

Solutions to some problems from list 3, part I

Problem 1. Prove the Leibniz rule for distributions: if $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and $f \in C^\infty(U)$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$D(f \cdot F) = D(f) \cdot F + f \cdot D(F)$$

for $D = \partial_{x_i}$. (Hint: apply the both sides to a test function)

Solution. For any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} D(f \cdot F)(\varphi) &= (\text{def. of } D) = -(f \cdot F)(D\varphi) = \\ &(\text{def. of multiplication of a distribution by function}) = -F(fD\varphi) = \\ &= -F(D(f\varphi) - \varphi f') = DF(f\varphi) + F(\varphi f') = (f \cdot DF)(\varphi) + (f' \cdot F)(\varphi) = \\ &= (f \cdot DF + f' \cdot F)(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

hence the required identity is proved.

Problem 2. Let $F(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(k)$.

- Show that the following functional is a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$
- Find the weak derivative $\frac{d}{dx}F$

Solution.

- First prove that our functional is well-defined for any test function. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote by $[-M, M]$ an interval which contains the support: $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-M, M]$. Then

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi(k)$$

where $[M]$ is the integer part of M (the maximal integer which is less or equal to M). Hence, the sum is well-defined.

- We prove linearity of F . Take, for instance, two test functions $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and denote by $[-M, M]$ an interval which contains supports of φ, ψ . Then $\text{supp } \varphi + \psi$ is contained also in this interval. Hence we have

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi(k), \quad F(\psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \psi(k), \quad F(\varphi + \psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} (\varphi + \psi)(k)$$

On the other hand, the latter sum is equal to

$$F(\varphi + \psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} (\varphi + \psi)(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi(k) + \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \psi(k) = F(\varphi) + F(\psi),$$

and it follows that F is an additive functional. Similarly one shows that $F(\lambda\varphi) = \lambda F(\varphi)$.

- 3) We show that our function is continuous in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$, that is for any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and any sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \varphi$ we have $F(\varphi_n) \rightarrow F(\varphi)$.

Indeed, we find (by definition of the convergence $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \varphi$) a compact set, say, interval $[-M, M]$ which contains all supports of $\varphi_n, n \geq 1$. Then, as above in (2) we can write

$$F(\varphi_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi_n(k).$$

On the other hand, we know also that for any index $p \geq 0$ the derivatives converges uniformly:

$$\|\varphi_n^{(p)} - \varphi^{(p)}\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It suffices to consider only $p = 0$: $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$|F(\varphi_n) - F(\varphi)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi_n(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi(k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} |\varphi_n(k) - \varphi(k)| \leq [M] \cdot \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{\infty}$$

But the latter expression is converges to zero. Hence we have proved that $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$.

- 4) Now we find weak derivative of F . We have by definition

$$DF(\varphi) = -F(\varphi') = \sum_{k=1}^{[M]} \varphi'(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi'(k)$$

Hence $DF(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi'(k)$.

Problem 3. Let G be the distribution defined by

$$G(\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{\varphi}{x} dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi}{x} dx \right)$$

Prove

- that $w = \ln|x|$ is a locally integrable function in \mathbb{R}^1 , and, hence it defines a regular distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$
- if W is the distribution in (a) then its weak derivative in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is G .

Solution. (a) is a standard exercise in elementary Lebesgue theory. For any compact subset of \mathbb{R} which does not contain 0 our function is continuous, therefore, is integrable there. If a compact set contains 0, one uses standard cut-off argument and the fact that the integral

$$\int_0^M \ln x dx$$

converges for any finite M .

(b) To find the weak derivative we consider an arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-M, M]$ and notice that

$$DW(\varphi) = -W(\varphi') = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi'(x) \ln|x| = - \int_{-M}^{+M} \varphi'(x) \ln|x| =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon} \varphi'(x) \ln(-x) dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^M \varphi'(x) \ln x dx \right) = \\
&= -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon} \ln(-x) d\varphi(x) + \int_{\varepsilon}^M \ln x d\varphi(x) \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by integrating by parts and by using } \varphi(-M) = \varphi(M) = 0 \text{)} \\
&= -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\varphi(-\varepsilon) \ln \varepsilon - \int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(x) \frac{dx}{x} - \varphi(\varepsilon) \ln \varepsilon - \int_{\varepsilon}^M \varphi(x) \ln x dx \right) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left((\varphi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(-\varepsilon)) \ln \varepsilon + \int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(x) \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{\varepsilon}^M \varphi(x) \frac{dx}{x} \right) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon} \varphi(x) \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{\varepsilon}^M \varphi(x) \ln x dx \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the differentiability of φ at zero:

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(-\varepsilon) \sim C \cdot \varepsilon \Rightarrow (\varphi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(-\varepsilon)) \ln \varepsilon = o(1)$$

Thus, we obtained the required formula.

Problem 6. Let the function f is defined as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & x_1, x_2 > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is a fundamental solution of the differential operator $Pu = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}$.

Solution. Our distribution is regular and it is defined for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$F(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) \varphi(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \varphi(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{(x_1, x_2): x_1, x_2 > 0\}$. We find $M > 0$ such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-M, M] \times [-M, M].$$

Let $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}$. Then by definition (notice that L has an even order) and taking into account that $\varphi(x_1, \pm M) = \varphi(\pm M, x_2) = 0$, we obtain

$$LF(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} L\varphi dx_1 dx_2 = \int_0^M dx_1 \int_0^M \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} dx_2 = - \int_0^M \varphi'_{x_1}(x_1, 0) dx_1 = \varphi(0, 0).$$

Hence h is the fundamental solution of the operator $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}$ and our constant c is equal to 1: $LF = \delta_0$.