1)} \mathbf{v}(x ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)},  \tag{7}\\
& D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\mathbf{v} ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\mathbf{v}(x)-A^{(2)} \mathbf{v}(x ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for a function $v$ of one variable we have

$$
D_{\omega}^{(1)}(v ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{|2 v(x)-v(x+r)-v(x-r)|}{2 \omega(r)}, \quad D_{\omega}^{(2)}(v ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|v(x)-\frac{1}{2 r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} v(y) d y\right|}{\omega(r)}
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that $\omega$ is a continuous nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\omega(\infty)=\infty$.

Description of results. In this paper, we obtain new pointwise inequalities involving the gradient $\nabla u(x)$, the maximal function $\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)$, and one of the functions $D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)$ and $D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)$. For example, in Theorem 1 we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x) \Phi\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\triangleright} u(x)}{n D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a certain strictly increasing function generated by the modulus of continuity $\omega$. We also show that inequality (9) and the similar inequality for $D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)$ in Theorem 2 are sharp. Namely, we present functions for which these inequalities become equalities. These extremal functions, whose form is rather complicated, were found by guess. There is no standard approach to such a construction for the time being.

In particular, if $\omega(r)=r^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$, then inequality (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant C_{1}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left(\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\nabla u(x)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(x ; r)\right|}{r^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the best constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=(n+1) \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha n}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $n=1$ the last estimate acquires the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{1}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left(\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|2 u^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime}(x+r)-u^{\prime}(x-r)\right|}{r^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the best value of $C_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\left(\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha(\alpha+2)^{1 / \alpha}}\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar corollary of Theorem 2 concerning $D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)$ is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{2}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left(\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(x)-\frac{u(x+r)+u(x-r)}{2 r}\right|}{r^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the best constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

An interesting particular case of (12), corresponding to $\alpha=1$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a purely pointwise improvement of (5). The constant $(8 / 3)^{1 / 2}$ in (16) is sharp, since, as was mentioned above, it is sharp in the rougher inequality (5).

## 2. Pointwise Inequality in Terms of $D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)$

The objective of this section is to obtain the following result.
Theorem 1. (i) Let the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}(t):=\int_{0}^{1}(1-n+n \sigma) \sigma^{n-1} \omega(\sigma t) d \sigma \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

be strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, and let $\Omega_{1}^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $\Omega_{1}$. Further, let

$$
\Psi_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{1}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x) \Psi_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)}{n D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\Psi_{1}^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $\Psi_{1}$.
(ii) Let $\omega \in C^{1}(0, \infty)$. Suppose that the function $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and, for $n>1$, the function $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Let $R$ be the unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(n+1) \Omega_{1}(t)=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (18) becomes an equality for the function

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x_{n}\left(1-n \int_{0}^{1} \sigma^{n-1} \omega(\sigma|x|) d \sigma\right) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant R,  \tag{20}\\ \frac{n x_{n}}{|x|}((n+1) R-|x|) & \\ \times \int_{0}^{1}((n+1) \sigma-n) \sigma^{n-1} \omega\left(\sigma \frac{(n+1) R-|x|}{n}\right) d \sigma & \text { for } R<|x|<(n+1) R, \\ 0 & \text { for }|x| \geqslant(n+1) R .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove (18) for $x=0$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}}(\nabla u(0)-\nabla u(y))(1-|y|) d y=\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \nabla u(0)\left|S^{n-1}\right|-\int_{B_{1}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} d y \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{n+1} \nabla u(0)=\int_{B_{1}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} d y+\left|S^{n-1}\right| \int_{0}^{1} r^{n-1}(1-r)\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(0 ; r)\right) d r . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the scaling $y \rightarrow y / t, r \rightarrow r / t$, Eq. (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|B_{1}\right|}{n+1} t \nabla u(0)=\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{B_{t}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} d y+\left|S^{n-1}\right| \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} r^{n-1}(t-r)\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} u(0 ; r)\right) d r . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant \frac{n+1}{t} \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)+\frac{n(n+1)}{t^{n+1}} D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{t} r^{n-1}(t-r) \omega(r) d r,
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant-t|\nabla u(0)|+(n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)+n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{1}(\tau) d \tau . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega_{1}$ is strictly increasing, it follows that the right-hand side of (24) attains its minimum value at

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{*}=\Omega_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (17) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leqslant & (n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)-|\nabla u(0)| \Omega_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right) \\
& +n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\Omega_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right)} \Omega_{1}(\tau) d \tau \\
= & (n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)-n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\Omega_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right)} x d \Omega_{1}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0) \geqslant n D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{n(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}} \Omega_{1}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

which is equivalent to (18).
(ii) First, let us prove that Eq. (19) has a unique root. Note that $\Omega_{1}(0)=0$ by (17). Since $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing, one has $\Omega_{1}(\infty)=\infty$. It remains to show that $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$. To this end, we only need to check that $\left.t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{t=0}=0$. Since the function (17) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}(t)=\frac{n}{t^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{n-1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{1}(\tau) d \tau-\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau=\frac{n}{t^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{n+1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau-(n-1) \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{1}(\tau) d \tau=t \Omega_{1}(t) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\omega(t)-\frac{n}{t^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau-n \Omega_{1}(t) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last relation, combined with $\omega(0)=\Omega_{1}(0)=0$, shows that $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ vanishes at $t=0$.
Now let us prove that $u$ defined by (20) is in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We claim that $u$ is continuous on the sphere $|x|=R$ together with its first partial derivatives. We use spherical coordinates to write $x_{n}=r \cos \theta$. Denote the function $u(x) / \cos \theta$ by $u_{1}(r)$ for $0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant R$ and by $u_{2}(r)$ for $R<|x|<(n+1) R$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(r)=r-\frac{n}{r^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t,  \tag{30}\\
& u_{2}(r)=n^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{r}=n^{-1}((n+1) R-r) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(R)=n^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n}{R^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (26), we can write

$$
u_{2}(R)=n\left((n+1) R \Omega_{1}(R)-\frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right) .
$$

It follows from (19) and (30) that the right-hand side is equal to $u_{1}(R)$. Let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime}(R)=u_{1}^{\prime}(R) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime}(r)=1+\frac{n(n-1)}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t-n \omega(r) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (31),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime}(r)=n^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n-1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t-\frac{\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)}{n}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
u_{2}^{\prime}(R)=n^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{R^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n-1}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t-\frac{\omega(R)}{n}\right),
$$

which by (26) can be rewritten as

$$
u_{2}^{\prime}(R)=n(n+1) \Omega_{1}(R)+\frac{n(n-1)}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t-n \omega(R) .
$$

Using (19) and (35), we arrive at (34). It remains to note that

$$
u_{2}((n+1) R)=0, \quad u_{2}^{\prime}((n+1) R)=0
$$

by (31) and (36). Hence, $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Our next goal is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)=\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find the maxima of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \rightarrow M_{r} u:=\frac{1}{\left|B_{r}\right|}\left|\int_{B_{r}} \frac{y}{|y|} u(y) d y\right| \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0, R]$ and $[R,(n+1) R]$ separately. Recall that for $0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant R$ the function $u$ can be represented as $\cos \theta u_{1}(r)$, where $u_{1}$ is defined by (30). It is clear that the function (38) is equal to

$$
\frac{2\left|S^{n-2}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right| r^{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{r} \rho^{n} d \rho \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta-n \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{\rho} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t d \rho \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|S^{n-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta=n^{-1}\left|S^{n-1}\right| \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
M_{r} u=\frac{r}{n+1}-\frac{n}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{\rho} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t d \rho=\frac{r}{n+1}-n\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{r} \tau^{n-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

and by (28) we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r} u=\frac{r}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{r} \Omega_{1}(t) d t \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

As was proved above, $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leqslant r \leqslant R} M_{r} u=M_{R} u \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{R \leqslant r \leqslant(n+1) R} M_{r} u=M_{R} u . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (38), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{r} u=\frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right| r^{n}} & \left(\left|B_{1}\right| R^{n}\left(\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2\left|S^{n-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta \int_{R}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (39), we obtain

$$
M_{r} u=r^{-n}\left(R^{n}\left(\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right)+\int_{R}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right) .
$$

Combining this with (40), we see that to prove (42) we need to show that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r):=\left(r^{n}-R^{n}\right)\left(\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right)-\int_{R}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonnegative on the interval $[R,(n+1) R]$. Clearly,

$$
A^{\prime}(r)=n r^{n-1}\left(\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t-\frac{1}{n} u_{2}(r)\right) .
$$

Note that by (31) and (26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{n} u_{2}(r)=n\left(\int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} \Omega_{1}(t) d t-\kappa_{r} \Omega_{1}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)\right)=-n \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts and using (19), we find that

$$
A^{\prime}(r)=n r^{n-1}\left(n \int_{0}^{R} t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t-n \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right) \geqslant 0 .
$$

Since $A(R)=0$, it follows from the last inequality that $A(r) \geqslant 0$ for $R \leqslant r \leqslant(n+1) R$. Thus, (37) holds.

Let us now justify the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(0 ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)}=1 . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant R$. It follows from (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}=1-\frac{n}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t-(\cos \theta)^{2}\left(n \omega(r)-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with (39), implies that

$$
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=1-\omega(r)
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)-A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\omega(r)
$$

Combining this fact with the formulas

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}(0)=0, \quad A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}(0 ; r)=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(0 ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)}=1 \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant R \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right) \leqslant \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)-A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant \omega(r) \quad \text { for } R \leqslant r \leqslant(n+1) R \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}=\frac{1}{r} u_{2}(r)-(\cos \theta)^{2}\left(\frac{u_{2}(r)}{r}-u_{2}^{\prime}(r)\right)
$$

where $u_{2}$ is given by $(31)$. In view of (39), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{u_{2}(r)}{r}+\frac{1}{n} u_{2}^{\prime}(r) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (31) and (36),

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)= & \frac{n(n-1)}{r}\left(\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right) \\
& +n\left(\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n-1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, observe that

$$
\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t=\int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}}\left(1-\frac{t}{\kappa_{r}}\right)\left(\frac{t}{\kappa_{r}}\right)^{n-1} t \omega^{\prime}(t) d t \geqslant 0
$$

since $\omega$ is nondecreasing. This, together with (50) and the inequality $r \geqslant \kappa_{r}$, yields

$$
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant n(n+1)\left(\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{n-1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t\right)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)
$$

By (26), this inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant n(n+1) \Omega_{1}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega_{1}$ is strictly increasing and $\kappa_{r}<R$, it follows that

$$
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant n(n+1) \Omega_{1}(R)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)
$$

We now use the identity

$$
n(n+1) \Omega_{1}(R)=1=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)
$$

to obtain

$$
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)
$$

which implies the left inequality in (48).

To prove the right inequality in (48), we note that relation (50) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)= & \frac{n(n-1)}{r}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-n \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right) \\
& +\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t+n \Omega_{1}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

in view of (27).
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(r):=r \omega(r)-r+r A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right inequality in (48) is equivalent to the inequality $B(r) \geqslant 0, r \in[R,(n+1) R]$. By (52), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B(r):= & r \omega(r)-r+n(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-n \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right) \\
& +r\left(\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t+n \Omega_{1}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)\right) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relations $\kappa_{R}=R$ and $n(n+1) \Omega_{1}(R)=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(R)=\frac{n^{2}}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-n^{2}(n-1) \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t-\frac{n}{n+1} R . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that relation (55), together with (28) for $t=R$, gives $B(R)=0$.
The next step is to show that $B^{\prime}(r) \geqslant 0$ for $r \in[R,(n+1) R]$. Combining (49) with (44), we see that

$$
A^{(1)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{n(n-1)}{r} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t-\kappa_{r} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)
$$

which, together with (53), gives

$$
B(r)=r \omega(r)-r+n(n-1) \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t-r \kappa_{r} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right) .
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(r)=(r \omega(r))^{\prime}-1-n \kappa_{r} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)+\left.\frac{r}{n}\left(t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right|_{t=\kappa_{r}} . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=1$, by (29) and (26), one has

$$
t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\omega(t)-\frac{2}{t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau \omega(\tau) d \tau=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{2} \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Since $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing, it follows that

$$
\left(t^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{2} \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{\prime} \geqslant 0
$$

Thus, $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is also nondecreasing for $n=1$. Hence, it follows from the assumption of the theorem that both functions $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ and $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ are nondecreasing for $n \geqslant 1$. Therefore, the last term on the right-hand side in (56) is nonnegative, and $\kappa_{r} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right) \leqslant R \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(R)$ for $r \geqslant R$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(r) \geqslant \omega(R)+R \omega^{\prime}(R)-1-n R \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(R) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in[R,(n+1) R]$. Owing to relation (29) for $t=R$, the last inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(r) \geqslant R \omega^{\prime}(R)-(n-1) \omega(R)+\frac{n^{2}}{R^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-\frac{1}{n+1} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (26) for $t=R$, relation (58) gives

$$
B^{\prime}(r) \geqslant R \omega^{\prime}(R)-(n-1) \omega(R)+\frac{n(n-1)}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n-1} \omega(t) d t
$$

Integrating by parts on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$
B^{\prime}(r) \geqslant R \omega^{\prime}(R)-\frac{n-1}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n} \omega^{\prime}(t) d t \geqslant \frac{1}{R^{n}} \int_{0}^{R} t^{n}\left(t \omega^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} d t
$$

Since the function $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing, it follows that the right-hand side is nonnegative. This implies the right inequality in (48) and, together with (47), leads to (45).

Finally, we must show that inequality (18) becomes an equality for $u$ given by (24). It follows from (19) and (37) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \int_{0}^{1 /(n(n+1))} \Omega_{1}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau & =n \int_{0}^{R} t d \Omega_{1}(t)=n\left(R \Omega_{1}(R)-\int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t\right) \\
& =\frac{R}{n+1}-n \int_{0}^{R} \Omega_{1}(t) d t=\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (45), the right-hand side of (18) is equal to $n(n+1) \Psi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Psi_{1}(1 /(n(n+1)))\right)=1$. The proof is complete.

Remark 1. In general, for $n>1$ the assumption that $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing does not imply that $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing. Let us prove this. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} & =\omega^{\prime}(t)+\frac{n(n+1)}{t^{n+2}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{n}{t} \omega(t)-n \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =\omega^{\prime}(t)+\frac{n(n+1)^{2}}{t^{n+2}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{n^{2}(n-1)}{t^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\frac{2 n}{t} \omega(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (29) and (26). Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\left(t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime}(t)-\frac{n}{t}\left(\frac{n+1}{t^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n+1} \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-\frac{n-1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{n}{t}\left(\frac{n-1}{n t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n}\left(\tau \omega^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{\prime} d \tau-\frac{1}{t^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n+1}\left(\tau \omega^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{\prime} d \tau\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\omega(t)= \begin{cases}t / \varepsilon & \text { for } 0<t<\varepsilon \\ \log (t e / \varepsilon) & \text { for } t \geqslant \varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
t \omega^{\prime}(t)= \begin{cases}t / \varepsilon & \text { for } 0<t<\varepsilon \\ 1 & \text { for } t \geqslant \varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

By (59), one has

$$
\left.\left(t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right|_{t=1}=-n\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\tau^{n}}{\varepsilon} d \tau-\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\tau^{n+1}}{\varepsilon} d \tau\right)=\varepsilon^{n}\left(\frac{n}{n+2} \varepsilon-\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)
$$

which is negative if $\varepsilon<(n-1)(n+2) /(n(n+1))$ and $n>1$. Hence, $t \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is not nondecreasing, while $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is.

## 3. Pointwise Inequality in Terms of $D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)$

In this section, we prove the following analog of Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. (i) Let the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}(t):=\omega(t)-n \int_{0}^{1} \sigma^{n} \omega(\sigma t) d \sigma \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

be strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, and let $\Omega_{2}^{-1}$ be the inverse function of $\Omega_{2}$. Further, let

$$
\Psi_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{2}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x) \Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)}{D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)}\right), \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\Psi_{2}^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $\Psi_{2}$ and $D_{\omega}^{(2)}$ is defined by (8).
(ii) Let $\omega \in C^{1}(0, \infty)$. Suppose that the function $x \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be the unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) \Omega_{2}(x)=1 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (61) becomes an equality for the function

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x_{n}(1-\omega(|x|)) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant \mathscr{R},  \tag{63}\\ \frac{x_{n}}{|x|}\left(((n+1) \mathscr{R}-|x|) \omega\left(n^{-1}((n+1) \mathscr{R}-|x|)\right)\right. & \\ \left.-\frac{(n+1) n^{n+1}}{((n+1) \mathscr{R}-|x|)^{n}} \int_{0}^{\frac{(n+1) \mathscr{R}-|x|}{n}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t\right) & \text { for } \mathscr{R}<|x|<(n+1) \mathscr{R}, \\ 0 & \text { for }|x| \geqslant(n+1) \mathscr{R} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove inequality (61) for $x=0$. One clearly has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1}}\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(0 ;|y|)\right)|y| d y & =\frac{\left|S^{n-1}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right|} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{n-1}}(\nabla u(0)-\nabla u(\theta \rho)) d \theta \rho^{n-1} d \rho d t \\
& =n\left|S^{n-1}\right| \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(0 ; \rho)\right) \rho^{n-1} d \rho d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing the order of integration in the last two integrals and using (22), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1}}\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(0 ;|y|)\right)|y| d y & =n\left|S^{n-1}\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(0 ; t)\right)(1-t) t^{n-1} d t \\
& =\frac{n\left|B_{1}\right|}{n+1} \nabla u(0)-n \int_{B_{1}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

After rescaling $y \rightarrow y / t, r \rightarrow r / t$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{B_{t}}\left(\nabla u(0)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(0 ;|y|)\right) d y=\frac{n\left|B_{1}\right|}{n+1} t \nabla u(0)-\frac{n}{t^{n}} \int_{B_{t}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} d y .
$$

This implies that

$$
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant \frac{n+1}{t} \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)+\frac{n+1}{t^{n+1}} D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{t} \rho^{n} \omega(\rho) d \rho,
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant-t|\nabla u(0)|+(n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)+(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{2}(\tau) d \tau \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega_{2}$ is strictly inreasing, it follows that the right-hand side attains its minimum value at

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{*}=\Omega_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leqslant & (n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)-|\nabla u(0)| \Omega_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right) \\
& +(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\Omega_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right)} \Omega_{2}(\tau) d \tau \\
= & (n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)-(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\Omega_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right)} x d \Omega_{2}(x) \\
= & (n+1) \mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)-(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)} \Omega_{2}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (64). Therefore,

$$
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0) \geqslant D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0) \int_{0}^{\frac{|\nabla u(0)|}{(n+1) D_{\omega}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; 0)}} \Omega_{2}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

which is equivalent to (61).
(ii) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\omega^{\prime}(t)-\frac{n}{t} \int_{0}^{1} \sigma^{n} \omega^{\prime}(\sigma t) \sigma t d \sigma \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)>\Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)>\frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{n+1} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, it follows that the function $\Omega_{2}$ is strictly increasing, and therefore Eq. (62) has a unique root.

Let us verify that the function (63) belongs to $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We denote the ratio $u(x) / \cos \theta$ by $u_{1}(r)$ for $0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant \mathscr{R}$ and by $u_{2}(r)$ for $\mathscr{R}<|x|<(n+1) \mathscr{R}$, where $u$ is defined by (63). One has

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(r)=r-r \omega(r),  \tag{68}\\
& u_{2}(r)=n\left(\kappa_{r} \omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\frac{n+1}{\kappa_{r}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t\right), \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{r}=n^{-1}((n+1) \mathscr{R}-r) . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
u_{2}(\mathscr{R})=n\left(\mathscr{R} \omega(\mathscr{R})-\frac{n+1}{\mathscr{R}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t\right),
$$

which by (60) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(\mathscr{R})=n \mathscr{R}\left(\frac{n+1}{n} \Omega_{2}(\mathscr{R})-\frac{\omega(\mathscr{R})}{n}\right) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (62), we see that $u_{2}(\mathscr{R})=u_{1}(\mathscr{R})$.
Let us now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime}(\mathscr{R})=u_{1}^{\prime}(\mathscr{R}) . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (69), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime}(r)=n \omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\kappa_{r} \omega^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\frac{n(n+1)}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (60) that

$$
u_{2}^{\prime}(\mathscr{R})=n \omega(\mathscr{R})-\mathscr{R} \omega^{\prime}(\mathscr{R})-\frac{n(n+1)}{\mathscr{R}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t=(n+1) \Omega_{2}(\mathscr{R})-\mathscr{R} \omega^{\prime}(\mathscr{R})-\omega(\mathscr{R}),
$$

which, together with (62), implies (72). It remains to note that formulas (69) and (73) and the relation $\kappa_{(n+1) \mathscr{R}}=0$ imply that

$$
u_{2}((n+1) \mathscr{R})=0, \quad u_{2}^{\prime}((n+1) \mathscr{R})=0 .
$$

Our next objective is to show that the function $u$ defined by (63) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(0)=\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant \mathscr{R}$, the function $u$ is equal to $\cos \theta u_{1}(\rho)$, where $u_{1}$ is given by (68). Clearly, $M_{r}$, which is defined by (38), can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{2 n\left|S^{n-2}\right|}{\left|S^{n-1}\right| r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{n}(1-\omega(\rho)) d \rho \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta
$$

by (39) and the definition of $\Omega_{2}$, this can be represented as

$$
\frac{r}{n+1}-\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{n} \omega(\rho) d \rho=\frac{r}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{r} \Omega_{2}(t) d t
$$

It follows from (67) that $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leqslant r \leqslant \mathscr{R}} M_{r} u=M_{\mathscr{R}} u=\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathscr{R} \leqslant r \leqslant(n+1) \mathscr{R}} M_{r} u=M_{\mathscr{R}} u . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (69),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{r} u=\frac{n}{\left|S^{n-1}\right| r^{n}} & \left(n\left|S^{n-1}\right| \mathscr{R}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 n\left|S^{n-2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta \int_{\mathscr{R}}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (39), we obtain

$$
M_{r} u=r^{-n}\left(\mathscr{R}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t\right)+\int_{\mathscr{R}}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right) .
$$

To justify (76), we must show that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}(r):=\left(r^{n}-\mathscr{R}^{n}\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t\right)-\int_{\mathscr{R}}^{r} u_{2}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d \rho \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonnegative on the interval $[\mathscr{R},(n+1) \mathscr{R}]$. Obviously, $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{R})=0$ and

$$
\mathscr{A}^{\prime}(r)=n r^{n-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t-\frac{1}{n} u_{2}(r)\right) .
$$

Observing that

$$
-\frac{1}{n} u_{2}(r)=\int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t-\kappa_{r} \Omega_{2}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)
$$

by (69) and (60) and integrating by parts, we conclude that

$$
\mathscr{A}^{\prime}(r)=n r^{n-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t) d t-\int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t) d t\right) .
$$

It follows from the inequality $t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ that $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}(r)>0$. This proves (76) and, together with (75), implies relation (74).

Let us now prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\nabla u(0)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(0 ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)}=1 \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first assume that $0 \leqslant r \leqslant \mathscr{R}$. By (68),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}=1-\omega(r)-\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{r} \omega^{\prime}(r) . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{n}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r}(1-\omega(\rho)) \rho^{n-1} d \rho-\frac{2 n\left|S^{n-2}\right|}{\left|S^{n-1}\right| r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \omega^{\prime}(\rho) \rho^{n} d \rho \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta
$$

By (39), this can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{n}{r^{n}}\left(\frac{r^{n}}{n}-\int_{0}^{r} \rho^{n-1} \omega(\rho) d \rho\right)-\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} \omega^{\prime}(\rho) \rho^{n} d \rho=1-\omega(r) . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that the function $r \omega^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing. In fact, by (60),

$$
\Omega_{2}(t)=\frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{-n} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n} \omega(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

and hence

$$
t^{n} \omega(t)=\frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \omega(t)=n \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{2}(\tau) d \tau+t \Omega_{2}(t) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies the relation

$$
t \omega^{\prime}(t)=t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{n}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \tau \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau .
$$

The derivative of the second term on the right-hand side is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{t^{2}}\left(t^{2} \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)-\int_{0}^{t} \tau \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is positive, since $t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing. Combining this with (82), we see that $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is a strictly increasing function. Since $\omega(0)=0$, it follows that $r \omega^{\prime}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. In conjunction with (79) and (80), this implies that

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)-A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\omega(r) .
$$

Combining this with the relations

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}(0)=0, \quad A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}(0 ; r)=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

we find that

$$
\frac{\left|\nabla u(0)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(0 ; r)\right|}{\omega(r)}=1 \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant \mathscr{R} .
$$

Let us now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0)-A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r) \leqslant \omega(r) \quad \text { for } \mathscr{R} \leqslant r \leqslant(n+1) \mathscr{R} \text {. } \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Green's formula, we obtain

$$
A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right| r^{n}} \int_{\partial B_{r}} u \cos \theta d s=u_{2}(r) \frac{2\left|S^{n-2}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right| r} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta .
$$

This, together with (39), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(2)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}(0 ; r)=\frac{u_{2}(r)}{r} . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\partial u / \partial x_{n}\right)(0)=1$, we see that the left inequality in (83) can be rewritten as $u_{2}(r) \leqslant r$. The last inequality is valid since

$$
u_{2}(r)=n \kappa_{r}\left(\frac{n+1}{n} \Omega_{2}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-\frac{\omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)}{n}\right) \leqslant \kappa_{r} \Omega_{2}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)(n+1) \leqslant \mathscr{R} \leqslant r .
$$

Let us prove the right inequality in (83), which is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(r)+\frac{u_{2}(r)}{r}-1 \geqslant 0, \quad r \in[\mathscr{R},(n+1) \mathscr{R}] . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(r):=r \omega(r)+u_{2}(r)-r$ vanishes for $r=\mathscr{R}$, since $u_{2}(\mathscr{R})=u_{1}(\mathscr{R})$ and (68) holds. Further, (73) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(r) & =(r \omega(r))^{\prime}-\left(\kappa_{r} \omega^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)+\frac{n(n+1)}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n} \omega(t) d t-n \omega\left(\kappa_{r}\right)\right)-1 \\
& =(r \omega(r))^{\prime}-\kappa_{r} \omega^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)+\frac{n}{\kappa_{r}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\kappa_{r}} t^{n+1} \omega^{\prime}(t) d t-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (66), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(r)=(r \omega(r))^{\prime}-\kappa_{r} \Omega_{2}^{\prime}\left(\kappa_{r}\right)-1 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(t \omega(t))^{\prime}$ is strictly increasing, Eq. (86) implies that

$$
g^{\prime}(r)>(\mathscr{R} \omega(\mathscr{R}))^{\prime}-\mathscr{R} \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(\mathscr{R})-1, \quad r \in(\mathscr{R},(n+1) \mathscr{R}] .
$$

In view of (66), the right-hand side is equal to

$$
\omega(\mathscr{R})+\frac{n}{\mathscr{R}^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \tau^{n+1} \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-1=\omega(\mathscr{R})+(n+1) \Omega_{2}(\mathscr{R})-\omega(\mathscr{R})-1=0 .
$$

Hence, $g$ increases on $[\mathscr{R},(n+1) \mathscr{R}]$.
It remains to prove that inequality (61) becomes an equality at $x=0$ for the function $u$ given by (63). By (62), one has

$$
\int_{0}^{1 /(n+1)} \Omega_{2}^{-1}(\tau) d \tau=\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} t d \Omega_{2}(t)=\mathscr{R} \Omega_{2}(\mathscr{R})-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t=\frac{\mathscr{R}}{n+1}-\int_{0}^{\mathscr{R}} \Omega_{2}(t) d t .
$$

This, together with relations (74) and (78), shows that the right-hand side of (61) is equal to $(n+1) \Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(\Psi_{2}(1 /(n+1))\right)=1$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2. In the proof of Theorem 2, we showed the assumption that $t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing to imply that $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is strictly increasing. Let us prove that the converse is not true, that is, the function $t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ need not be monotone if $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ increases. For any small $t>0$, we set

$$
\omega(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \xi\left(\sin \xi^{-1}\right)^{2} d \xi \frac{d \tau}{\tau}
$$

The function $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ increases, because

$$
\left(t \omega^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=t\left(\sin t^{-1}\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence, it follows from (66) that the derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\left(t \omega^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{n}{t^{n+2}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{n+1}\left(\tau \omega^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{\prime} d \tau \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative for $t_{k}=(\pi k)^{-1}, k=1,2, \ldots$. Now by (87) one has

$$
\left(t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=t\left(\sin t^{-1}\right)^{2}-\frac{n}{2(n+3)} t(1+O(t))
$$

Here the right-hand side is positive for $t_{k}=(\pi(k+1 / 2))^{-1}$ if $k$ is sufficiently large. Hence, $t \Omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ is not monotone.

## 4. The Special Case $\omega(r)=r^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$

Setting $\omega(r)=r^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>0$ in Theorem 1 and using the notation

$$
D_{\alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\nabla u(x)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(x ; r)\right|}{r^{\alpha}},
$$

we obtain the following corollary to Theorem 1.
Corollary 1. Let $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and let $\alpha>0$. Then inequality (10) holds with the best constant (11).

Inequality (10) becomes an equality for the function

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x_{n}\left(1-\frac{n}{n+\alpha}|x|^{\alpha}\right) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant R, \\ \frac{\alpha n^{1-\alpha}((n+1) R-|x|)^{\alpha+1}}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)} \frac{x_{n}}{|x|} & \text { for } R<|x|<(n+1) R, \\ 0 & \text { for }|x| \geqslant(n+1) R,\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
R=\left(\frac{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1) n(n+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Corollary 2 (a local version of Corollary 1). Let $\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\circ}$ denote the modified maximal operator given by

$$
\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(x)=\sup _{0<r<1}\left|f_{B_{r}(x)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} u(y) d y\right|
$$

and let

$$
D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)=\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{\left|\nabla u(x)-A^{(1)} \nabla u(x ; r)\right|}{r^{\alpha}} .
$$

Then for any $\alpha>0$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant\left(C_{1}\left(D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}+C_{2}\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}\right)\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the best constants $C_{1}$ defined by (11) and $C_{2}=n+1$.
Proof. It suffices to set $x=0$. It follows from (23) that

$$
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant(n+1)\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0) t^{-1}+\frac{n}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)} D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0) t^{\alpha}\right) .
$$

The right-hand side attains its minimum value either at

$$
t=\left(\frac{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)}{\alpha n} \frac{\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0)}{D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}<1
$$

or at $t=1$. Thus we arrive at the following alternatives: either

$$
\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0) \leqslant \frac{\alpha n}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)} D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant C_{1}\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left(D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{1}$ defined by (11), or

$$
\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0) \geqslant \frac{\alpha n}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1)} D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant\left(\frac{C_{1}}{\alpha+1}\left(D_{1, \alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; 0)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}+C_{2}\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}\right)\left(\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (89) and (90) imply (88).
To show that the constant $C_{1}$ is sharp, we make the dilation $x \rightarrow \delta x, 0<\delta<1$, in (88). Then

$$
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant\left(C_{1}\left(D_{\alpha}^{(1)}(\nabla u ; x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}+C_{2} \delta\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)}\right)\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} .
$$

Passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at (88) with the best constant $C_{1}$.
To prove that the constant $C_{2}$ is sharp, we set $u(x)=x_{n}$. Then (88) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant(n+1) \mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(x) . \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0) & =\sup _{0<r<1}\left|f_{B_{r}} \frac{x}{|x|} x_{n} d x\right|=\sup _{0<r<1} f_{B_{r}} \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{|x|} d x \\
& =\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{2 n\left|S^{n-2}\right|}{\left|S^{n-1}\right| r^{n}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(\cos \theta)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{n-2} d \theta \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{n} d \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (39), implies

$$
\mathscr{M}_{1}^{\diamond} u(0)=(n+1)^{-1} .
$$

Thus, inequality (91) becomes an equality. This completes the proof of the corollary.
Setting $\omega(r)=r^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$, and using the notation

$$
D_{\alpha}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|\nabla u(x)-A^{(2)} \nabla u(x ; r)\right|}{r^{\alpha}},
$$

we obtain the following corollary to Theorem 2.
Corollary 3. Let $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and let $\alpha>0$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant C_{2}\left(\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u(x)\right)^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left(D_{\alpha}^{(2)}(\nabla u ; x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the best constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=(n+1) \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha+1}\right)^{1 /(\alpha+1)} . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (92) becomes an equality for the odd function given for $x \geqslant 0$ by the formula

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x_{n}\left(1-|x|^{\alpha}\right) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant \mathscr{R} \\ \frac{\alpha n^{-\alpha}}{n+\alpha+1}((n+1) \mathscr{R}-|x|)^{\alpha+1} \frac{x_{n}}{|x|} & \text { for } \mathscr{R}<|x|<(n+1) \mathscr{R}, \\ 0 & \text { for }|x| \geqslant(n+1) \mathscr{R}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{R}=\left(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{(\alpha+1)(n+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha} .
$$

## 5. The One-Dimensional Case

For $n=1$,

$$
D_{\omega}^{(1)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|2 u^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime}(x+r)-u^{\prime}(x-r)\right|}{2 \omega(r)}, \quad D_{\omega}^{(2)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(x)-\frac{u(x+r)+u(x-r)}{2 r}\right|}{\omega(r)},
$$

and $\mathscr{M}^{\diamond}$ is defined by (4).
The next two corollaries readily follow from Theorems 1 and 2.

Corollary 4. Let $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant 2 D_{\omega}^{(1)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right) \Psi_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u}{D_{\omega}^{(1)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)}\right) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\Psi_{1}^{-1}$ is the inverse function of

$$
\Psi_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

with $\Omega^{-1}$ being the inverse function of

$$
\Omega(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \sigma \omega(\sigma t) d \sigma
$$

Suppose that $t \omega^{\prime}(t)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Then inequality (94) becomes an equality for the odd function $u$ given on the half-line $x \geqslant 0$ by the formula

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x\left(1-\int_{0}^{1} \omega(\sigma x) d \sigma\right) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant R \\ (2 R-x) \int_{0}^{1}(2 \sigma-1) \omega(\sigma(2 R-x)) d \sigma & \text { for } R<x<2 R \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 2 R\end{cases}
$$

where $R$ is the unique root of the equation $2 \Omega_{1}(t)=1$.
Corollary 5. Let $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant 2 D_{\omega}^{(2)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right) \Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\triangleright} u}{D_{\omega}^{(2)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)}\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\Psi_{2}^{-1}$ is the inverse function of

$$
\Psi_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(\omega-\Omega)^{-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

with $(\omega-\Omega)^{-1}$ being the inverse function of $\omega-\Omega$.
Suppose that the function $t(\omega(t)-\Omega(t))^{\prime}$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Then inequality (95) becomes an equality for the odd function $u$ given on the half-line $x \geqslant 0$ by

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x(1-\omega(x)) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \mathscr{R} \\ (2 \mathscr{R}-x) \omega(2 \mathscr{R}-x)-\frac{2}{2 \mathscr{R}-x} \int_{0}^{2 \mathscr{R}-x} t \omega(t) d t & \text { for } \mathscr{R}<x<2 \mathscr{R} . \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 2 \mathscr{R}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathscr{R}$ is the unique root of the equation $2 \Omega_{2}(t)=1$.
Set

$$
D_{\omega}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime}(y)\right|}{\omega(|x-y|)}
$$

and note that $D_{\omega}^{(1)}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right) \leqslant D_{\omega}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)$. Moreover, if $u$ is odd, then $D_{\omega}^{(1)}\left(u^{\prime} ; 0\right)=D_{\omega}\left(u^{\prime} ; 0\right)$. Therefore, Corollary 4 implies the following assertion.

Corollary 6. Let $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant 2 D_{\omega}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right) \Psi_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}^{\diamond} u}{D_{\omega}\left(u^{\prime} ; x\right)}\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (96) becomes an equality for the same function as in Corollary 4. As in Corollary 4, here we assume that $r \omega^{\prime}(r)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

In the special case $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$, Corollaries 4 and 6 can be stated as follows.

Corollary 7. Let $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $\alpha>0$. Inequality (12) holds with the best constant (13). The rougher inequality (3) follows from (12). Inequality (12) (and even (3)) becomes an equality for the odd function $u$ whose values for $x \geqslant 0$ are given by

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}(\alpha+1) x-x^{\alpha+1} & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}  \tag{97}\\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}-x\right)^{\alpha+1} & \text { for }\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}<x<2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\end{cases}
$$

Note that (3) is a special case of (96) for $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}$.
In conclusion, we present an assertion that readily follows from Corollary 5 for $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$.
Corollary 8. Let $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $\alpha>0$. Inequality (14) holds with the best constant (15). Inequality (14) becomes an equality for the odd function $u$ whose values on the half-line $x \geqslant 0$ are given by

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}x-x^{\alpha+1} & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}-x\right)^{\alpha+1} & \text { for }\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha}<x<2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\end{cases}
$$
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